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Objective: To assess the safety and effectiveness of nurse led elective cardioversion of atrial fibrillation under sedation.

Design: Prospective, longitudinal study.

Setting: Cardiac catheterisation laboratory and recovery area of a district general hospital.

Patients: 300 patients referred for elective cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation.

Interventions: Pre-procedure evaluations (history, physical examination, blood tests), consent, sedation administration, cardioversions, and post-procedure monitoring until discharge by advanced life support certified coronary care unit nurses trained in the techniques. A doctor was immediately available if required but not present.

Main outcome measures: Success rates at discharge and at six weeks, energy delivered, number of shocks, dose of sedation, immediate, 24, and 48 hour patient perceptions, complications, waiting times, and cost effectiveness.

Results: Cardioversion success rate was 87% at discharge and 48% at six weeks. Mean (SD) cumulative energy was 497 (282) J and number of shocks 1.6 (0.8). Mean (SD) dose of sedation was 23 (9) mg intravenous diazepam. No patient required reversal of sedation, airway support, or medical intervention. Ninety eight per cent of patients had no pain or recall of the procedure. Four patients who were adequately anticoagulated experienced embolic phenomena. Ninety eight per cent of patients would repeat the procedure if necessary. Without requirement for a physician or anaesthetist, waiting times for elective cardioversion fell from three months to under four weeks. There was a significant reduction in the estimated cost of the procedure from £337 with general anaesthesia to £130 with nurse led sedation and cardioversion (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: With appropriate training, a nurse led cardioversion service with sedation is safe, effective, well tolerated, and cost efficient.

Direct current cardioversion remains the most effective method for restoring sinus rhythm in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (AF).1 Cardioversion is traditionally performed under short acting general anaesthesia but several studies have demonstrated that it may be performed as safely, effectively, and more economically under sedation administered by non-anaesthetist physicians.2–5 Another category of staff possessing the technical and cognitive skills required for the performance of elective cardioversion and administration of sedation is advanced cardiac life support (ALS) certified coronary care unit nurses.6

There has been considerable support among nurses for role expansion in the critical care setting.7 This, combined with a simultaneous reduction of junior doctors’ working hours in the UK and the need for cost containment within the National Health Service (NHS), prompted the development of a local initiative to extend nursing practice to include elective cardioversion of patients with persistent AF.8

Study aims

The primary aim of this study was to prospectively determine the short and medium term safety and effectiveness of a nurse led cardioversion service. Secondary outcomes were cost analysis of the procedure and patient acceptability.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

All patients referred for elective cardioversion of haemodynamically stable persistent AF were prospectively assessed in this single centre study between July 2002 and July 2003. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was approved by the local research and ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Inclusion criteria were AF of greater than 24 hours’ duration that required external cardioversion and no reversible cause of AF. At least four weeks of anticoagulation with warfarin with an international normalised ratio (INR) > 2.0 was required. Patients were excluded for the following reasons: serum potassium < 3.5 mmol/l, INR < 2.0, known allergy to any drug normally used in the procedure, known sedation related complication, clinical evidence of digitalis toxicity, obstructive sleep apnoea, or resting oxygen saturation measured by oximetry of less than 90%. Patients presented for pre-procedure blood tests and a 12 lead ECG 48 hours before the procedure. They were given an information sheet about the procedure that provided aftercare instructions and a telephone contact number for the coronary care unit.

All nurses taking part in the study had at least one year of coronary care unit experience, were ALS certified, had attended a course in safe sedation practice, and had performed a minimum of 20 supervised sedation-cardioversion procedures before study commencement. The nurse led cardioversion protocol required the nurses to evaluate patients before the procedure on the day of cardioversion. This entailed taking information on a history of medical
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illness or conditions that can alter the patients’ response to sedation, a history of prior adverse reactions to general or local anaesthesia and sedation, current medication, allergies, and any history of alcohol or drug abuse. Nurses also performed a focused physical examination of vital signs, auscultation of the heart and lungs, and airway evaluation. Nurses reviewed the patients’ pre-procedure laboratory tests to ensure INRs were between 2.0–3.0 and that potassium concentrations were adequate (≥ 3.5 mmol/l). Nurses counselled patients, obtained consent for the procedure, and performed intravenous cannulation.

All cardioversion procedures took place in the cardiac catheterisation laboratory that was equipped with monitoring and resuscitation equipment and was adjacent to an eight bed dedicated recovery area also equipped with non-invasive monitors. Three nurses were required, two to carry out sedation and cardioversion in the procedure room and one to staff the recovery area. A cardiologist registrar was immediately available if required but was not present. One of the two nurses in the procedure room had the designated role of monitoring patient safety, keeping records, and administering sedation while the other nurse performed the cardioversion.

Patients were monitored by continuous pulse oximetry, ECG, observation or auscultation to assess respiratory function, and pulse and blood pressure measurement at five minute intervals. These were recorded before, during, and after administration of sedation, during and upon completion of the procedure, during recovery, and at the time of discharge. Supplemental oxygen was given through a facemask before and after the procedure. Intravenous diazepam was given for sedation as Diazemuls (Dumex, Exeter, UK) with an initial bolus of 10 mg, then smaller increments of 2.5 mg every minute until a satisfactory depth of sedation was achieved or until a maximum of 40 mg was administered. Sedation was given until the central nervous system was depressed, with the patient being able to respond purposefully to tactile or painful stimuli and maintaining an independent airway, adequate spontaneous ventilation, and cardiovascular function. Conduction of narcotic agents was prohibited.

All cardioversions were undertaken with a single defibrillator (Physio-Control Lifepak 9 defibrillator, Physio-Control Corp, Redmond, Washington, USA) that used damped monophasic shock waveforms. Gel pads were applied to the patient and the nurse performing the cardioversion procedure applied synchronised shocks of 200 or 360 J through paddles with pressure in the anterolateral (right infraclavicular–ventricular apex) or anteroposterior (body of sternum–interscapular) position with a maximum of three shocks administered in each procedure. After the cardioversion, patients were placed in the recovery position and vital signs were monitored every five minutes for the first 30 minutes, then every 15 minutes until the patient was fit for discharge (a minimum of two hours after the procedure). For discharge, the patient was required to be alert and oriented with stable vital signs that had returned to baseline and to be accompanied by a responsible adult to take them home and to report any post-procedure complications. Patients were advised not to drive or drink alcohol for 48 hours after the procedure.

Cardioversion was considered successful if sinus rhythm was achieved and maintained to the time of discharge. Patient perception of the procedure was assessed before discharge and at 24 hours and 48 hours after the procedure by custom-designed questionnaires. These evaluated shock recall, side effects, and willingness to repeat the procedure under sedation if medically indicated. Post-procedure questionnaires were returned in prepaid envelopes. Patients were clinically reviewed by a physician six weeks after cardioversion with a 12 lead ECG.

The cost of the procedure was estimated from local NHS costs of drugs and consumables used and nursing staff salaries.

RESULTS

Three hundred and ninety-four elective procedures were performed on 300 patients (61% men, mean (SD) age 71 (10) years) with persistent AF during the study period, compared with 306 physician led procedures performed during the corresponding period during the previous year. Tables 1 and 2 describe the clinical characteristics of the patients. All patients consented to nurse led cardioversion.

Effectiveness

The mean intravenous dose of diazepam was 23 (9) mg. The mean number of shocks was 1.6 (0.8) and cumulative energy delivered was 497 (282) J. The cardioversion success rate at discharge was 87% and 48% of patients were in sinus rhythm at the six week review.

Safety

No patient experienced respiratory depression or required reversal of sedation, ventilation, or anaesthetist or physician intervention. Five patients experienced transient hypotension after the procedure that resolved with intravenous fluids. The mean dose of Diazemuls administered to these patients was 24 (5) mg. All patients were discharged on the same day as their procedure. Six procedures were abandoned because the patients could not be sedated adequately after administration of a maximum of 40 mg Diazemuls. These patients were noted to have recognised adverse clinical characteristics—either obesity, with body mass indices exceeding 35 kg/m² (three patients), or habitual use of benzodiazepines for insomnia (three patients). There were no immediate or six

---

Table 1 Patient characteristics (n = 300)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of procedures</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>71 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>79 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial diameter (cm)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (units/week)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of atrial fibrillation (&gt;6 months)</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean (SD).

---

Table 2 Underlying aetiology and antiarrhythmic drug treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underlying aetiology</th>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>Class II</th>
<th>Class III</th>
<th>Class IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valvar</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive cardiac failure</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiarrhythmics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
week deaths as a result of the procedure. Four patients (1%), despite adequate anticoagulation with warfarin, experienced systemic emboli up to four days after the procedure: three were cerebrovascular events and one a splenic infarct. There were no other major complications.

**Tolerability**

Questionnaires were completed by 90% of patients. Ninety eight per cent of these had total amnesia of the procedure. Forty per cent of patients reported minor symptoms of dermal injury (redness, itching, or soreness) at the sites of paddle application 24 hours after the procedure. By 48 hours after the procedure the proportion reporting these symptoms had decreased to 21%. Thirty three per cent experienced minor sedative side effects (drowsiness, unsteadiness) at 24 hours. At 48 hours after the procedure 17% had residual symptoms. Ninety five per cent of patients said they would repeat the procedure if medically indicated, and 98% would have the cardioversion performed under sedation.

**Cost effectiveness and waiting times**

The cost of the cardioversion under general anaesthesia was estimated to be £337 for each procedure, compared with £130 for each nurse led cardioversion, a 61% reduction. With an annual number of elective cardioversion procedures of 400, this equates to cost savings of £82 800. After one year of nurse led cardioversion the waiting time for elective cardioversion fell from three months to under four weeks.

**DISCUSSION**

This study has shown that nurse led elective cardioversion under sedation is safe, effective, well tolerated, and cost efficient. Although defibrillation and cardioversion have been traditionally regarded as skills performed exclusively by medical staff, it has become routine practice for nurses to defibrillate and initiate resuscitative measures during cardiac arrest. Many nurses have thus already gained competence in this technique. ALS trained coronary care nurses possess the technical skills required for performance of cardioversion, in addition to the core skills required for administration of sedation.6 It also recommends that a minimum of four procedures a year be required to protective reflexes.14 Coronary care nurses performing the procedure were required to be ALS certified, therefore having appropriate basic training in airway management, and to have attended a formal course on safe sedation practice.

**Tolerability**

The proportion of patients experiencing skin burns is comparable with previous series of patients undergoing cardioversion.15 The incidence of sedative side effects experienced 24 and 48 hours after the procedure, generally described as mild, probably reflects the age related impaired clearance of the drug.14 There was a high degree of patient satisfaction and willingness to repeat the procedure if indicated.

**Cost effectiveness and number of procedures**

Substitution of ALS trained coronary care nurses for physicians and anaesthetists resulted in similar clinical outcomes but with important cost savings. Another advantage was an increased number of procedures over the previous year when the service was physician led. Eliminating the limitations of having to coordinate the procedure at a mutually acceptable time for cardiology staff and physician allowed for fewer delays and more procedures to be accomplished, and this translated into shorter waiting times. This is potentially beneficial in terms of clinical outcomes and the likelihood of maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion.16

**Medicolegal considerations**

There is understandable concern about the potential legal implications of the practice of nurse led sedation and cardioversion and the need for full medicolegal coverage in the event of complications. Medicolegally a nurse may administer sedation with the appropriate supervision, training, certification, and support of the hospital. Doctors who delegate medical duties to nurses must be satisfied that the nurses are competent to carry them out and should retain ultimate responsibility for the management of these patients.17 Training and supervision are thus essential until proficiency is satisfactory. The nurse should be responsible for obtaining consent for the procedure and for discussing the outcome with the patient after the procedure; however, further patient management should remain the responsibility of the doctor. Nurses must acknowledge any limits in their competence and decline duties unless they can perform them safely and skillfully. In the UK, nurses remain subject to United Kingdom Central Council (UKCC) regulations and are accountable to the council. Nursing managers must ensure that local policies are based on UKCC principles and that nurses are assisted in suitably adjusting their practice.18 Patients must be informed adequately about the nature of
the post holder’s profession, role, training, and experience. The new responsibilities must be formally acknowledged by the hospital, and relevant insurers and job descriptions must be updated as necessary. Nurses are covered by the NHS Hospital and Community Health Services indemnity against claims of medical negligence.

**Recommendations**

For nurses to undertake expanded roles such as those discussed in this study, there is a need for appropriate education and training as well as assessment strategies to ensure that nurses are and remain competent in the performance of these skills. Thorough and appropriate pre-procedure evaluation increases the likelihood of satisfactory sedation, decreases the likelihood of adverse outcomes, and requires good physical assessment skills. Formal education and training in the pharmacology of agents commonly used during sedation, in the role of antagonists, and in airway management will also improve the likelihood of satisfactory sedation and reduce the risk of adverse outcomes. Nurses administering sedation must have the skills to rescue patients with complications (tracheal intubation and use of antagonists). Regular audit and continuing education with opportunities to attend relevant courses are also necessary.

**Conclusions**

A nurse led cardioversion service is safe, effective, and well tolerated and it results in significant cost savings. Medicolegally a nurse may administer sedation with the appropriate supervision, training, certification, and support of the hospital. Careful patient selection is essential to exclude high risk patients. Training should include attendance at a recognised teaching course in safe sedation practice, which should address the pharmacology of the drugs and their antagonists and airways management. A designated appropriately trained supervising physician should be immediately available during the procedure. Nurses should be regularly audited, take part in continuing education, and have opportunities to attend relevant courses.
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