Monocyte proinflammatory cytokine release is higher and glucocorticoid sensitivity is lower in middle aged men than in women independent of cardiovascular risk factors
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Objective: To investigate whether stimulated monocyte cytokine release and its inhibition by glucocorticoids differs between men and women.

Design: In vitro monocyte interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) release after lipopolysaccharide stimulation were assessed with and without co-incubation with increasing doses of dexamethasone and hydrocortisone separately. Glucocorticoid sensitivity was defined as the amount of a particular glucocorticoid required to inhibit lipopolysaccharide stimulated monocyte cytokine release by 50%. The established cardiovascular risk factors of age, body mass index, number of cigarettes smoked daily, low density cholesterol to high density cholesterol ratio, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and haemoglobin A1c were used as covariates.

Setting: Aircraft manufacturing plant in southern Germany.

Patients: 269 middle aged male and 36 middle aged female employees.

Results: Release of monocyte IL-6 and TNFα (each p = 0.001) was higher in samples from men than in those from women. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide stimulated IL-6 and TNFα release by either glucocorticoid was less pronounced in samples from men than in those from women (IL-6: dexamethasone p = 0.033, hydrocortisone p = 0.029; TNFα: dexamethasone p < 0.001, hydrocortisone p = 0.089).

Conclusions: The finding suggests that proinflammatory activity of circulating monocytes is higher in men than in women independent of cardiovascular risk factors, thereby providing one explanation for the relatively greater coronary risk in men.

On average, women develop heart disease some 10–15 years later than men.1 In terms of this sex dimorphism, most attention has been paid to the hypothesis that endogenous oestrogens may be cardioprotective in premenopausal women.2–5 Further explanations, either additional or associated, relate to lower blood pressure, lower concentrations of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, or higher concentrations of high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol in women than in men.4–5

Monocytes have a key role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.6–7 They secrete inflammatory cytokines that further promote inflammatory activity in atherosclerotic lesions.6–7 Increased concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) have been prospectively associated with increased cardiovascular risk.10–12 Therefore, sex differences in monocyte cytokine release may account for distinct coronary risk between the sexes.

Consistent with this reasoning, oestrogens may affect monocyte proinflammatory cytokine production.13 In addition, a recent study suggests that men require lower concentrations of glucocorticoids than do women to inhibit stress induced production of proinflammatory cytokines.14 Even though such altered glucocorticoid sensitivity may be associated with chronic inflammatory diseases15–19 such as atherosclerosis, that study examined a relatively small sample size of healthy young people not prone to coronary disease. Therefore, we investigated sex differences in monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity in a larger population with relatively higher coronary risk due to older age and we controlled for established cardiovascular risk factors.

Regulatory processes in monocyte activation entail synthesis and release of proinflammatory cytokines as well as downregulation of cytokine release. While lipopolysaccharide is one of the most potent activators of monocytes, endogenous glucocorticoids effectively downregulate monocyte cytokine production.20–22 Following previous methods we assessed monocyte reactivity by measuring IL-6 and TNFα in whole blood after lipopolysaccharide stimulation.21–24 Glucocorticoid sensitivity was calculated as the amount of dexamethasone required to suppress lipopolysaccharide stimulated TNFα and IL-6 release by 50%.14–25

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Experimental patients
The study was part of a project conducted in an aircraft manufacturing plant in southern Germany26 and was formally approved by the institutional review board. From a total of 1760 employees, participation was offered to a representative sample of 532 men and women, who voluntarily completed a questionnaire based assessment of working conditions. Funding allowed medical examination and blood sampling of the first 325 participants (280 men, 45 women) who presented consecutively to the laboratory within the next 14 days, leaving 207 employees of the total representative sample whose biochemical measures were not assessed. Although the proportions of sex and duration of employment in years were the same in the two groups, those
who were not assessed were slightly younger (mean (SD) age 38.9 (12.6) v 41.6 (9.6) years). Exclusion criteria for the present study were self reported previous cardiac surgery, coronary angiography, and current medication with glucocorticoids, oral contraceptives, or anti-diabetic drugs. This procedure left a study sample of 269 men and 36 women. Table 1 provides health factors including established cardiovascular risk factors of subjects.

### Experimental protocol

Self reported medical history and health behaviour (smoking) were assessed by a 96 item questionnaire derived from the nurses’ health study and the MONICA (monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease) study.27 28 After completing the questionnaire, participants rested for 15 minutes while sitting. Thereafter, blood pressure was determined by sphygmomanometry twice within five minutes. Within two weeks, all participants were rescheduled on a work day and two hours after awakening to have a fasting blood sample collected. Assays were started within five minutes of blood collection in a cell culture facility adjacent to the blood collection room. Blood was processed by standard techniques in cooled (4°C) citrate tubes for the TNFα assay. Overnight urine collection for cortisol measurement started at 9 pm the night before sampling and included the first void after awakening.29

### Glucocorticoid sensitivity and assay

Monocytes are the main cytokine producing cells in lipopolysaccharide stimulated whole blood.30 31 The whole blood assay minimises postcollection artefacts arising from cell preparation and maintains the person’s natural environment (that is, plasma compounds and hormone concentrations) as much as possible during in vitro assays.32 33 To assess monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity, whole blood samples from each participant were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide and then co-incubated with dexamethasone and hydrocortisone separately in incremental doses.14 22 23 The lipopolysaccharide stock solution (Escherichia coli O55:B5, no L2880, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany) was prepared by dissolving lipopolysaccharide in pyrogen-free saline solution. Heparinised whole blood (400 μl) was added to 50 μl of lipopolysaccharide stock solution and to 50 μl of the stock solutions of the various concentrations of dexamethasone or hydrocortisone on a 24 well cell culture plate (no 3047, Becton Dickinson, San Diego, California, USA). After a six hour incubation period at 37°C in 5% CO2, plates were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 g at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C until assayed.

### Biochemical analyses

Stimulated plasma concentrations of TNFα and IL-6 were determined by commercially available enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA). High sensitivity ELISAs were chosen to measure plasma concentrations of TNFα (Quantikine HS, R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, UK) and of C reactive protein (Immunotite, DPC Biermann GmbH, Germany; detection limit 0.1 mg/l). LDL and HDL cholesterol, haemoglobin A1c, and urinary cortisol were determined by a commercial laboratory. To obtain a complete blood count including a differential count, blood was collected into 2.7 ml EDTA tubes (Sarstedt, Römmlershof, Germany) and processed on a Sysmex SE-9000 cell counter (Sysmex, Norderstedt, Germany) within three hours after collection.

### Statistical analyses

As an index for monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity, we calculated the IC50 of the dose–response curve for dexamethasone or hydrocortisone inhibition of lipopolysaccharide induced cytokine release. The IC50 reflects the particular dexamethasone or hydrocortisone concentration that achieves a 50% inhibition of lipopolysaccharide stimulated cytokine release in the absence of any glucocorticoid. The IC50 is inversely related to glucocorticoid sensitivity—that is, a higher IC50 indicates lower sensitivity and a lower IC50 indicates higher sensitivity. The IC50 is calculated intraindividually by a logistic curve fit function (mean determination coefficient of r2 = 0.99). The resulting measure is independent of the absolute number of circulating monocytes.

All calculations were done with SPSS version 10.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) and CurveExpert 1.3 (Daniel G Hyams, Hixson, Texas, USA) statistical software packages. All data are presented as mean (SEM). Results were considered significant at the p ≤ 0.05 level; all tests were two tailed. To approximate a normal distribution, IC50 values were log transformed. In case of missing data, cases were excluded listwise.

Across the two subject groups, univariate analyses of variance were calculated for lipopolysaccharide stimulated cytokine production and IC50 values. Repeated measure analyses of variance were calculated for dose-response curves

### Table 1 Health factors of 305 men and women studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n = 36)</th>
<th>Men (n = 269)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42.0 (1.8)</td>
<td>41.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.77 (0.69)</td>
<td>26.76 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL: HDL ratio</td>
<td>2.30 (0.14)</td>
<td>3.00 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C reactive protein (mg/l)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.32)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>125 (7.7)</td>
<td>133 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>78.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>82.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes/day</td>
<td>4.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin A1c (%)</td>
<td>5.17 (0.11)</td>
<td>5.17 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary cortisol (μg/l)</td>
<td>38.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>43.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes (×1012/ml)</td>
<td>5.72 (0.32)</td>
<td>5.92 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM); HDL, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low density lipoprotein cholesterol.
of dexamethasone or hydrocortisone inhibition of lipopolysaccharide induced cytokine production. We applied Huynh-Feldt corrections for repeated measures, which allow comparisons of cytokine release per cell. All analyses of variance were computed and are presented for the established cardiovascular risk factors of age, body mass index, number of cigarettes smoked daily, LDL:HDL ratio, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and haemoglobin A1c as covariates throughout.

RESULTS
Cardiovascular risk factors and health factors
Men had higher body mass index, LDL:HDL ratio, and systolic and diastolic blood pressures than women (Table 1). Of note, lack of a difference in overnight urinary cortisol excretion indicates that men and women had equal hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activity.

Stimulation of cytokine production by lipopolysaccharide
There were no sex differences in basal plasma C reactive protein and TNFα concentrations (Table 1). After controlling for the absolute monocyte count and all established cardiovascular risk factors mentioned above, the release of lipopolysaccharide stimulated TNFα (F1,28 = 12.2, p = 0.001, n = 258) and IL-6 release (F1,28 = 12.0, p = 0.001, n = 267) was significantly higher in samples from men than in those from women (Figs 1 and 2).

Inhibition of stimulated cytokine production
Figures 1 and 2 show inhibition curves for TNFα and IL-6 releases following lipopolysaccharide stimulation plotted against increasing concentrations of the two glucocorticoids dexamethasone and hydrocortisone. The curves show reduced capacities of both glucocorticoids to inhibit cytokine release in men as compared with women. When controlling for all established cardiovascular risk factors, TNFα inhibition was less pronounced in samples from men than in those from women with both dexamethasone (F2.6,614.9 = 6.7, p < 0.001, n = 250) and hydrocortisone (F3.1,726.5 = 4.1, p = 0.006, n = 246). Likewise, in cells obtained from men IL-6 inhibition was lower with dexamethasone (F2.7,630.2 = 3.2, p = 0.029, n = 240) and with hydrocortisone (F3.7,440.7 = 3.9, p = 0.005, n = 237) than in those harvested from women.

Glucocorticoid sensitivity of cytokine release
The IC50 pattern of TNFα and IL-6 was similar (Figs 3 and 4). With glucocorticoid inhibition, IC50 for samples from men was higher for TNFα (dexamethasone: F1,248 = 20.2, p < 0.001, n = 252; hydrocortisone: F1,248 = 2.9, p = 0.089, n = 254) and for IL-6 (dexamethasone: F1,231 = 4.6, p = 0.033, n = 242; hydrocortisone: F1,230 = 4.9, p = 0.029, n = 239) than for those from women (all cardiovascular risk factors accounted for). In other words, a higher amount of a particular glucocorticoid was required to suppress cytokine release from monocytes in response to the same lipopolysaccharide stimulus in men than in women. Thus, men had a lower monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity than women.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we compared the monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity between middle aged men and women while controlling for known risk factors for cardiovascular disease. We used an in vitro assay to measure monocyte cytokine release in response to a standardised dose of lipopolysaccharide and to assess the extent to which this cytokine release was inhibited by increasing concentrations of two glucocorticoids.

The main finding of our study is that, even when controlling for well known cardiovascular risk factors, blood samples from men required larger quantities of either dexamethasone or hydrocortisone to inhibit lipopolysaccharide stimulated release of IL-6 and TNFα than did samples from women, while the cytokine release without glucocorticoid co-incubation was higher in samples from men than in those from women. The findings suggest higher proinflammatory activity in blood monocytes of men than in monocytes harvested from women. This notion becomes even stronger given that we controlled for established cardiovascular risk factors. Previous studies have shown that, on average, men have higher body mass index, higher LDL:HDL ratio, and higher systolic and diastolic blood pressures than women. Therefore, to prevent confounding, cardiovascular risk factors need to be controlled for when testing for a unique sex difference in monocyte glucocorticoid sensitivity.

What may the clinical implications of our findings be and how do they fit with the literature? Our findings indicate that the proinflammatory response of monocytes to an equal
amount of lipopolysaccharide, such as that produced by bacteria present within an atherosclerotic lesion, is higher in men than in women. Corroborating these findings, some investigators found greater TNFα release with lipopolysaccharide stimulation in men than in women, while others did not detect such differences. Further driving such controversy, Rohleder and colleagues found lower sensitivity of monocyte IL-6 release with dexamethasone inhibition in men than in women, while Daun and colleagues did not find such a sex difference in hydrocortisone regulation of lipopolysaccharide stimulated production of IL-1β from peripheral blood mononuclear cells. In contrast to our study, however, those studies had relatively smaller population samples that consisted mainly of young and healthy people who conceivably have a lower cardiovascular risk than our middle aged participants.

Epidemiological data support the notion that increased plasma concentrations of TNFα and IL-6 increase the risk of coronary artery disease. Thus, differential regulation of monocyte TNFα and IL-6 production may provide a link between sex and the progression of atherosclerotic lesions. Our data suggest that monocytes from men not only are predisposed towards more pronounced release of TNFα and IL-6 but also are less sensitive to endogenous signals (glucocorticoids) responsible for curtailing inflammatory responses. This may lead to a more rapid development of atherosclerosis in men than in women.

Although speculative, one possible explanation for observed sex differences in lipopolysaccharide stimulated cytokine release and in glucocorticoid sensitivity may relate to different concentrations of gonadal steroids. The female immune system is influenced by both oestrogens and progesterones. Oestrogens may dose dependently affect lipopolysaccharide associated changes in immune responses of macrophages. Compared with men, women in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle had diminished monocyte release of TNFα and IL-6 with lipopolysaccharide. In that study, these effects correlated with the concentration of oestradiol in plasma. The male immune system, on the other hand, is under the control of testosterone and other androgens, which apparently do not affect macrophage function.

Despite increasing knowledge of the pathways through which gonadal steroids and glucocorticoids influence immunological target tissues, it remains unknown how these steroids interact at peripheral inflammatory sites. The activated oestrogen receptor has been shown to inhibit the transcription factors nuclear factor κB and activator protein 1. Progesterone, because of its structural similarities with...
the glucocorticoids receptor, has been reported to increase
cytosolic mRNA concentrations of the nuclear factor κB
inhibitor.46 By these mechanisms, both female gonadal
steroids may modulate the sensitivity of target
tissues towards glucocorticoids.47 In animal and cell culture
studies, 17β oestradiol has been shown to decrease the
expression of the glucocorticoid receptor and thereby to
decrease glucocorticoid sensitivity.48 Furthermore, expression of
heat shock proteins 70 and 90, which are essential in
keeping the glucocorticoid receptor in the cytoplasm in an
inactive state (although it can be ligand activated), are
modulated by female gonadal steroids.49

Several limitations of our study require consideration.
Firstly, our investigation focused on a working population
and, thus, may not be generalisable to clinical populations
and to people with overt atherosclerotic disease in particular.
Secondly, we can only speculate as to whether the observed
differences between men and women are clinically relevant.
Thirdly, as we did not assess sex steroids, possible explana-
tions for the observed sex differences in cytokine release and
glucocorticoid sensitivity are not unequivocally attributable
to hormonal issues. Fourthly, we compared very different
group sizes of men and women potentially resulting in
different variances of mean values of variables of interest.
While Levene’s test showed that variances of uninhibited
lipopolysaccharide stimulation of TNF-α and IL-6 and of the four
IC₅₀ estimates were equal, three of the 20 computations
across the four inhibition curves suggested a violation of this
assumption (data not shown). Nonetheless, since we built
our argument on sex differences in uninhibited stimulation
of proinflammatory cytokines and calculated IC₅₀ values, we
feel that this caveat did not invalidate our findings.

In summary, our data show that monocytes from middle
aged men have reduced responsiveness to glucocorticoids
even when established cardiovascular risk factors are con-
trolled for. This finding of reduced glucocorticoid sensitivity
in men implies relatively sustained cytokine production once
monocytes have encountered stressful stimuli (such as
lipopolysaccharide). Such a mechanism may be one possible
biological pathway linking sex to progression of ather-
sclerotic disease and increased coronary risk in men.
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Unstable angina in a patient with single coronary artery

A 58 year old woman with hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, and family history of coronary heart disease presented with a five year history of exertional chest discomfort, relieved by rest and nitrate administration.

Coronary angiography demonstrated a single left coronary ostium (aortography confirmed absence of right coronary artery), left circumflex artery was dominant, and the right coronary artery arose from an unusual location, just after the first septal branch of the left anterior descending artery (LAD), passing anteriorly to the pulmonary trunk and crossing to the right side of the heart. According to the Lipton classification, this anomaly could be classified as LII-A (panels A and B).

Interestingly, although the coronary tree was generally free of atheroma, a significant stenosis was demonstrated within the abnormal artery (panels A and B, arrowheads). This was wired and stented directly with a 2.75 × 8 mm stent, but resulted in some impingement in the main LAD, perhaps due to “snow-plough” (panel C, arrowhead).

After preinflation of both branches, a “kissing balloon” inflation was performed in the LAD and in the aberrant vessel, with good angiographic final appearance (panel D).

Coronary artery anomalies occur in 0.64–5.6% of patients undergoing coronary angiography. They have been associated with increased risk of sudden death, mainly when an aberrant branch is compressed between the great vessels. In our case the unusual anatomy may have played a role in determining the location of this single lesion, as an almost right angle takeoff is associated with decreased mechanical shear stress, and could predispose to atheroma formation.
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