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Late opening of the infarct related artery: an open or shut case?

Z R Yousef, M S Marber, S R Redwood

Is there a place for the late opening of infarct related arteries, beyond the time window for myocardial salvage?

Reperfusion within “the golden hour” is every cardiologist’s holy grail in the treatment of myocardial infarction (MI). But what about the times when this is not possible, and the infarct related artery (IRA) remains occluded? In the current era of intervention, where recanalisation of even chronic occlusions is safe and effective, and restenosis rare, is there a place for the late opening of IRAs? This question, which originated after subgroup analysis of late reperfused patients in ISIS-II (second international study of infarct survival), forms the basis of “the open artery hypothesis”; proposing that late reperfusion (beyond the time window for myocardial salvage) improves prognosis independent of left ventricular function.4

Since then, a wealth of observational data supporting the open artery hypothesis has emerged. For example, Lamas and colleagues5 reported independently favourable outcomes in patients with persistently patent IRAs as opposed to occluded vessels in a cohort of patients recruited to the SAVE (survival and ventricular enlargement) study. Since this study was observational and the precise moment of IRA patency was unknown, a truly independent benefit from myocardial salvage cannot be excluded. To address this point, randomised studies allocating patients with occluded IRAs late after MI to percutaneous intervention or continued medical treatment are needed.

In TAMI-6 (thrombolysis and angioplasty in myocardial infarction), late presenting patients were randomised to thrombolysis or placebo. Those with documented occluded IRAs 24 hours later underwent a second randomisation to late intervention (n = 34) or medical treatment (n = 37). At six months, left ventricular (LV) end systolic volume (ESV) increased by 9% in the late reperfusion group, and decreased by 12% in the medical group (p = NS). Since this study was conducted in the pre-stent era, IRA patency was similar in the two groups at six months (60% v 40%, respectively).6 The TOMIIS (total occlusion post-myocardial infarction intervention study) investigators demonstrated a significant improvement in left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) at four months post-MI in a highly selected subgroup of patients (n = 12) with sustained IRA patency after randomisation to late intervention compared to similar patients receiving medical treatment who were shown to have persistent IRA occlusion (n = 15).7

In a larger study, Horie and colleagues,6 randomising patients to late intervention (n = 44) or medical treatment (n = 39) with occluded left anterior descending arteries (LAD) between one day and three weeks after anterior MI, demonstrated significant improvements in LV ESV and EF, and a significant reduction in combined clinical events at five years in the late angioplasty group.8 These data contrast with our own, which is at odds with the open artery hypothesis. In a similar study randomising 66 patients with single vessel disease (LAD occlusion) to delayed intervention (with stents) or medical treatment 26 days after transmural anterior MI, we demonstrated a significant increase in LV ESV in the late reperfused group compared to patients receiving optimal medical treatment at 12 months.9

LARGEST INTERVENTIONAL TRIAL OF LATE IRA REPERFUSION

More recently, Steg of the DECOPI (désobstruction coronaire en post-infarctus) investigators presented data from the largest randomised interventional trial of late IRA reperfusion to date at a hotline session of the 2003 European Society of Cardiology annual meeting in Vienna, Austria. No difference in a combined event rate of cardiac death, non-fatal MI, and non-fatal ventricular arrhythmia at three years was observed in patients undergoing late reperfusion (n = 109, event rate 7.3%) compared to patients treated medically (n = 103, event rate 8.7%, p = 0.64). Of note, this study involved a relatively low risk group of all comers, with an overall low event rate, and LAD occlusion was present in < 20%. In a related study, a German group randomising 300 symptom-free patients 1–6 weeks post-MI to intervention or medical treatment where the IRA was either stenosed or occluded observed a 90% combined event-free survival rate in the interventional group compared with 82% in the medical group (p = NS). Furthermore, in the subgroup of patients with total occlusion of the IRA, combined event rates of 29.5% (late intervention group; n = 44) versus 26.1% (medical group; n = 42, p = NS) were
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OPEN ARTERY HYPOTHESIS REMAINS CONTROVERSIAL
The open artery hypothesis therefore remains controversial. Of the randomised studies to date, three have shown no significant benefits between invasive versus conservative strategies for the treatment of occluded IRAs late after MI, one study strongly supports an invasive strategy, and our data strongly refutes the open artery hypothesis.

In this issue of Heart, Piscione and colleagues describe their single centre observations in a non-randomised cohort of 65 symptomatic patients referred for angioplasty to a chronic total occlusion (>6 months duration) after transmural MI. Their examination of the open artery hypothesis involved comparing functional and clinical data in 35 patients successfully reperfused (TIMI flow grade 3) versus 30 patients with suboptimal recanalisation of the IRA (TIMI flow < 3). The investigators report significant improvements in echo derived EF, ESV, and regional wall motion abnormalities in the reperfused group, but no difference in the same measures in the suboptimally reperfused group. In addition, the authors observed significantly more major adverse cardiac outcomes in the incompletely revascularised group compared to the successfully reperfused group at three years.

These results are interesting and in support of the open artery hypothesis. Several issues need to be addressed, however. The study by Piscione and colleagues involved symptomatic patients. Thus the IRA is likely to have subtended a significant volume of viable tissue, and therefore a benefit from myocardial salvage cannot be excluded. Although this contradicts the open artery hypothesis (which in its purest form proposes that any benefit from late reperfusion is independent of myocardial salvage), the present study is probably more applicable in the clinical setting where coronary artery occlusion is an acute-on-chronic process, and often occurs in the presence of multi-vessel disease and pre-existing collateralisation, resulting in the presence of viable myocardium in the peri-infarct zone. Our own data, for example, suggest that significant benefits from late intervention with respect to MRI derived indices of LV remodelling are achievable in patients with significant residual myocardial viability demonstrated by stress dobutamine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). 10

TIMING OF LATE REPERFUSION
A further point of interest relates to the timing of late reperfusion. In the study by Piscione and colleagues, the intervention was performed very late after MI (six months post-MI), while in the randomised studies described above, intervention was performed earlier (<6 weeks after MI). Scar formation and infarct healing is usually completed within six weeks of MI. 11 Thus, if late reperfusion benefits by mechanisms independent of myocardial salvage—for example, by influencing the extent of infarct expansion, extracellular matrix reorganisation, and regional inflammation—reperfusion within six weeks of MI is expected to yield the greatest benefits. 12 This is suggested by Pfisterer and colleagues who reported improved MRI derived indices of LV remodelling in patients undergoing reperfusion of the LAD within two weeks of transmural anterior MI, but not in patients undergoing similar intervention three months after MI. 13

The door of the open artery hypothesis therefore remains ajar. It is likely that in cases where significant amounts of hibernating or viable tissue remain within the infarct zone, mechanical revascularisation in the subacute phase of MI will lead to long term clinical benefits. Evidence to support such a strategy in routine clinical practice at the present time, however, is circumstantial and limited. Before late revascularisation can be adopted as a routine procedure for patients remaining symptom-free after transmural MI, the results of an ongoing international multi-centre study (the occluded artery trial; scheduled to complete enrolment in 2005) needs scrutinising.
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