Allopurinol reduces B-type natriuretic peptide concentrations and haemoglobin but does not alter exercise capacity in chronic heart failure
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Objective: To study whether the effect of allopurinol on improvement of endothelial dysfunction in chronic heart failure (CHF) translates into improved exercise capacity and to examine whether allopurinol also improves B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), the other important prognostic marker of CHF.

Design: Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled crossover trial.

Setting: Teaching hospital.

Patients: 50 patients with CHF (New York Heart Association functional classes II and III) were recruited.

Interventions: 50 patients with CHF were randomly assigned to three months' treatment with allopurinol (300 mg/day) or placebo. At two and three months into treatment, they underwent a modified Bruce exercise protocol and a six minute walk test.

Results: Neither exercise test was altered by allopurinol. However, plasma BNP concentrations fell significantly (p = 0.035) with allopurinol (11.9 pmol/l) versus placebo (14.4 pmol/l). Haemoglobin concentrations also fell highly significantly with allopurinol (p = 0.001).

Conclusions: An important negative finding is that despite high hopes for it, allopurinol had no effect on exercise capacity in CHF. On the other hand, allopurinol did reduce BNP, which is the best available surrogate marker for prognosis in CHF.

METHODS

Patients

Fifty patients with CHF (New York Heart Association functional classes II and III) and documented left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction on echocardiogram or radionuclide ventriculogram were recruited from the heart failure clinic and from a database of patients with echocardiographically confirmed LV dysfunction. The study protocol was approved by the Tayside committee on medical research ethics and each patient provided written informed consent. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. For our protocol, we basically followed all the advice on how to do exercise studies in CHF as suggested by the authoritative review article of Narang and colleagues. This includes their recommendations on end points, sample size, and crossover studies.

The recruits underwent screening by clinical history and physical examination, and routine haematological and biochemical analyses. Before entering the study all patients performed a modified Bruce exercise tolerance test and six minute walk test to familiarise themselves with the protocols.

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CHF, chronic heart failure; COPERNICUS, carvedilol prospective randomised cumulative survival; CV, coefficient of variation; LV, left ventricular
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Patients were excluded if they were unable to perform the exercise tests, if they had a history of gout and were taking regular allopurinol, if they had had a previous adverse reaction to allopurinol, or if they had uncontrolled hypertension. All recruits had been clinically stable for at least six weeks before enrolment in the study with no modification to drug treatment. We chose not to measure oxygen consumption because it can be uncomfortable for patients and because Narang and colleagues concluded that there is no evidence of its superiority to exercise duration alone. Indeed, they felt that the cumbersome nature of its measurement was possibly interfering with the results of exercise testing and increasing the tendency to negative results.

**Study protocol**

After initial screening, blood was taken for analysis of BNP, cholesterol, haemoglobin, and highly sensitive C reactive protein. Patients then underwent a baseline modified Bruce exercise tolerance test and six minute walk test. Recruits were then randomly assigned in a crossover fashion to double blind treatment for three months with either 300 mg oral allopurinol or matching placebo daily with a one month washout in between. During each three month treatment period, patients underwent an exercise tolerance test and six minute walk test. Recruits were instructed to walk as far as possible for six minutes around the cones and were informed when they had walked for four minutes and when the test had been completed. No other words of encouragement were given.

The six minute walk test was performed after a 30 minute rest period in a long, flat, straight corridor with a 20 m length marked out every 2 m and cones at the turnaround points. Patients were instructed to walk as far as possible for six minutes around the cones and were informed when they had walked for four minutes and when the test had been completed. No other words of encouragement were given. The distance covered and number of rest periods taken were recorded.

All data were analysed by analysis of variance including an analysis to see whether there was any treatment order effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Allopurinol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67 (9)</td>
<td>67 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/women</td>
<td>34/9</td>
<td>34/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (II/III)</td>
<td>31/12</td>
<td>31/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of CHF</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvar heart disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>38 (89%)</td>
<td>38 (89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang II antagonist</td>
<td>23 (54%)</td>
<td>23 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Blocker</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>12 (28%)</td>
<td>12 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>6 (14%)</td>
<td>6 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium antagonist</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>26 (60%)</td>
<td>26 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>29 (67%)</td>
<td>29 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>4 (9%)</td>
<td>4 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>5 (11.6%)</td>
<td>5 (11.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension</td>
<td>15 (34.9%)</td>
<td>15 (34.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>12 (28%)</td>
<td>12 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD) or number (%).

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; Ang, angiotensin; CHF, chronic heart failure; NYHA, New York Heart Association.

If no treatment order was seen but analysis of variance was significant, two and three month data were analysed by paired t tests to see which individual time points were significant.

**RESULTS**

Of the original recruited patients seven did not complete the study. Two patients developed a skin rash while receiving allopurinol. While receiving placebo, one patient developed alopecia, one developed severe back pain, one developed diabetes, one withdrew for personal reasons, and one died. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of patients who completed the study. No treatment order effect was seen for any of the parameters measured in this study.

**Effect of allopurinol on BNP**

Overall there was a significant (by analysis of variance) reduction in BNP with allopurinol (p = 0.035) (fig 1). No significant correlation was seen between the reduction in
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**Figure 1** Effect of allopurinol on plasma B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) concentrations in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF). Data are mean (SEM). *p<0.05.
BNP concentration and the reduction in serum uric acid concentration (p = 0.582).

Effect of allopurinol on exercise performance
There was no significant difference in exercise performance as assessed by either the exercise tolerance test (p = 0.297) (fig 2) or the six minute walk test (p = 0.170) (fig 3) between the active and placebo arms of the study.

Effect of allopurinol on cholesterol
There was a small but significant reduction (analysis of variance) in total cholesterol concentration with allopurinol and the two and three month allopurinol data were both individually significant (fig 4). No significant change in high density lipoprotein cholesterol (p = 0.910) or in the ratio of total to high density lipoprotein cholesterol was seen.

Effect of allopurinol on other parameters
As would be expected there was a significant reduction in plasma uric acid concentration with allopurinol treatment (268 (123) μmol/l) compared with placebo (445 (115) μmol/l, p = 0.0001). There was no significant effect on potassium (p = 0.869), urea (p = 0.796) or creatinine (p = 0.975), suggesting no direct effect from allopurinol on renal function. However, a two way between group analysis to examine the effect of allopurinol on haemoglobin found a highly significant reduction in haemoglobin in the group receiving allopurinol (p = 0.001) with no significant effect on neutrophil count (p = 0.419) or platelet count (p = 0.206) (fig 5). Highly sensitive C reactive protein did not change with allopurinol, nor did blood pressure, nor the results of the Minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire (data not shown).

DISCUSSION
Before this study, there had been high hopes that allopurinol would improve exercise capacity in CHF and therefore our negative finding is an important negative. This expectation had been based not only on allopurinol’s ability to improve endothelial function in the microcirculation supplying oxygen to exercising skeletal muscles, but also on allopurinol’s ability to improve the efficiency of LV contraction. However, in this study, we used the exercise end points advocated by Narang and colleagues and measured both maximum and submaximal exercise capacity. We found no trend whatsoever towards improvement at either of the two time points in either exercise end point, despite this study being powered to detect a 23% improvement in exercise capacity. Our negative results on exercise capacity do, however, agree with our negative results on the Minnesota quality of life questionnaire. This may illustrate how little we understand the determinants of exercise capacity or quality of life of patients with heart failure. Alternately, perhaps in this case, some other effect may have detracted from the effect of the improved central and peripheral haemodynamic function on exercise capacity. One possibility is that the allopurinol induced slight reduction in haemoglobin (and hence oxygen supply to exercising muscles) offset the other benefits, as far as exercise was concerned. Another possibility is that these patients were all receiving optimal treatment for CHF, making their exercise capacity so good that it was difficult to effect a measurable improvement.

There is a huge amount of data that plasma BNP is an excellent prognostic marker not only in patients with heart failure but also in patients with acute coronary syndromes and even in normal populations. Indeed, in multivariate analysis, BNP almost always outperforms any other
prognostic marker. Furthermore, in heart failure, treatments that improve prognosis also consistently reduce BNP by around the same amount as seen here—for example, ACE inhibitors, spironolactone, and chronic β-blockade. For that reason, our observation that allopurinol reduces BNP is a promising prognostic sign, especially given that allopurinol improves another major indicator of prognosis—that is, endothelial dysfunction. Intriguingly, in terms of surrogates for prognosis, BNP and endothelial function are complementary, as one generally reflects disease progression (BNP) and the other reflects the risk of sudden thrombotic events, and these probably are the two main modes of death in CHF.

It is worth commenting on the concentrations of BNP seen here. With this same assay, we showed previously that the best diagnostic cut off BNP concentration for heart failure was 22.2 pmol/l (72 pg/ml). It is well established that BNP concentrations can often be restored to below this cut off and into the “normal” range when optimal treatment is administered, which is probably because most heart failure medications favourably remodel the left ventricle (ACE inhibitors, β blockers, spironolactone). In any case, the BNP concentrations seen here (−14 pmol/l) are still well above our mean concentration in normal controls (4.5 pmol/l). Hence, our BNP concentrations are consistent with what we usually find for optimally treated patients with CHF in the 21st century. Furthermore, recent Framingham data suggest that reductions in BNP within the even lower and truly “normal” range may well have prognostic benefit.

It is intriguing to speculate why allopurinol reduces BNP. Reduced BNP implies reduced intracardiac pressure and volume. There are many possible contributors to this. Firstly, improved peripheral vessel endothelial function should reduce LV afterload and hence improve LV pressure in the short term and reduce adverse LV remodelling in the longer term. Secondly, improved coronary endothelial function should improve myocardial blood flow and reduce ischaemia, and so improve LV function. Thirdly, allopurinol induced improvements in LV contraction efficiency may lower BNP. Fourthly, superoxide anions per se can mediate adverse LV remodelling and allopurinol should reduce this effect, since superoxide anions are a major product of xanthine oxidase activity. Indeed, many other antioxidants have already been shown to improve LV function. In fact, recently in an animal model, allopurinol itself was shown to greatly improve LV function and remodelling. Allopurinol induces improvements in endothelial dysfunction and in LV contraction efficiency very quickly, even after single doses, which may explain why intracardiac pressure and BNP were back to their original values after only one month of withdrawal of allopurinol. Therefore, given all the experimental work described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP. Indeed, most other treatments described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP.

Fourthly, superoxide anions per se can mediate adverse LV remodelling and allopurinol should reduce this effect, since superoxide anions are a major product of xanthine oxidase activity. Indeed, many other antioxidants have already been shown to improve LV function. In fact, recently in an animal model, allopurinol itself was shown to greatly improve LV function and remodelling. Allopurinol induces improvements in endothelial dysfunction and in LV contraction efficiency very quickly, even after single doses, which may explain why intracardiac pressure and BNP were back to their original values after only one month of withdrawal of allopurinol. Therefore, given all the experimental work described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP. Indeed, most other treatments described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP. Indeed, most other treatments described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP. Indeed, most other treatments described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP. Indeed, most other treatments described above, it is not that surprising that we found allopurinol to reduce BNP.

Our finding that allopurinol reduced BNP is bolstered by our finding that allopurinol reduced haemoglobin significantly. It is important to note that other drugs that improve prognosis in CHF also reduce haemoglobin. This applies to both ACE inhibitors and β blockers as shown in the COPERNICUS (carvedilol prospective randomised cumulative survival) trial. In both cases this is probably because they improve LV function and remodelling, which then decrease intracardiac pressure and hence BNP. The fall in BNP then causes haemoglobin concentration to fall because one of the main purposes of natriuretic peptides is to produce haemoconcentration. This was seen in the original landmark paper of de Bold. BNP induced contraction of the plasma volume is a compensatory mechanism to decrease preload when it is increased, but such a compensatory mechanism may no longer be necessary if LV function is improved by some other treatment. In this way, drugs that improve LV function and remodelling should decrease both BNP and haemoglobin concentrations as has already been seen for ACE inhibitors and β blockers. Therefore, allopurinol is following in the footsteps of the two main agents that improve prognosis in CHF. Allopurinol induced falls in BNP and haemoglobin may therefore signify that allopurinol reduces intracardiac pressure and volume, and so also improves LV function and remodelling. Clearly this hypothesis now needs to be investigated specifically.

It is worth emphasising that drug induced intraindividual changes in haemoglobin are very different from interindividul differences in haemoglobin in CHF populations. In CHF populations, the haemoglobin and mortality curve is U shaped. Frankly low haemoglobin concentrations probably relate to cytokine induced marrow suppression and it is the cytokine activation that confers the poor prognosis, not the haemoglobin per se. Allopurinol induced intraindividual decreases in haemoglobin within the normal range are most unlikely to be due to allopurinol increasing cytokine activity and hence are unlikely to indicate a poor prognosis. Indeed, they are likely to indicate, if anything, an improved prognosis, as argued above. Furthermore, what we observed here is a haemoglobin shift downwards within the normal range but not into the frankly anaemic range, where the U shaped curve begins to display harm. In fact in non-CHF populations, a lowered haemoglobin within the normal range is a favourable prognostic sign because it signifies lowered viscosity and hence lowered clotting potential. It is intriguing that allopurinol reduces the “stickiness” of both the vessel wall (endothelial function) and the blood in the vessel lumen (haemoglobin and viscosity), which conceivably may act together to reduce thrombotic events.

The allopurinol induced fall in total cholesterol was small but previous data do suggest a possible mechanism. Pinelli and colleagues showed that blocking nitric oxide increased total cholesterol concentrations, implying that treatments that improve endothelial function per se may somehow also have a favourable effect on lipid metabolism.

There are pros and cons to using crossover versus parallel group studies. Narang and colleagues recommend crossover studies for the initial evaluation of interventions on exercise capacity in heart failure, as here. Fortunately, we saw no order effect in this crossover study for any of the parameters we measured. In accordance with this, baseline values for all parameters were virtually identical at the start of each treatment phase.

In summary, an important negative finding here is that despite high hopes for it, allopurinol does not improve exercise capacity in CHF when given on top of already optimal treatment. However, an important positive finding is that allopurinol has favourable effects on the key prognostic marker BNP. This may be indirect evidence that allopurinol improves LV function, remodelling, or both in human CHF. Therefore, allopurinol improves both key prognostic markers, BNP and endothelial function, which now provides support for the notion that a mortality trial of allopurinol versus placebo may be warranted in CHF, especially since retrospective data also support the possibility that such a trial may be positive if the optimal dose is used.
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