Trends in reperfusion therapy of ST segment elevation myocardial infarction in Switzerland: six year results from a nationwide registry
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Objective: To document the trends in reperfusion therapy for ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) in Switzerland.

Design: National prospective multicentre registry, AMIS Plus (acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina in Switzerland), of patients admitted with acute coronary syndromes.

Setting: 54 hospitals of varying size and capability in Switzerland.

Patients: 7098 of 11 845 AMIS Plus patients who presented with ST segment elevation or left bundle branch block on the ECG at admission.

Main outcome measures: In-hospital mortality and its predictors at admission by multivariate analysis.

Results: The proportion of patients treated by primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) progressively increased from 1997 to 2002, while the proportion with thrombolysis or no reperfusion decreased (from 8.0% to 43.1%, from 47.2% to 25.6%, and from 44.8% to 31.4%, respectively). Overall in-hospital mortality decreased over the study period from 12.2% to 6.7% (p < 0.001). Main in-hospital mortality predictors by multivariate analysis were primary PCI (odds ratio (OR) 0.52, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.33 to 0.81), thrombolysis (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.83), and Killip class III (OR 3.61, 95% CI 2.49 to 5.24) and class IV (OR 5.97, 95% CI 3.51 to 10.17) at admission. When adjusted for the year, multivariate analysis did not show PCI to be significantly superior to thrombolysis for in-hospital mortality (OR 1.2 for PCI better, 95% CI 0.8 to 1.9, p = 0.42).

Conclusion: Primary PCI has become the preferred mode of reperfusion for STEMI since 2002 in Switzerland, whereas use of intravenous thrombolysis has decreased from 1997 to 2002. Furthermore, there was a major reduction in in-hospital mortality over the same period.

Since it was found in the mid 1970s that acute myocardial infarction resulted from a ruptured atherosclerotic plaque, causing thrombosis and occlusion of a coronary artery, and that restoration of flow salvages myocardium, major attention has been focused on reperfusion therapy. Several studies have documented the survival benefit provided by a thrombolytic, first by intracoronary administration and later intravenously. Numerous randomised controlled trials soon followed comparing intravenous thrombolysis with mechanical reperfusion by primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). A meta-analysis of 23 randomised controlled trials comparing these two modes of reperfusion in ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) showed a greater benefit associated with primary PCI in terms of short and long term mortality, non-fatal reinfarction, and stroke.

On the basis of this information, national and international societies of cardiology have established guidelines concerning the management of STEMI. To assess how these translate into the “real world” of daily clinical practice, several short and long term registry based studies have been conducted.

Since January 1997, a national prospective registry of hospital admissions for acute coronary syndromes called AMIS Plus (acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina in Switzerland) has been ongoing in Switzerland, with 54 of the 106 hospitals treating STEMI in Switzerland now enrolling patients. We used the opportunity offered by this registry to analyse the current management of acute myocardial infarction in Switzerland, as well as trends over time, as a way to assess the impact of guidelines and major randomised controlled trials on everyday practice in Switzerland.

METHODS

The AMIS Plus project is a nationwide prospective registry of patients admitted with acute coronary syndromes to hospitals in Switzerland. The registry began in 1997 and has since then been recruiting patients. At the time of the present analysis, 54 of the 106 hospitals treating STEMI in Switzerland, ranging from community institutions to large tertiary facilities, were enrolling patients. Participating centres provide blinded data for each patient through a standardised internet or paper based questionnaire. The data are centralised at the Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine at the University of Zurich, where the data are checked for plausibility and consistency and incomplete questionnaires are returned to the enrolment centres for completion. The registry was approved by the Above-Regional Ethics Committee for Clinical Studies and the Swiss Board for Data Security. The AMIS Plus project is officially supported by the Swiss societies of cardiology, internal medicine, and intensive care medicine and is sponsored by
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unrestricted grants from the Swiss Heart Foundation as well as a number of pharmaceutical and medical device companies (listed in the acknowledgements).

The questionnaire comprises 140 items for each patient and is filled in by the coordinator of each institution. It seeks information regarding medical history and existing comorbidities, known cardiovascular risk factors, acute symptoms, out of hospital management, clinical presentation, early (first 48 hours) in-hospital management, reperfusion therapy, hospital course, diagnostic tests used or planned, hospital length of stay, and discharge medication and destination.

Patients are enrolled in the registry on the basis of their final diagnosis, which must comply with one of the three following definitions: acute myocardial infarction (symptoms or ECG changes compatible with acute coronary syndrome, or both, and cardiac enzymes (total creatine kinase (CK) or CK-MB) at least twice the upper limit of normal range); acute coronary syndrome with minimum necrosis (symptoms or ECG changes compatible with acute coronary syndrome, or both, and cardiac enzymes (total CK or CK-MB) lower than twice the upper limit of normal range, and positive troponins); and unstable angina (symptoms or ECG changes compatible with acute coronary syndrome, or both, and normal cardiac enzymes). Cases that are of unclear or non-cardiac cause are not included.

### Patient selection

The present analysis was based on patients satisfying the criteria for one of the three categories stated above and who were admitted with a suspected STEMI as an initial diagnosis. Therefore, we selected the patients from the 54 participating centres between January 1997 and December 2002 in the AMIS Plus registry who presented with ST segment elevation or a left bundle branch block on the admission ECG.

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study population (n = 7098) according to mode of reperfusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients*</th>
<th>Primary PCI n = 1419</th>
<th>Thrombolysis n = 2833</th>
<th>No reperfusion n = 2846</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>7035 (99.1%)</td>
<td>60.2 (12.5)</td>
<td>62.7 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>6997 (98.6%)</td>
<td>292 (21.4%)</td>
<td>650 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CAD</td>
<td>5106 (71.9%)</td>
<td>372 (30.0%)</td>
<td>516 (28.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>6890 (97.1%)</td>
<td>230 (16.7%)</td>
<td>428 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>6834 (97.3%)</td>
<td>658 (48.1%)</td>
<td>1231 (45.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>6716 (94.6%)</td>
<td>681 (51.7%)</td>
<td>1297 (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>6476 (93.3%)</td>
<td>827 (62.2%)</td>
<td>1446 (55.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are number (%) or mean (SD). *Patients for whom data were available; †p < 0.001 across all three groups.

### Statistical analysis

Data concerning patients were analysed according to the mode of reperfusion received: primary PCI, thrombolysis, and no reperfusion. Discrete variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages and continuous variables are presented as mean (SD) or median. Group differences were compared by the non-parametric Pearson \( \chi^2 \) test for discrete data and by analysis of variance for continuous data. A probability value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

A multivariate logistic regression model (backwards logistic regression method) was used to determine in-hospital mortality predictors from the following set of variables: admission year; age; sex; systolic and diastolic blood pressures; heart rate; history of arterial hypertension; history of hyperlipidaemia; history of diabetes; current smoking status; cardiopulmonary resuscitation before admission; defibrillation/cardioversion before admission; Killip class at hospital admission (class I as reference category); delay between symptom onset and admission to hospital > 6 hours; Q waves on initial ECG; left bundle branch block on initial ECG; ST segment elevation on initial ECG; thrombolysis (“no reperfusion” as reference category); and primary PCI (“no reperfusion” as reference category). Separate univariate logistical models were first fitted for each variable and then backwards elimination with a significance level of 0.05 was performed. Odds ratios were simultaneously adjusted for all the other predictors included in the multivariate logistic regression model. An additional logistic regression model was adjusted for the admission year to analyse whether the difference in in-hospital mortality between thrombolysis and PCI was an artefact in relation to the changes in reperfusion therapy.

SPSS (version 11.5, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.
RESULTS
Between January 1997 and December 2002, the 54 participating centres enrolled 11,845 patients admitted with an acute coronary syndrome in the AMIS Plus registry. Of these, 7279 patients presented with ST segment elevation or a left bundle branch block on the admission ECG. Data concerning reperfusion therapy were unclear or missing for 181 patients, who were therefore excluded. Thus, the present analysis was based on 7098 patients.

Table 1 shows patient demographics and characteristics on hospital admission according to the mode of reperfusion. These characteristics were similar in the patients who underwent primary PCI and thrombolyis in terms of age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors, and haemodynamic and ECG parameters. However, the patients who did not undergo reperfusion were older and had a higher rate of diabetes, hypertension, history of coronary artery disease, and haemodynamic instability at admission; more of them were women, they more often had a left bundle branch block on their admission ECG, and more important they had a longer delay from symptom onset to hospital admission. The questionnaire included an item on the reason why the patients did not undergo thrombolysis. When excluding the cases where PCI was preferred instead of thrombolysis (these patients were included in the primary PCI group), the information was available for 2224 (78.1%) patients who did not receive any reperfusion. The main reasons were that these patients were admitted with too long a delay from their symptom onset (52.1%), they did not have a certain diagnosis of STEMI, and they did not have the necessary ECG criteria (28.7%). The remainder (19.2%) either had a contraindication to thrombolysis or declined it.

Analysis of the proportion of patients treated with each mode of reperfusion from 1997 to 2002 (fig 1) shows the progressive increase in the use of primary PCI as a mode of reperfusion throughout the study period (p < 0.001 for trend). The proportion of patients who received thrombolysis and those who did not undergo any reperfusion gradually decreased over the same period (p < 0.001 for both trends). The proportion of patients undergoing each reperfusion mode and the calendar year in AMIS Plus and other registries (fig 2) shows that AMIS Plus is the first registry in which primary PCI has become the preferred mode of reperfusion for STEMI.

The overall in-hospital mortality for all patients throughout the study period was 10.1%. The mortality of the patients who underwent primary PCI, thrombolysis, and no reperfusion was 4.1%, 5.7%, and 17.4%, respectively (p < 0.001). Furthermore, overall in-hospital mortality decreased significantly (p < 0.001) over the study period (fig 3). In-hospital mortality stratified according to the mode of reperfusion also decreased significantly in the patients who were treated with primary PCI (p = 0.032) and in those who did not undergo reperfusion therapy (p = 0.038) from 1997 to 2002, whereas mortality did not evolve significantly in the patients treated with thrombolysis (p = 0.095).

Table 2 gives results of multivariate analysis of predictors for in-hospital mortality with their odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals based on 4993 patients (70.3%). Of all variables included in the model, Killip classes III and IV, prehospitalisation cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and withholding both primary PCI and thrombolysis were the strongest predictors of in-hospital mortality. Furthermore, a regression model comparing in-hospital mortality between reperfusion therapies, adjusted for the admission year, did not show that PCI was significantly more beneficial than thrombolysis (odds ratio 1.2 for a lower mortality associated with PCI; 95% confidence interval 0.76 to 1.90, p = 0.42).

DISCUSSION
By including a significant number of the hospitals in Switzerland, the AMIS Plus registry gives an accurate picture of the contemporary management of acute coronary syndromes in this country. This ongoing multicentre project, which offers the possibility of both data input and data analysis over the internet, is a useful tool to monitor current practices and to assess the impact of major randomised controlled trials and guidelines.16 17 The present analysis focused on the immediate reperfusion therapy of STEMI and its evolution over time.

The patients who underwent reperfusion, either by primary PCI or by thrombolysis, had a very similar profile. One notable difference was the delay between the onset of the symptoms and admission to hospital, which was about one hour longer for the patients who underwent primary PCI. Similar findings were described in the MIR (myocardial infarction registry) and MITRA (maximal individual therapy

![Figure 1](http://heart.bmj.com/) - Proportion of patients according to reperfusion mode from 1997 to 2002. PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; p for trend over the time period.
in acute myocardial infarction) registries and may be due to awareness that the beneficial effect of thrombolysis decreases with increasing pre-hospital delay. This effect does not apply to the same extent for primary PCI, therefore probably leading to a more liberal use of primary PCI for patients admitted late from the time of symptom onset.

The baseline characteristics were similar to the results of a previous study by Danchin and colleagues, which found no significant differences between the patients who underwent primary PCI and thrombolysis in terms of age, sex, and cardiovascular risk factors. The same study also showed that patients who did not undergo reperfusion were older and were admitted to hospital later. In our study, many of the differences in baseline characteristics between these patients and those who did undergo PCI or thrombolysis account for why those patients were not treated. Indeed, late arrival in hospital after symptom onset was noted to be the reason why about one half of these patients did not undergo reperfusion. Another reason stated was the unfulfilled ECG criteria, probably in relation to the higher rate of left bundle branch block and lower rate of ST segment elevation present on the initial ECG in these patients. In addition, the uncertainty of the diagnosis was also stated as a reason leading to absence of reperfusion. This may be linked, to a certain extent, to the higher proportion of women among these patients, who are known to have a higher incidence of atypical symptoms or silent myocardial infarctions than men, thus creating confusion regarding the establishment of a clear diagnosis. The higher age and therefore the higher rate of co-morbidities among these patients may also have influenced the choice of not performing reperfusion. The integration of Charlson’s index of co-morbidities in the questionnaire since

Figure 2 Proportion of patients according to reperfusion mode and to calendar year. Comparison of AMIS Plus (acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina in Switzerland) with other registries. ENACT, European Network for Acute Coronary Treatment; EURO ACS, Euro heart survey of acute coronary syndromes; GRACE, global registry of acute coronary events; NRMI 3, national registry of myocardial infarction 3.

Figure 3 Evolution of in-hospital mortality for all AMIS Plus patients according to reperfusion mode from 1997 to 2002; p for trend over the time period.
significantly decreased over the study period, whereas the mortality associated with primary PCI significantly more beneficial than thrombolysis. However, the mortality associated with primary PCI and thrombolysis (4.1% and 5.7%, respectively) was similar to the results of previous studies.10 15 Furthermore, admission year was a significant predictor, confirming the improvement in survival over the study period.

From 1997 to 2002, there was a major increase in the proportion of patients treated by primary PCI and therefore this procedure became the preferred mode of reperfusion for STEMI in 2002. Over the same period, the use of thrombolysis has gradually decreased. Although this trend has also been observed in other studies,11–14 the AMIS Plus registry is, to our knowledge, the first to show that primary PCI has become the most frequently used means of reperfusion,11–14 certainly reflecting the impact of the results of several randomised controlled trials and guidelines for the management of STEMI,1,4 and showing that recent information is indeed being translated into clinical practice.

In AMIS Plus, the global in-hospital mortality for STEMI, regardless of whether reperfusion was carried out, was 10.1% during the six years of the study, which is comparable with that reported from NRMI 3 (national registry of myocardial infarction 3) (9.8% in 1999),16 but slightly higher than the results reported from other European and international multicentre studies (6.0–8.0%).12–14 This is probably explained by the fact that these studies either included a high proportion of academic medical centres with revascularisation facilities or had more selective inclusion criteria than in AMIS Plus (for example, excluding patients who died early in the emergency room, before admission to the coronary care unit). Moreover, our study shows a gradual decline of overall in-hospital mortality for STEMI, from 12.2% in 1997 to 6.7% in 2002 (p < 0.001). The evolution of in-hospital mortality stratified according to the reperfusion mode suggests that the decline of overall mortality during the study period was due to the simultaneous reduction of the mortality of patients who underwent PCI, as well as those who did not receive reperfusion, whereas there was no significant change in the mortality of the patients who underwent thrombolysis.

The overall in-hospital mortality during the study period associated with primary PCI and thrombolysis (4.1% and 5.7%, respectively) was similar to the results of previous registries and randomised trials.10 15 A regression model comparing mortality between both reperfusion modes, adjusted for the admission year, did not show that primary PCI was significantly more beneficial than thrombolysis. However, the mortality associated with primary PCI significantly decreased over the study period, whereas the mortality associated with thrombolysis did not. This discordance has already been reported previously and is probably due to the fact that thrombolysis has not seen major advances over the past 10 years, whereas PCI has progressed greatly over the same period with the improvement of angioplasty technique, broader use of stents and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, growing experience of the treating physicians, and optimisation of out of hospital and in-hospital logistics to shorten door to balloon delays.10 16–19

The multivariate analysis for predicting in-hospital mortality at admission also confirmed the benefit associated with primary PCI and thrombolysis, contrasting with the results from previous studies.10 15 Furthermore, admission year was a significant predictor, confirming the improvement in survival over the study period.

### Limitations

Although at the time of this study 54 of the 106 hospitals treating STEMI in Switzerland were participating in the AMIS Plus registry, the number of the participating centres varied during the study period and they may therefore not be entirely representative of all the hospitals in the country. Thus, the absolute number of patients may not give a true picture of the national incidence of myocardial infarction leading to hospital admission. This should improve over the coming years as more centres join the project.

Another limitation, which is common to all registries, is that AMIS Plus is an observational study rather than a randomised trial. Some of the baseline characteristics of the patients were not available and may thus have created unrecognised bias. Nevertheless, although the participating centres were not individually audited on site, the data management centre continuously and carefully checked the data questionnaires and queried incomplete questionnaires as needed.

Lastly, it is important to mention that, although AMIS Plus is supported by unrestricted grants from several pharmaceutical and medical device companies, the data were collected and analysed independently. The sponsoring firms had no access to the raw data.

### Conclusions

From 1997 to 2002, the use of reperfusion for STEMI has increased progressively in Switzerland, with primary PCI becoming the preferred mode of reperfusion since 2002. This shows that the information from randomised controlled trials and guidelines is adequately reshaping daily clinical practice for the management of STEMI.1,4 Along with this progress, in-hospital mortality decreased greatly over the same period. However, about one third of the patients still did not receive reperfusion therapy in 2002. A continued effort is therefore necessary to make reperfusion available to a greater proportion of patients who did not receive reperfusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis for predicting in-hospital mortality at admission (n = 4993)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary PCI</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission year (per additional year)*</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per additional year)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (per additional beat/min)</td>
<td>1.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (per additional mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip class II</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip class III</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip class IV</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q wave on ECG</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-hospital CPR</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From 1997 to 2002.
CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
proportion of patients with STEMI, in particular to those admitted late after symptom onset.

Moreover, at a time when modern cardiology is changing rapidly, with new strategies such as transferring patients for primary PCI and evaluating facilitated PCI, registries such as AMIS Plus should help us to assess the applicability and effectiveness of these potential changes to come and to contribute to improved care for patients with STEMI.
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Cardiomyopathy induced by performance enhancing drugs in a competitive bodybuilder

A 36 year old competitive bodybuilder presented with increasing dyspnoea on exertion over a six week period. He gave a 10 year history of use of anabolic steroids, growth hormone, ephedrine, and thyroxine. Echocardiography demonstrated severe left ventricular hypertrophy and systolic dysfunction. Serum ferritin was normal and there was no serological evidence of viral infection or connective tissue disease. Angiography revealed normal coronary arteries and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) was performed to further investigate the cause of the cardiomyopathy. The left ventricle, shown here in end diastole (panel A) was noted to be severely hypertrophied (myocardial mass 465 g; normal range 85–181 g), dilated (end diastolic volume 319 ml; normal range 102–235 ml), and systolic function was severely impaired (ejection fraction 20%). Imaging post administration of gadolinium-DTPA was negative for late enhancement (panel B), excluding both myocardial infarction and macroscopic evidence of myocardial fibrosis. Initial treatment has been commenced with a diuretic, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, β blocker, and anticoagulation. Growth hormone excess has been associated with left ventricular hypertrophy while anabolic steroids have been associated both with myocardial hypertrophy, focal myocardial fibrosis, and premature myocardial infarction. Thyroxine may cause high output cardiac failure. CMR is the non-invasive investigation of choice in unexplained heart failure. This case illustrates that severe heart failure can occur in patients taking these performance enhancing drugs without CMR evidence of either myocardial infarction or myocardial fibrosis.
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