Image quality and diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive coronary imaging with 16 detector slice spiral computed tomography with 188 ms temporal resolution

A Kuettner, T Beck, T Drosch, K Kettering, M Heuschmid, C Burgstahler, C D Claussen, A F Kopp, S Schroeder

Objective: To evaluate image quality and clinical accuracy in detecting coronary artery lesions with a new multidetector spiral computed tomography (MDCT) generation with 16 detector slices and a temporal resolution of 188 ms.

Methods: 124 consecutive patients scheduled for invasive coronary angiography (ICA) were additionally studied by MDCT (Sensation 16 Speed 4D). MDCTs were analysed with regard to image quality and presence of coronary artery lesions. The results were compared with ICA.

Results: 120 of 124 scans were successful. The image quality of all remaining 120 scans was sufficient (mean (SD) heart rate 64.2 (9.8) beats/min, range 43–95). The mean calcium mass was 167 (223) mg (range 0–1038). Thirteen coronary segments were evaluated for each patient (1560 segments in total). Image quality was graded as follows: excellent, 422 (27.1%) segments; good, 540 (34.6%) segments; moderate, 277 (17.7%) segments; heavily calcified, 215 (13.8%) segments; and blurred, 106 (6.8%) segments. ICA detected 359 lesions with a diameter stenosis > 50% and MDCT detected 304 of 359 (85%). Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were 85%, 98%, 91%, and 96%, respectively. The correct clinical diagnosis (presence or absence of at least one stenosis > 50%) was obtained for 110 of 120 (92%) patients.

Conclusions: MDCT image quality can be further improved with 16 slices and faster gantry rotation time. These results in an unselected population underline the potential of MDCT to become a non-invasive diagnostic alternative, especially for the exclusion of coronary artery disease, in the near future.

Mechanical multidetector spiral computed tomography (MDCT) systems have been available since 1999 for the non-invasive visualisation of human coronary arteries. Continuous technical innovations have led to a further stabilisation of image quality allowing a high diagnostic accuracy to rule out or detect significant coronary lesions in selected patients. Nieman et al. and Ropers et al. reported promising results in selected patients with clinical suspicion of coronary artery disease (CAD) with the use of MDCT systems with 12 x 0.75 mm cross sections and 420 ms rotation time.

In autumn 2003, the latest generation of 16 slice scanners was introduced. They featured 16 slices for cardiac imaging, together with an improved gantry rotation time (16 x 0.75 mm, 375 ms).

The objective of our study was to evaluate the feasibility, image quality, and clinical accuracy in detecting coronary artery lesions with this scanner generation in an unselected patient population scheduled for invasive coronary angiography (ICA).

METHODS

Study population

We screened 195 consecutive patients scheduled for ICA because of suspected CAD or suspected progress of a known CAD for participation in the present study. All patients were listed for ICA because of typical chest pain or positive stress tests.

Seventeen (9%) patients declined to participate, 47 (24%) patients had contraindications, and for eight (4%) patients no scan time was available. Of the 195 patients, 124 (64%) were enrolled in this study. The local ethics committee had approved the study protocol and all patients gave informed consent. Exclusion criteria were defined as irregular heart rate, contraindications to iodinated contrast media, and increased serum creatinine concentrations > 133 µmol/l. All patients underwent MDCT one day before ICA. Patients with heart rates > 65 beats/min were given additional β-blockade.

Scan protocol

MDCT was performed with a 16 detector slice computed tomograph (Sensation 16 Speed 4D, Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) with a newly developed tube (Straton, Siemens Medical Solutions), 370 ms rotation time, and 16 slices read out simultaneously in the cardiac mode. The scan protocol was as follows: native vessels were scanned without contrast medium to determine the total calcium burden of the coronary tree (16 x 1.5 mm collimation, table feed 3.8 mm/rotation, tube current 133 mA at 120 kV). To evaluate the circulation time, 20 ml of contrast medium (20 ml at 4 ml/s, 400 mg iodine/ml, Imeron 400; Altana Pharma, Constance, Germany) and a chaser bolus of 20 ml saline were administered in an antecubital vein. The contrast enhanced scan of the coronary arteries was acquired with the following protocol: 80 ml intravenous contrast agent followed by 20 ml chaser bolus (50 ml at 4.0 ml/s, then 30 or 50 ml at 2.5 ml/s), 16 x 0.75 mm collimation, table feed 3.8 mm/rotation, tube current 650 mA at 120 kV. Computed tomography started at the aortic root cranial to the coronary sinus.

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; MDCT, multidetector spiral computed tomography
ostia and stopped at the diaphragm caudally of all cardiac structures. ECG pulsing with reduced tube current during systole was recorded during all scans to minimise radiation exposure.

**Image reconstruction**

Axial images were reconstructed by the standard built-in ECG gated half scan reconstruction algorithm (temporal resolution 185 ms, slice thickness 1.0 mm, increment 0.5 mm, kernel B 30 f). The reconstruction window was set to start at 60%; in case of motion artefacts an additional test series reconstructing single slices in 5% steps ranging from 20–75% relative to the RR interval was adopted. Examples of images are shown in figs 1 and 2.

**MDCT image interpretation**

Two reviewers, blinded to the results of ICA and to all clinical information, evaluated the MDCTs in a joint reading. Data were analysed on an offline workstation for postprocessing (Leonardo, Siemens, Forchheim, Germany). Coronary calcifications were assessed on native scans and quantified by determining the total calcium mass expressed in mg of calcium hydroxyapatite.

Contrast enhanced MDCT angiograms were investigated by the use of axial slices and 4 mm thin slab maximum intensity projections in axial and double oblique orientation adapted to the specific anatomy of the vessel.

Image quality was classified as excellent (no motion artefacts present), good (minor motion artefacts present), moderate (substantial motion artefacts present but luminal assessment regarding significant stenosis still possible), heavily calcified (vessel lumen obscured by calcification), or blurred (no luminal assessment of significant stenosis possible).

The readers assessed significant lesions with a diameter stenosis $> 50\%$. Results were documented separately for 13 coronary segments from each patient according to a modified American Heart Association classification with 13 segments (right coronary artery, segments 1–4; left main, segment 5; left anterior descending coronary artery, segments 6–10; left circumflex artery, segments 11–13).

The clinical diagnosis based on MDCT was considered to be correct if MDCT ruled out any significant lesion $> 50\%$ or MDCT detected correctly at least one significant lesion $> 50\%$ that was detected by ICA.

**Quantitative coronary angiography**

ICA was performed with standard techniques one day after the MDCT. All angiograms were evaluated by one blinded independent observer by quantitative coronary analysis (Philips Medical Systems, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). The projection with the most severe degree of diameter stenosis was used for evaluation. Lesions with a diameter stenosis $> 50\%$ were considered to be significant.

**Statistical analysis**

ICA was regarded as the reference standard for the detection of significant lesions. MDCT results were compared on a segmental basis, for each vessel and each patient. If a segment contained more than one lesion, the most severe lesion determined the diagnostic accuracy of the assessment. Categorical data were presented with absolute frequencies.
and percentages. Continuous variables are shown as mean (SD). Data were analysed with Prism 3.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, California, USA).

RESULTS

Patient population
Of 195 consecutive patients scheduled for ICA, 124 (64%) were suitable for MDCT and participation in our study. Clinical indication for ICA was suspicion of CAD in 80 of 124 (65%) patients and suspicion of restenosis in 44 of 124 (35%) patients. Fourteen patients had stented lesions which were excluded from analysis, and 13 patients had coronary artery bypass grafts. Patient characteristics were as follows: 83 were men (67%), mean (SD) age was 64.1 (9.1) years, heart rate was 64.2 (9.8) beats/min (range 43–95, mean calcium mass was 167 (223) mg (range 0–1038), and body mass index was 28.2 (3.8) kg/m² (range 20–38). Cardiovascular risk factors were distributed as follows: hyperlipoproteinaemia, 97 of 124 (78%); hypertension, 90 of 124 (73%); smoking, 42 of 124 (34%); family history, 25 of 124 (20%); and diabetes, 22 of 124 (18%).

Image quality
Additional β blockade was given to 63 of 124 (51%) patients before MDCT because of heart rates > 65 beats/min at inclusion. Of 124 (97%) scans, 120 were successful (two incomplete respiratory stops, one ECG defect, one extravasation of contrast medium). All remaining 120 scans had sufficient image quality. In total, 1560 segments (120 patients × 13 segments) were evaluated. Image quality was graded as follows: excellent, 422 (27.1%) segments; good, 540 (34.6%) segments; moderate, 277 (17.7%) segments; heavily calcified, 215 (13.8%) segments; and blurred, 106 (6.8%) segments. The entire coronary tree was visualisable with diagnostic image quality in 60 of 120 (50%) patients.

Table 1 Number of coronary segments with diagnostic image quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RCA segments</th>
<th>ICA</th>
<th>MDCT</th>
<th>Evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM segment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>118 98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD segments</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>539 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>118 98%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>119 99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>117 98%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100 83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>85   71%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx segments</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>336 93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>116 97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>113 94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>107 89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Diagnostic accuracy of MDCT in detecting and excluding severe lesions per segment and per vessel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>TP (n = 304)</th>
<th>FP (n = 29)</th>
<th>TN (n = 1172)</th>
<th>FN (n = 55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCA segments</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38% 91% 95%</td>
<td>91% 95% NA NA NA NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21% 96% 99%</td>
<td>96% 99% 24 1 94 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36% 93% 99%</td>
<td>98% 96% 40 1 76 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28% 94% 99%</td>
<td>97% 98% 32 1 85 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18% 90% 97%</td>
<td>86% 98% 19 3 96 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM segment</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7% 88% 99%</td>
<td>88% 99% 7 1 111 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD segments</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56% 78% 87%</td>
<td>88% 75% NA NA NA NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21% 84% 96%</td>
<td>84% 96% 21 4 91 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>38% 89% 97%</td>
<td>95% 94% 41 2 72 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21% 80% 100%</td>
<td>100% 95% 20 0 95 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23% 67% 94%</td>
<td>75% 91% 18 6 87 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19% 39% 96%</td>
<td>69% 87% 9 4 93 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx segments</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42% 91% 95%</td>
<td>91% 95% NA NA NA NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20% 92% 97%</td>
<td>88% 98% 22 3 93 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25% 90% 99%</td>
<td>96% 97% 27 1 89 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24% 86% 98%</td>
<td>92% 96% 24 2 90 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FN, false negative; FP, false positive; NA, not applicable; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; TN, true negative; TP, true positive.
stabilisation of image quality. In our study evaluating the entire coronary tree, only 7% of coronary segments, the majority in side branches and distal vessel segments, were not analysable.

Image quality and lesion detection

Our study of a large patient population reproduced the previously reported promising results on lesion detection. There are, however, important differences between these studies and ours, which underline the improved diagnostic accuracy of the latest MDCT generation. Nieman et al. and Ropers et al. reported on highly selected populations with clinical suspicion of CAD, but they studied only vessel segments with a diameter > 2.0 mm and > 1.5 mm, respectively. In contrast, we investigated consecutive patients who were scheduled for ICA, and all vessel segments were included. Even patients with advanced CAD were studied by MDCT, which is highlighted by the relatively high mean calcium mass. In addition, although all patients received \( \beta \) blockade as standard medication or immediately before the MDCT, there was a wide range of heart rates during scanning. Despite these factors, which are known to influence image quality of MDCT, the number of segments with insufficient image quality was low. However, as table 2 shows, vessel size and location still had a significant impact on the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT. This is also underlined by the very good accuracy when evaluating bypass grafts.

Most lesions missed were located in diagonal, marginal, and posterolateral branches. Although temporal resolution has been improved and spatial resolution is now \( 0.5 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 \text{ mm} \), especially smaller segments (<1.5 diameter) are still susceptible to motion artefacts and even severe lesions may be obscured. The accuracy in larger segments was, however, consistently higher than 90%.

Clinical use of MDCT

The correct clinical diagnosis, which is the most relevant information in clinical practice when looking at the individual patient, defined in our study as the detection of at least one significant lesion, was obtained for 92% of patients. A complete correspondence with the reference standard ICA was found in 72% of patients. These data suggest that, although we documented a further improvement of diagnostic accuracy, the latest MDCT generation is not ready to replace diagnostic ICA. As table 2 indicates, one significant left main stenosis as well as several lesions located within proximal vessel segments were not accurately classified by MDCT. All lesions were, however, detected but lesion severity was underestimated. This was mainly due to severe calcifications, which are well known to prohibit accurate determination of the vessel lumen. Thus, in case of severe calcifications or motion artefacts, the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT is insecure and ICA should be used for clarification in these patients.

The consistently high negative predictive value, which was also documented by other studies, underlines, however, that in the case of good image quality and absence of relevant calcifications or motion artefacts, MDCT may be useful for the exclusion of significant lesions in well defined patient groups, which have to be outlined by future studies.

Limitations of MDCT remain radiation exposure (4–6 mSv) and the need for iodinated contrast media. Direct comparison with ICA may, however, be misleading, since MDCT as a cross sectional technique allows evaluation not only of the vessel lumen but also of the vessel wall and plaque morphology.

Study limitations

Although the number of patients was relatively high (n = 124) and the MDCT investigators were blinded to the ICA results, this was a single centre trial. Further multicentre studies are required to confirm our data. Stented lesions were excluded from analysis, since current technology does not allow accurate visualisation and evaluation of the vessel lumen within the stents. This indication has to be addressed by further studies with improved technology in the future.

Furthermore, the present study was not designed to evaluate MDCT in selected groups of patients. Since our objective was to investigate image quality and diagnostic accuracy in consecutive patients scheduled for ICA, this question has to be addressed by further studies. A limitation remains that although the initial success of recruitment was high (178 of 195 (91%)), only 64% of patients actually were suitable for participation in our study.

Conclusions

Compared with magnetic resonance imaging and electron beam computed tomography, the latest MDCT appears to be the most advanced non-invasive imaging modality to visualise human coronary arteries. However, further improvements of spatial and temporal resolutions are still required to challenge diagnostic ICA.
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