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In the era of primary PCI, a strategy of admitting patients to the nearest hospital should be obsolete. Instead, a prehospital diagnostic strategy should be implemented in order to: (1) refer patients directly to interventional centres, thereby eliminating delay at local hospitals; (2) alert the interventional centre, thereby reducing door to balloon times; (3) initiate adjunctive medication in the prehospital phase.

Patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) constitute approximately half of all patients who are admitted with acute myocardial infarction (AMI). In these patients reperfusion treatment, either thrombolysis or primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), should be initiated as soon as possible to ensure optimal patient outcome. Major disadvantages of thrombolysis are: 30–60 minutes may elapse from hospital admission until initiation of treatment (unless prehospital thrombolysis is an option); additional 30–60 minutes elapse until reperfusion is achieved (if achieved at all); and patients are at increased risk of reinfarctions and strokes when compared with an interventional treatment strategy.

The major disadvantage of primary PCI is admission of the majority of patients to hospitals without interventional facilities. In these patients acute transfer to an interventional centre is required causing further delay in initiation of the treatment. Nonetheless, recent trials have documented that a strategy of emergent interhospital transfer is not only feasible and safe but also associated with improved clinical outcome.

TIME DEPENDENT PROGNOSTIC BENEFIT OF REPERFUSION TREATMENT

It has been claimed that the time dependent prognostic benefit of thrombolysis cannot be copied to primary PCI, if treatment delay (time from symptom onset until initiation of reperfusion treatment) is below 2–3 hours. This is in contrast to trials documenting that long door to balloon times (time from hospital admission until first balloon inflation) and long symptom onset to balloon times, respectively, are associated with increased mortality. Furthermore, a recent study has shown that each additional 30 minute delay in the initiation of treatment with primary PCI is associated with a 7.5% increased risk of dying. Therefore, we should try to reduce treatment delays further in the setting of primary PCI.

In the following, we describe requirements for an optimal regional strategy of primary PCI, which may result in a substantial reduction of treatment delays.

REQUIREMENTS FOR A SUCCESSFUL REGIONAL STRATEGY OF PRIMARY PCI

In the era of thrombolysis, reperfusion treatment was available even at the smallest hospital and in some cases in the prehospital phase. Outcome was independent of hospital volume. Neither of these are the case in primary PCI. Due to volume dependent success rates primary PCI should be considered as an acute reperfusion treatment only at hospitals where the volume of procedures is sufficiently large for physicians to develop and maintain their skills. Accordingly, the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines recommend that PCI for AMI should only be performed in high volume centres (> 400 procedures/year) with fully equipped interventional laboratories available on a 24 hour basis. Thus, in regions where a high volume primary PCI centre can be reached within two hours from patient contact to the medical system, primary PCI should be the preferred treatment. This calls for a reorganisation of the current regional strategy in order to treat all patients living within two hours of transport time from the interventional centre with primary PCI.

The first requirement to achieve a successful regional strategy for primary PCI is an agreement among all cardiologists in the region upon an interventional treatment strategy. Subsequently, a high volume centre with 24 hour interventional capabilities should be established, and all non-interventional hospitals located within two hours of transport should be identified. A “trauma team approach” to patients with STEMI should be established in the region in close collaboration between the emergency medical system, the local hospitals, and the interventional centre.

OPTIMAL PREHOSPITAL STRATEGY

Patient delay (time from onset of symptoms until calling for help) is still the major contributor of treatment delay (time from onset of symptoms until initiation of reperfusion treatment). Media campaigns have failed to yield a long lasting reduction in patient delay. Still, we should encourage people to call for help as soon as possible after onset of chest pain, and patients should be informed that contact to the emergency medical system is preferable. It is well documented that contact to a general practitioner prolongs the prehospital delay. Likewise, even though self transportation results in earlier admission, it delays initiation of reperfusion treatment. Moreover, in order to benefit from prehospital diagnosis it is mandatory that patients contact the emergency medical system when experiencing relevant cardiac symptoms.

The next step is establishment of a prehospital diagnosis. Prehospital 12 lead ECG capabilities must be available. If ambulances are neither staffed with physicians, nor with paramedics trained in prehospital diagnosis, a strategy of transmitting the ECGs to a hospital for further analysis is necessary.

Abbreviations: AMI, acute myocardial infarction; door-to-balloon time, time from hospital admission until first balloon inflation; facilitated PCI, thrombolytic therapy followed by acute PCI; patient delay, time from onset of symptoms until calling for help; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction; treatment delay, time from symptoms onset until initiation of reperfusion treatment.
Table 1 Delays in patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction transferred acutely from local hospitals to interventional centres for primary percutaneous coronary intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Time from arrival at local hospital to departure</th>
<th>Time from departure from local hospital to arrival at interventional centre</th>
<th>Time from arrival at interventional centre to first balloon inflation</th>
<th>Time from symptom onset to first balloon inflation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maastricht†</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20†</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>230†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAGUE-1*</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>32*</td>
<td>35*</td>
<td>28*</td>
<td>215*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANAMI-2‡</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>50*</td>
<td>32*</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>224*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAGUE-2</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>48*</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>277*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air PAMI‡</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73*</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time in minutes: †median; ‡mean.
NA, not available.

LOCAL HOSPITAL: OPTIMAL STRATEGY

If direct referral from the scene of the event to the interventional centre is not possible, at least a strategy of emergency interhospital transfer should be implemented. In order to reduce delays at local hospitals, prehospital diagnosis should be performed. Thereby, the local hospital is alerted before patient arrival and necessary arrangements can be made for emergency interhospital transfer. In patients who present themselves to a local hospital, local heart attack units should implement a triage that ensures fast establishment of the diagnosis and transfer to the interventional centre. The number of patients who present themselves is relatively low in most European countries (15–20%), whereas it is considerable higher in the USA (50%).

INTERVENTIONAL CENTRE: OPTIMAL STRATEGY

Patient transfer to interventional centres should not be delayed by difficulties in communication between physicians. The local hospitals as well as the emergency medical systems (in the setting of prehospital diagnosis) should have the privilege to make the decision to transfer patients with STEMI without negotiating with the primary PCI centre. The interventional centre should be alerted before patient arrival, either by the emergency medical system (in the setting of prehospital diagnosis and direct referral to primary PCI), or by the local hospital (in the setting of acute transfer to primary PCI). This early contact allows time to prepare the cardiac catheterisation laboratory and to alert all personnel involved. The patient should be directed to the cardiac catheterisation laboratory, avoiding initial admission to the emergency department or coronary care unit. Whether alerted by the local hospital or by the emergency medical system, door to balloon times of 25–30 minutes can be achieved.

Most patients can return to their local hospital within 12 hours of admission. If feasible and safe a strategy of “drive-in PCI” might be adopted in the future. The local hospital should function as a subunit of the regional myocardial infarction centre, allowing follow up to be maintained in the patient’s home district. Likewise, the need for further revascularisation should be coordinated in close collaboration between the cardiologists at the local hospitals and the interventional centre.

![Figure 1](http://example.com/image.png)
ADJUNCTIVE MEDICATION

Aspirin and heparin is mandatory in the acute phase. The prognostic benefit of these medications may very well increase if administered in the prehospital phase.12 Clopidogrel should be given at a loading dose of 300–600 mg.13 Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors improve short term vessel revascularisation when given during the catheterisation procedure.14-15 It is not yet established whether the outcome of primary PCI will be further improved by prehospital administration of a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor.14 15 So far, it is unclear whether additional benefit can be achieved if thrombolysis is given before primary PCI (facilitated PCI).

CONCLUSION

Treatment of patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction with primary PCI is a superior reperfusion strategy compared to on-site thrombolysis, also among patients with extensive myocardial infarction admitted to a hospital without a catheterization laboratory. The PRAGUE study.29
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