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Myocardial viability assessed by dobutamine stress echocardiography predicts reduced mortality early after acute myocardial infarction: determining the risk of events after myocardial infarction (DREAM) study

J M A Swinburn, R Senior

Objective: To establish further the role of dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE) in prognostication of outcome early after acute myocardial infarction (AMI)

Methods: Consecutive patients presenting with AMI were screened for inclusion into the study. 212 stable consenting patients underwent DSE a mean (SD) of 4.8 (1.5) days after AMI. Patients were then followed up for 803 (297) days.

Results: The mean (SD) resting systolic wall thickening index (SWTI) was 1.6 (0.4), 44% patients had evidence of viability at low dose, and 38% had evidence of ischaemia. During the follow up period 27 (13%) patients died and 16 (8%) had a non-fatal AMI. Independent predictors of both mortality and combined mortality and non-fatal AMI were age (hazard ratio (HR) 1.04/year, p = 0.01, and HR 1.03/year, p = 0.04, respectively) and SWTI at low dose (HR 3.6, p < 0.01, and HR 2.5, p = 0.02, respectively). Low dose DSE provided incremental information over clinical and resting left ventricular function data for predicting death and non-fatal AMI. For patients who were not revascularised SWTI at peak dose dobutamine was the only independent predictor of mortality.

Conclusion: DSE is a powerful predictor of outcome in stable survivors of AMI. The presence of myocardial viability has a positive impact on survival.

Risk stratification is a crucial component of the management of patients after acute myocardial infarction (AMI). A significant proportion of patients manifest clinical features that are associated with high risk, such as postinfarction angina, heart failure, or haemodynamic instability, and these patients require an aggressive invasive management strategy. The remaining patients, however, have a broad spectrum of risk that is not clinically apparent and need further investigation to elucidate the extent of this risk.

Whereas resting left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is a well recognised and important marker of prognosis, in the early postinfarction period viability and function may be uncoupled, such that if significant amounts of stunned or hibernating myocardium are present then resting LVEF substantially underestimates the true contractile potential of the ventricle. In the context of stable coronary artery disease several observational studies have indicated that patients with viable but ischaemic myocardium have a worse outcome if treated medically rather than revascularised. Dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE) has been extensively used for risk stratification of patients after AMI, but there is some disparity between studies as to the prognostic implication of various components of the dobutamine response. This study was conducted to determine further the role of DSE in the assessment of survivors of AMI who are asymptomatic and haemodynamically stable.

METHODS

Consecutive patients presenting to our institution with AMI were screened for inclusion in this prospective study. From a total of 620 patients, 212 stable and consenting patients were recruited. A diagnosis of AMI was based on the presence of at least two of the following three features: typical cardiac chest pain, ECG ST segment elevation, and a creatine kinase concentration greater than twice the upper limit of normal. All patients presenting to our institution during the study period (May 1998 to July 2000) were screened for inclusion into the study. Patients were excluded if they had evidence of ongoing ischaemia, haemodynamic instability, or significant co-morbidity. The study patients then underwent DSE at least three days after admission and were followed up for subsequent adverse clinical events. β Blockers were stopped for two days before DSE. All clinical management decisions were taken by physicians who were not involved in the study and who were unaware of the results of DSE. Coronary angiography was performed on clinical grounds only and was not a requirement of the study protocol. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Echocardiography

Echocardiography was performed with a broadband transducer (4 to 2 MHz) on an HDI 3000 (Advanced Technology Laboratory, Bothell, Washington, USA) in four standard views. Images were digitised by an integrated online system (Kodak) to produce loops of a single cardiac cycle in each view. Two experienced observers scored systolic wall thickening according to the American Society of Echocardiography’s 16 segment left ventricular model. Differences of opinion were resolved by consensus. Systolic wall thickening index

Abbreviations: AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CI, confidence interval; DSE, dobutamine stress echocardiography; EDIC, echo dobutamine international cooperative; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SWTI, systolic wall thickening index
individual segments was scored as 1 (normal), 2 (reduced), 3 (absent), or 4 (paradoxical). Systolic wall thickening index (SWTI) was calculated by adding up the individual scores in each of 16 segments and divided by the total number of segments (that is, 16).

**Dobutamine echocardiography**

After resting images had been acquired, dobutamine infusion was started at 5 μg/kg/min for five minutes and then increased to 10 and then 15 μg/kg/min each for five minutes. Low dose images were acquired during this phase before heart rate significantly increased. The dobutamine infusion was then increased to 40 μg/kg/min in 5 μg/kg/min increments every three minutes until 75% of the age predicted target heart rate was reached. If the target heart rate was not achieved atropine was given in 200 μg boluses up to a maximum of 1.2 mg. High dose images were acquired before the dobutamine infusion was discontinued. The test was terminated early if there was chest pain, ST segment deviation ≥ 2 mm from baseline, hypotension (< 90 mm Hg or a drop > 40 mm Hg), hypertension (systolic > 200 mm Hg or diastolic > 110 mm Hg), or intolerable symptoms. Images were displayed side by side for wall thickening analysis. Two experienced observers analysed wall thickening from the same 16 segment model.

**Follow up**

Study patients were followed up in a dedicated clinic for at least six months. Subsequent follow up was by letter and telephone. Details of clinical events were obtained from the patient or relatives, from the general practitioner, or by review of the clinical notes. The vital status of all screened patients was determined from the hospital patient information system at the termination of the study. Primary study end points were all cause death and non-fatal myocardial infarction, which were assessed for all patients.

**Statistical analysis**

Power calculations were based on local outcome data to achieve significance at the 5% level with 80% power over a similar follow up duration. Continuous data are presented as mean (SD) or as median with range if not normally distributed. Differences between means were calculated with an unpaired t test. Differences between proportions were calculated by a one way analysis of variance. Cox univariate logistic regression analysis was performed for continuous and categorical variables to predict time dependant study end points. Multiple logistic regression analysis was then performed including all variables with p < 0.05 by forward elimination. Regression analysis was then repeated with variables forced into the analysis based on the order in which they are acquired in clinical practice. In this way a series of models was generated with $\chi^2$ values for each model. The log rank test was used to compare sequential models. A probability value of p < 0.05 was considered significant. Data were analysed with SPSS 11.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**RESULTS**

A total of 620 patients were screened for entry into the study. Of these, 501 had confirmed AMI and 212 (42%) of them were recruited. Of those not included in the study, 47 (9%) died early after AMI and 84 (17%) were excluded for ongoing clinical instability. A further 95 patients were excluded because of consent refusal (45), inability to undergo DSE in a timely fashion (41), or inability to attend follow up (9).

Table 1 lists the demographic characteristics of the study cohort. Compared with the excluded group, there was no difference in proportion of male patients or of those receiving thrombolysis, or in mean peak creatine kinase or creatine kinase MB fraction, although excluded patients were significantly older (p = 0.01) and less likely to have diabetes (p = 0.03). Comparison between the study cohort and the logistic/refusal group showed a significant differences in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Reason for termination of dobutamine stress test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieved target heart rate 149 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain 25 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular arrhythmia (including multiple ventricular extrasystoles and salvos) 11 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension (&lt;90 mm Hg or drop &gt;40 mm Hg) 7 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved maximum dose 6 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive wall motion abnormality 6 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST depression ≥ 2 mm 2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (systolic &gt;220 mm Hg) 1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 5 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographic characteristics of 495 patients with confirmed myocardial infarction available for follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q wave MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK (IU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK-MB (IU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome Mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD) of number (%).

*Difference from study population.

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CABG, coronary artery bypass surgery; CK, creatine kinase; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; NA, not applicable; NS, not significant.
mean age (p < 0.001) only. At discharge 66% were taking β blockers, 56% were taking angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, 74% were taking statins, and 91% were taking aspirin.

Follow up
Follow up data were available for all 212 included patients. The mean (SD) duration of follow up for surviving patients was 803 (297) days. An adverse outcome occurred in 39 patients (18%), of whom 27 died and 16 had a non-fatal myocardial infarction. Ninety eight patients (46%) underwent a revascularisation procedure during the course of the study. The mean (SD) delay from presentation to revascularisation was 157 (183) days. Vital status follow up was available for all but six (99%) of the entire screened population with confirmed myocardial infarction. Included patients had a significantly lower mortality (13%) than the excluded population (44%) (p < 0.001). The mortality of patients who refused consent or who were excluded on logistic grounds was not significantly lower (9%) than that of the study population.

DSE safety and haemodynamic data
DSE was performed 4.8 (1.5) days after myocardial infarction. All but seven patients were studied after adequate cessation of β blocker. The mean maximum heart rate was 129 (16) beats/min. The target heart rate was achieved by 149 patients (70%) (table 2). One patient experienced an episode of self terminating ventricular tachycardia during the dobutamine infusion and 53 experienced chest pain, of whom two developed ST segment elevation.

Echocardiographic data
All but three (99%) patients had evidence of systolic wall thickening abnormalities at rest. The mean SWTI at rest was 1.6 (0.4). However, 94 (44%) had evidence of contractile reserve and the mean SWTI fell to 1.5 (0.4) when dobutamine was infused at low dose. Eighty (38%) patients had ischaemia and 47 (22%) had echocardiographic evidence of multivessel disease.

Prediction of death
The univariate predictors of death were age (χ² = 8.3), infarct size (χ² = 8.1), echocardiographic multivessel disease (χ² = 5.5), SWTI at rest (χ² = 6.4), SWTI after low dose dobutamine (χ² = 10.2), and SWTI after peak dose dobutamine (χ² = 6.3) (table 3). The only multivariate predictors of death were age (hazard ratio (HR) 1.04/year, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.01 to 1.08; p = 0.01) and SWTI after low dose dobutamine (HR 3.6, 95% CI 1.4 to 9.3, p = 0.007).

In the incremental analysis resting function provided incremental information in addition to age (p = 0.03), but low dose wall thickening did not provide any significant incremental benefit (p = 0.1) (fig 1). Figure 2 depicts a Kaplan-Meier survival curve showing the impact of wall motion score index at low dose dobutamine on mortality.

Prediction of death or non-fatal MI
The univariate predictors of death or non-fatal AMI were age (χ² = 6.0), infarct size (χ² = 5.5), SWTI after low dose dobutamine (χ² = 7.3), and SWTI at peak dose dobutamine (χ² = 4.0) (table 3). The multivariate predictors of this end point were age (HR 1.03/year, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.06; p = 0.04) and SWTI after low dose dobutamine (HR 2.5, 95% CI 1.2 to 5.5; p = 0.02). For this end point resting echocardiographic function did not provide an incremental benefit over age, but echocardiographic analysis at low dose dobutamine did provide significant additional information (p = 0.02) (fig 1).

Table 3  Cox regression analysis for the prediction of both study end points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Death</th>
<th>Death or MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.5  0.004  6.0  0.01</td>
<td>8.5  0.004  6.0  0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>0.8  NS  0.3  NS</td>
<td>0.8  NS  0.3  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>0.6  NS  0.2  NS</td>
<td>0.6  NS  0.2  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous AMI</td>
<td>3.0  NS  2.4  NS</td>
<td>3.0  NS  2.4  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior AMI</td>
<td>0.1  NS  0.6  NS</td>
<td>0.1  NS  0.6  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q wave infarction</td>
<td>0.9  NS  1.5  NS</td>
<td>0.9  NS  1.5  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis</td>
<td>0.9  NS  1.0  NS</td>
<td>0.9  NS  1.0  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK</td>
<td>0.9  NS  0.0  NS</td>
<td>0.9  NS  0.0  NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWTI – rest</td>
<td>6.4  0.01  3.2  0.08</td>
<td>6.4  0.01  3.2  0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWTI – low dose</td>
<td>10.2  0.001  7.3  0.008</td>
<td>10.2  0.001  7.3  0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWTI – peak dose</td>
<td>6.3  0.01  4.0 &lt;0.05</td>
<td>6.3  0.01  4.0 &lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD (echocardiographic)</td>
<td>5.5  0.02  1.3 NS</td>
<td>5.5  0.02  1.3 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarct size*</td>
<td>8.1  0.004  5.5  0.02</td>
<td>8.1  0.004  5.5  0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of ischaemia†</td>
<td>0.2  NS  0.4  NS</td>
<td>0.2  NS  0.4  NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of non-viable segments; †number of ischaemic segments.
MVD, multivessel disease; SWTI, systolic wall thickening index.
Medically treated patients

SWTI at peak dose dobutamine was the only multivariate predictor of death (HR 3.5, 95% CI 1.4 to 9.1; p = 0.009) and the combined end point of death or non-fatal AMI (HR 3.9, 95% CI 1.6 to 9.6; p = 0.003) in patients who were not revascularised (table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

It has long been known that LVEF is a key determinant of outcome after AMI. However, LVEF six months after myocardial infarction is a better predictor of mortality than ejection fraction measured acutely. It has been shown that low dose DSE evidence of myocardial viability after AMI was the best predictor of subsequent improvement in LVEF. This study combines these findings and shows that low dose DSE evidence of myocardial viability is the strongest univariate predictor of both death and death or myocardial infarction and the best independent predictor of long term survival of stable patients early after AMI. Information from DSE furthermore is incremental over the resting left ventricular function. However, in the group of patients who did not undergo revascularisation, evidence of ischaemia by high dose DSE predicted mortality.

**Comparison with other studies: impact of ischaemia**

Carlos et al. studied 214 patients who were followed up for at least 500 days after AMI and reported that lack of myocardial viability of the infarct zone was highly predictive of an adverse outcome (combined hard and soft events but not death). In particular, they found that patients with large but viable infarcts had a similar prognosis to those with small infarcts. Previtali et al. showed that patients with viability and no ischaemia have an excellent prognosis but found no independent prognostic role for myocardial viability.

However, in the EDIC (echo dobutamine international cooperative) study consisting of 778 patients and in a study by Nijland et al the presence of viability was associated with an excess of episodes of unstable angina but had no impact on the prediction of hard events (death or non-fatal AMI). The EDIC authors hypothesised that the benefits of viability were only modest in patients with reasonably preserved ventricular function (mean resting SWTI 1.5) and were outweighed by the “unstable substrate” for further events. This is supported by data published by Anselmi et al., who found more non-fatal cardiac events in medically treated patients with viability than without viability and by a reanalysis of the EDIC patients with SWTI > 1.6 and who were treated medically. However, in this group with severe left ventricular dysfunction the presence of viability did exert a protective effect on survival.

The present study, however, is the first to show that the presence of myocardial viability is an independent predictor of survival. Table 5 summarises the differences between the present and aforementioned studies. The resting left ventricular function in our study was similar to that in the original EDIC study, so the difference in results cannot be explained on the basis of left ventricular dysfunction. Variation in rates of revascularisation is another possible explanation for the differences, since revascularised viable tissue would be expected to recover and contribute to resting function with resultant mortality benefits and the potential detrimental effect of further ischaemia would have been removed. However, we believe that the most likely explanation for the difference between our results and the results of all previous studies is the increased number of hard events, which is related in part to the patient population and almost certainly to the length of follow up, which is the longest of such patients reported to date.

**Comparison with other studies: impact of ischaemia**

In several studies DSE evidence of the presence and extent of myocardial ischaemia was an independent predictor of outcome after AMI. Furthermore, patients with preserved left ventricular function (≥ 40%) who have no evidence of ischaemia have an excellent long term outcome. In our study, however, ischaemia was a predictor of outcome only of patients who were not revascularised, probably because the relatively high rate of revascularisation had the effect of diminishing the significance of this variable for analysis of the whole cohort.

**Limitations**

Whether to perform a coronary revascularisation procedure was decided on anatomical grounds by physicians who were
responsible for patient management. However, these physicians were blinded to the stress echocardiogram results. Also, coronary angiography was not a study requirement, so the relative prognostic impact of angiography and the impact of infarct related arterial patency could not be compared with stress echocardiography. We studied consecutive, consenting, stable patients admitted to our institution and did not exclude patients with previous myocardial infarction. In some instances this made determination of the infarct territory difficult; however, it makes our results more applicable to the caseload of a typical district general hospital.

Because of ethical concerns about the possible adverse impact of stressing patients so early after AMI our target peak heart rate was only 75% of the age predicted maximum, compared with a target of 85% for patients with stable coronary disease. This may have resulted in a reduced sensitivity for detection of ischaemia and consequently for predicting outcome in the entire study cohort. However, despite this, in the subgroup of patients who were not revascularised, inducible ischaemia still predicted outcome.

Conclusion
This study adds to the available data showing that DSE is a powerful predictor of outcome of stable survivors of AMI and provides evidence that the presence of myocardial viability detected by DSE has a positive impact on survival.
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Angiographic appearance of “tumour blush” produced by a large right atrial myxoma

A 76 year old woman presented to our institution with shortness of breath. The initial evaluation included a computed tomographic (CT) scan to exclude pulmonary embolism. However, the CT scan demonstrated a large right atrial mass which was confirmed by echocardiography. The patient was referred for coronary angiography before resection of this mass. A right atrial angiogram showed a large mobile filling defect measuring 6 cm in diameter in the right atrium prolapsing into the right ventricle in diastole. Coronary angiography showed no significant coronary artery disease. The right coronary artery injection showed an atrial branch of the right coronary artery supplying this tumour producing a “tumour blush” (panel). The patient underwent surgical excision of the mass with patch reconstruction of the atrial septum. The final pathological diagnosis was atrial myxoma.
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