The peripheral circulation is abnormal in chronic heart failure (CHF).\(^1\) The increase in total peripheral resistance adds to the burden of the struggling myocardium. Redistribution of arterial blood flow along with raised venous pressure compromises the functioning of various tissues and organs. Whereas it is well known that endothelial dysfunction contributes to these circulatory disturbances,\(^2\) how to reverse the underlying abnormalities is less evident.

Where coronary artery disease is present and the dominant cause of CHF, treatment with statins is logical. However, in a sizeable proportion of patients the cause of CHF is prior hypertension, idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy or one of several other rarer disorders. In such clinical states a rational argument for benefit from statin treatment is controversial. Furthermore, concerns about potential adverse effects of statins improve peripheral and coronary endothelial function through low density lipoprotein (LDL) lowering and pleiotropic mechanisms,\(^3\) we hypothesised that treatment with a statin would improve haemodynamic abnormalities in patients with cardiac failure even in the absence of underlying coronary artery disease. Accordingly we studied the effects of atorvastatin on endothelial function in patients with CHF in a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. Presuming that any statin-induced improvement in the regulation of peripheral circulation can be reflected by changes in central haemodynamic function, we measured plasma concentrations of the cardiac natriuretic peptides and echocardiographic indices of cardiac structure and function.

**METHODS**

Twenty-four patients were recruited from the Cardiology Department outpatient clinic at Christchurch Hospital. All had symptomatic heart failure (New York Heart Association functional class II or III) and a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (< 40%) on echocardiography. They were all receiving standard heart failure treatment with a loop diuretic, plus an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor antagonist with or without digoxin, a β adrenergic blocker and spironolactone in unchanged dosage for at least three months before enrolment. None had stenotic cardiac valve disease, impaired liver function, a glomerular filtration rate of < 100 ml/min (as calculated by the Cockcroft and Gault formula) or reduced pulmonary function (forced expiratory volume in 1 s of < 1 litre). The study size (n = 24) was determined from reported effects of statins on endothelial function under other clinical circumstances\(^4\) and

**Abbreviations:** ANP, atrial natriuretic peptide; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CHF, chronic heart failure; CNP, C-type natriuretic peptide; CV, coefficient of variation; EID, endothelium-independent dilatation; FMD, flow-mediated dilatation; LDL, low density lipoprotein; L-NMMA, N\(^{\text{G}}\)-monomethyl-L-arginine; NO, nitric oxide.
assumed an increase in endothelium-dependent blood flow by 30% with a standard deviation of 20% at p < 0.05 with a power of 80%. Informed, written consent was obtained from every participant. The Ethics Committee of Canterbury Health Limited approved the study protocol, which complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study protocol
All patients received atorvastatin 40 mg or placebo once daily in the evening for six weeks in a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover study. The crossover design was chosen to minimise interindividual variability. Between treatments there was a two-week washout period. Statin has been shown to reversibly improve endothelial function within two weeks in conduit and resistance vessels. All heart failure drugs were required to be continued in unchanged dosage for the duration of the study and the prior three months. After the six-week treatment with statin or placebo, patients attended the research centre at 07 30 after fasting overnight for a set sequence of tests: brachial ultrasound, venepuncture, forearm plethysmography, 6 min walk test, echocardiography and the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Quality of Life Questionnaire. All tests were carried out in a quiet, air-conditioned room with a stable temperature of 22°C, with the exception of the 6 min walk, which took place in a designated hospital corridor.

Brachial ultrasound
Conduit artery function was assessed by brachial ultrasound based on the protocol described by Celermajer et al. The assessment adhered to guidelines published by the International Brachial Reactivity Task Force and used a fully digitised ultrasound system (Logiq 700 Expert Series; GE Medical Systems) with a high-resolution, broad-spectrum transducer (6–13 MHz, LA39; GE Medical Systems). Briefly, the participant was kept in a recumbent position for 15 min before measurements were started, with the non-dominant arm being held in a specially designed cradle and the ultrasound transducer fixed in position with a stereotactic clamp. The brachial artery was imaged in the longitudinal plane during the baseline resting phase, after 5 min of forearm ischaemia to assess endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) and after 800 g sublingual glyceryl trinitrate was given to assess endothelium-independent dilatation (EID). Recordings were made only when well-defined, double-line patterns were present proximally and distally throughout the entire area of interest. Measurements from fixed anatomical landmarks eliminated positional variations between study visits. All recordings were made by the same investigator and frame analyses were completed before unblinding of the study drug sequence. Scans were recorded on SVHS tapes and digitised (Pinnacle DV500 Plus; Pinnacle Systems). Diastolic frames were identified by gating simultaneously recorded Doppler curves and were measured from frame by frame with specially developed edge detection software. Vessel diameter was defined as the distance between the distal and proximal lumen–intima interface. FMD and EID were expressed as the percentage increase of vessel diameter from baseline—that is, [(maximum diameter after ischaemia or glyceryl trinitrate administration – baseline diameter)/baseline diameter] × 100. The coefficient of variation (CV) was 3% for measurement of baseline diameters, 22% for FMD and 11% for EID.

Forearm strain gauge plethysmography
Resistance vessel function was assessed by invasive venous occlusion plethysmography (Hokanson, Bellevue, Washington, USA) based on the protocol described by Watts et al. The brachial artery in the non-dominant arm was cannulated with a 27 gauge needle. Blood flow was measured after the following intra-arterial infusions: (A) 0.9% saline for 30 min (baseline); (B) acetylcholine at 7.5, 15 and 30 g/min for 3 min each; (C) sodium nitroprusside at 1.5, 3.0 and 10 g/min for 3 min each; and (D) Nω-nitro-1-arginine (L-NMMA) (Cilinalfa AG, Kilsyth, Victoria, Australia) at 4.0 g/min alone and co-infused with each incremental acetylcholine infusion for 3 min each. There was a break of 15 min between different infusions during which the saline infusion continued. Control blood flow was measured in the dominant (non-infused) arm. The forearm was distended by infusing and deflating brachial cuffs to supravenous pressure levels (45 mm Hg) with a rapid cuff inflator (E20; Hokanson) for 5 and 10 s, respectively. Ten inflation–deflation cycles were recorded for each stage of the protocol and results were averaged. Forearm blood flow was expressed as the area under the curve according to the trapezoid rule, by using specially developed software. To correct for systemic changes the ratio between the area under the curve in the infused and the non-infused arm was calculated. The intraobserver (between weeks) CV for this method was 19% in the resting state and 17% after 5 min of ischaemia.

Biochemical markers
Venous blood was drawn from a forearm vein at the conclusion of the brachial artery ultrasound study after the patients had been supine for 45 min, just before arterial cannulation, for measurements of plasma atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), N-terminal BNP, the endothelial markers C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP), N-terminal CNP, endothelin 1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay intra-assay CV 5%) and von Willebrand factor, adrenomedullin, aldosterone, epinephrine and norepinephrine. All samples from an individual patient were measured in the same assay. Intra-assay and interassay CV varied between 5% and 9% for these tests. Also measured were plasma lipid concentrations, high-sensitivity C reactive protein, fibrinogen, plasma glucose, uric acid, creatinine and liver function.

Echocardiography
Transthoracic echocardiographic examinations were performed with a Vivid 3 echocardiograph (General Electric, Fairfield, Connecticut, USA) by a single operator who was blinded as to the treatment phase, with participants in the left lateral decubitus position. M mode echocardiographic variables were measured according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography. Left ventricular mass was calculated with the formula derived from the American Society of Echocardiography data. Left ventricular ejection fraction was calculated by the biplane disc summation method. The average of three measurements was used for all variables.

Statistical analysis
Statistical calculations were performed with SPSS Base V.10.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). The paired t test or Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for comparisons between placebo and statin groups. The treatment effect on resistance vessel function during drug infusion was analysed by repeated measures analysis of variance (general linear model). The influence of the treatment sequence and the severity of heart failure on statin-induced changes were analysed with repeated measures analysis of variance by using the in-between group function. If influences were found, respective subgroups were analysed for the difference of the mean by the independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test.
Baseline characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of participants</th>
<th>23*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.7 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/women</td>
<td>16/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class II/III</td>
<td>14/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from first diagnosis (months)</td>
<td>46.7 (54.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal coronary angiogram</td>
<td>15t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal coronary angiogram</td>
<td>4t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120.8 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>77.8 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>92.1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum urea (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.5 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mol/l)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum GGT (mmol/l)</td>
<td>47.9 (63.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum AST (mmol/l)</td>
<td>23.5 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen (g/l)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR (g/mol)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concomitant drugs

- Furosemide 18
- Furosemide dose (mg/day) 142.2 (341.5)
- Enalapril or equivalent 18
- Enalapril dose (mg/day) 13.9 (7.4)
- ATIIRB 4
- β blocker 13
- Digitalis 2
- Spironolactone 4
- Aspirin 14
- Aspirin dose (mg/day) 167.9 (75.0)

Data presented as mean (SD) or number.

*Heart failure causes: idiopathic (12), hypertension (4), viral (4), familial (2), myotonic dystrophy (1), Marfan’s syndrome (1); coronary angiogram not performed in four participants with a low probability of ischaemic heart disease; some degree of coronary artery disease in patients without angina pectoris and normal thallium and sestamibi stress test. AST, aspartate amino transferase; ATIIRB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; GGT, γ glutamyl transferase; hsCRP, high sensitivity C reactive protein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MAP, mean arterial blood pressure; NYHA, New York Heart Association; UACR, urinary albumin creatinine ratio.

Table 2 Biochemical measurements after statin and placebo administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid parameters</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Statin treatment</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>5.50 (0.21)</td>
<td>3.47 (0.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.56 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.77 (0.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>1.70 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.09)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF (%)</td>
<td>126.14 (6.01)</td>
<td>125.45 (6.40)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM-1 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>584 (25)</td>
<td>568 (28)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelin 1 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.09)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNP (pmol/l)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardioendocrine hormones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANP (pmol/l)</td>
<td>51.25 (9.98)</td>
<td>42.05 (7.47)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pmol/l)</td>
<td>25.98 (4.35)</td>
<td>21.36 (3.67)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-BNP (pmol/l)</td>
<td>135.17 (24.73)</td>
<td>116.02 (22.10)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenomedullin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>8.86 (0.70)</td>
<td>8.26 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine (pmol/l)</td>
<td>96.20 (21.56)</td>
<td>91.82 (15.79)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine  (pmol/l)</td>
<td>312.37 (39.39)</td>
<td>2889.04 (245.52)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean (SEM).

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the patients.

Nineteen patients underwent coronary angiography: 15 of the angiograms were normal and four had some features of atherosclerotic disease in patients with no symptoms of myocardial ischaemia and normal thallium and sestamibi stress tests. The four remaining participants with a low probability of ischaemic cardiomyopathy in the absence of cardiovascular risk factors (39–60 years of age, non-smokers, no dyslipidaemia, no family history, no hypertension and no obesity) and without symptoms or signs of myocardial ischaemia did not undergo coronary angiography. Table 2 shows the placebo and statin effects on lipid parameters.

Endothelial function

Table 3 summarises conduit vessel function after administration of the statin and placebo.

Compared with placebo, statin was associated with significantly greater endothelium-dependent dilatation (FMD), but not EID. Figures 1–3 show forearm resistance vessel function during infusion of acetylcholine, sodium nitroprusside and L-NMMA alone or in combination with acetylcholine after statin and placebo administration. After statin treatment, endothelium-dependent vasodilatation during acetylcholine infusion was significantly greater than after placebo administration for the two highest infusion rates and test. Correlation was analysed with Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Significance was accepted at the 95% confidence interval (p < 0.05).

LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were reduced by 50% and 32%. High density lipoprotein cholesterol remained unchanged.

RESULTS

Twenty-three patients completed the study, as one was excluded with worsening heart failure necessitating alteration of drugs. One patient with myotonic dystrophy developed mild proximal myalgia without a rise in muscle enzymes. Compliance rates based on tablet counts were 97% during placebo administration and 98% during statin treatment.

Baseline characteristics

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the patients.

Heart failure causes: idiopathic (12), hypertension (4), viral (4), familial (2), myotonic dystrophy (1), Marfan’s syndrome (1); coronary angiogram not performed in four participants with a low probability of ischaemic heart disease; some degree of coronary artery disease in patients without angina pectoris and normal thallium and sestamibi stress test. The four remaining participants with a low probability of ischaemic cardiomyopathy in the absence of cardiovascular risk factors (39–60 years of age, non-smokers, no dyslipidaemia, no family history, no hypertension and no obesity) and without symptoms or signs of myocardial ischaemia did not undergo coronary angiography. Table 2 shows the placebo and statin effects on lipid parameters.

Table 3 summarises conduit vessel function after administration of the statin and placebo.
when all infusion rates were analysed collectively (fig 1). This difference was obliterated during co-infusion with the nitric oxide (NO) antagonist L-NMMA (fig 3). Nitroprusside-induced vasodilatation was similar after statin and placebo (p = 0.45) (fig 2). Mean blood pressure did not vary significantly between blood flow studies.

**Endothelial markers and cardioendocrine hormones**

All endothelial markers and cardioendocrine hormones measured in this study (table 2) were lower after six-week treatment with statin than after placebo; however, this reached significance only for plasma concentrations of endothelin 1 and ANP. After both treatments glutamyl transferase, aspartate transferase and creatine kinase were similar (data not shown). Treatments did not differ in clinical measurements of heart failure (table 4).

**Correlations and multivariate analysis**

CNP and adrenomedullin were inversely correlated with endothelium-dependent vasodilatation during acetylcholine infusion (with statin \( r = -0.606, p < 0.01 \) and \( r = -0.517, p < 0.05 \); with placebo \( r = -0.489, p < 0.05 \) and \( r = -0.581, p < 0.05 \), respectively). The endothelial markers CNP and N-terminal CNP correlated with systolic internal dimensions (with statin \( r = 0.486, p < 0.05 \) and \( r = 0.367, p = 0.11 \); with placebo \( r = 0.430, p = 0.058 \) and \( r = 0.583, p < 0.01 \)) and diastolic internal dimensions (with statin \( r = 0.682, p < 0.001 \) and \( r = 0.494, p < 0.05 \); with placebo \( r = 0.483, p < 0.05 \) and \( r = 0.595, p < 0.01 \)). Echocardiographic indices and lipoprotein fractions (specified in table 2) did not correlate with endothelium-dependent responses in either forearm vessels or the brachial artery before or after statin treatment. Multivariate tests showed no significant influence of the functional heart failure class or the treatment order on endothelium-dependent conduit or resistance vessel function.

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings suggest that in patients with non-ischaemic heart failure short-term statin treatment is associated with improvements in endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in conduit and resistance vessels. We showed endothelial NO
dependence of statin-associated benefits in resistance vessels, as augmentation was seen only during NO-stimulating acetylcholine infusion and reversed during co-infusion of the NO-blocking L-NMMA. Nitroprusside-induced, endothelium-independent resistance vessel function was not augmented during statin treatment. Two comparable studies did not obtain statin-induced improvements of resistance vessel function.27 28 In both of these studies non-invasive plethysmography was used to assess resistance vessel function after ischaemia, a technique with a low specificity for endothelial function.29 In conduit vessels, our findings of improved endothelial function concur with the results of a study by Node et al38 in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy also reporting FMD augmentation after 14 weeks of statin treatment. The more pronounced FMD improvement (from 8% to 13%) in this study may be due to the longer treatment period.

The endothelium-specific benefits seen during statin treatment may be largely LDL cholesterol independent. Our participants exhibited serum LDL cholesterol concentrations below population average, a finding typical for CHF. Furthermore, neither absolute LDL cholesterol concentrations nor LDL cholesterol reductions determined the degree of endothelial amelioration. Cholesterol-independent or pleiotropic statin effects have long been postulated,39 recently gaining more widespread recognition.40 41 Pleiotropic statin effects contribute to the reversal of two main pathogenic mechanisms leading to impaired vasodilatation in CHF: decreased vasodilating NO and increased vasoconstricting endothelin 1.42 Independent of LDL cholesterol reduction, statins upregulate and promote endothelial NO synthesis43 and reduce endothelin 1 expression in endothelial cells.44 Compelling evidence that statin-induced endothelial benefits in CHF are pleiotropic derives from a recent study by Landmesser et al,45 who reported FMD reductions in patients with CHF taking statins, but not with ezetimibe, despite almost identical LDL cholesterol reductions. It should be noted, however, that in this study ischaemic CHF was not an exclusion criterion.

In our study, treatment with a statin versus placebo was associated with lower concentrations of all measured cardioendocrine hormones, although the difference was significant only for ANP. Given the problems with cardioendocrine hormone measurement and the small patient population examined, the data are suggestive of statin-derived benefits for the CHF typical neurohormonal imbalance. One other clinical study investigated the effect of statin on cardioendocrine hormones in non-ischaemic CHF46 and reported BNP reductions. It is uncertain whether the stabilisation of the neurohormonal imbalance is secondary to improvements in endothelial dysfunction or to other statin effects with the potential to delay CHF progression. Such effects include the induction of neangiogenesis, the down-regulation of angiotensin II type 1 receptors, the restoration of autonomic dysfunction and the inhibition of proinflammatory cytokines.47 The absence of clear correlations between improvements in endothelium-dependent vasodilatation and cardioendocrine hormone reductions in our study may emphasise direct, cardioprotective effects. However, endothelium-ameliorating and other cardioprotective statin effects probably act synergistically.

After six weeks of statin treatment, improvements in endothelial dysfunction and cardioendocrine imbalance had no measurable effect on clinical CHF indices. Longer treatment intervals are likely to yield measurable clinical benefits, however, considering the findings of two comparable studies that ejection fractions and quality of life scores improved after treatment intervals twice as long as in our study, despite lower dose equivalents.27 30 The coupling of endothelial and cardiac function can be illustrated by focusing on the endothelial hormones endothelin 1 and CNP. Blocking endothelin 1, excreted by the dysfunctional endothelium41 and typically raised in heart failure,42 with the endothelin receptor antagonist bosentan reduces ANP and BNP in sheep,43 stressing the concept that endothelial recovery may ameliorate neurohumoronal imbalance. Statins decrease the endothelial synthesis of endothelin 1 in vitro44 and we showed this effect for the first time in patients with non-ischaemic CHF without other major, endothelium-harming conditions. CNP is considered a member of the natriuretic peptide family and structurally homologous to ANP and BNP45 but is the only natriuretic peptide produced mainly in vascular endothelial cells46 and expressed proportional to endothelial stress.47 CNP may therefore be an important endothelial mediator of the haemodynamic disturbances found in CHF. In our study CNP reductions did not reach significance (p = 0.08). This is nevertheless remarkable, as peripheral plasma concentrations of CNP are close to assay detection limits and only minimally raised in heart failure,46 perhaps acting primarily in a paracrine fashion.

Statin treatment may potentially be unfavourable in patients with CHF. Lipoprotein reductions may negate statin-specific pleiotropic benefits in CHF.48 Low cholesterol concentrations have been related to impaired survival from heart failure.49 Furthermore, statin treatment is associated with coenzyme Q10 reductions,50 which have been correlated with the severity of CHF.48 However, the cause-effect relationship of these observations has not been determined and we recently found that the beneficial effects of statin treatment on endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in CHF are strongly associated with reductions in coenzyme Q10.51

### Study limitations

Our study may have been underpowered, especially for highly variable measures such as cardioendocrine hormones or flow-mediated brachial artery vasodilatation. The recorded improvements are subtle yet, if taken together, are consistent

| Table 4 Clinical measurements of heart failure after placebo and statin administration |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Placebo         | Statin treatment | p Value         |
| Internal dimension in diastole (mm) | 68.0 (2.5)    | 67.0 (2.6)      | 0.41            |
| Internal dimension in systole (mm) | 59.1 (2.7)    | 56.6 (3.6)      | 0.20            |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)    | 29.7 (2.3)    | 31.0 (2.4)      | 0.59            |
| Peak early diastolic transmitral flow velocity (cm/s) | 76.4 (7.6)    | 76.8 (8.1)      | 0.37            |
| Peak transmitral flow velocity with atrial contraction (cm/s) | 83.4 (4.8)    | 76.6 (4.3)      | 0.20            |
| Deceleration time (ms)   | 224.5 (26.8) | 204.5 (18.3)    | 0.37            |
| Left ventricular mass (g) | 273.9 (23.5) | 306.0 (32.1)    | 0.25            |
| 6 min walking test (m)   | 466.2 (18.9)  | 471.9 (20.2)    | 0.24            |
| MLHFQI questionnaire score | 28.5 (4.6)    | 29.9 (4.5)      | 0.63            |

Data presented as mean (SEM). MLHFQI, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Quality of Life.
for statin-associated benefits. In the absence of baseline data immediately before each treatment period, we are unable to exclude the influence of a time effect on our findings. However, the short-term crossover design should have kept a time effect bias minimal. Focusing on patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy, we were unable to entirely exclude a potential component of ischaemic cardiomyopathy in eight participants.

Clinical significance

We showed for the first time that patients with non-ischaemic heart failure have better endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in resistance vessels and lower plasma endothelin 1 and ANP concentrations while being treated with a statin than when given a placebo. These benefits were detectable after only six weeks in participants already treated with endothelial and cardiac function-ameliorating drugs. These benefits may translate into increased exercise performance and improved peripheral perfusion when statins are given over longer time periods. Recent cohort studies show improved survival of patients with heart failure treated with statins. Our findings further strengthen the notion of using statins in heart failure regardless of its aetiology and in the absence of dyslipidaemia. However, the routine use of statins by patient with heart failure due to other causes than coronary artery disease can not be recommended while randomised controlled trials determining benefits versus risks are awaited.

Conclusions

Adding a statin to the treatment regimen of patients with CHF, optimised with standard treatment, results in improved endothelium-dependent vasodilatation, reduced plasma endothelin 1 and ANP, and an overall trend for reduced cardioendocrine stress. These differences from placebo were present after six weeks of statin treatment in patients with non-ischaemic CHF and below population-average LDL concentrations.
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Images in cardiology

Sign of the times

A 53-year-old man presented with angina of effort in December 2001. The intermediate artery (INT) was stented with a 2.75×15 mm long Penta stent, the diagonal artery (DG1) with a 2.5×15 mm long Carbostent, and the occluded obtuse marginal branch of the circumflex (OMCX) with a 2.75×18 mm long Penta stent. Two months later, the distal circumflex bifurcation lesion was stented with a 2.5×18 mm long Pixel and a 2.0×18 mm long Pixel stent in T-stenting fashion (LCX-BF). In November 2002, the right coronary artery (RCA) was treated with a series of three overlapping stents: a 3.5×33 mm long Zeta proximally, a 3.5×33 mm long Zeta in the mid-third, and a 3.5×18 mm long Zeta more distally. In October 2004, a 2.75×28 mm Cypher Select stent was placed to a severe stenosis in the mid-third of the OMCX and in June 2005 after an intracoronary ultrasound study, a 3.0×23 mm Cypher Select was placed in the proximal left anterior descending artery (LAD). Panels A and B show the 10 implanted stents in the left lateral and right anterior oblique projections respectively.

Occurrence of so many lesions in this man was almost certainly related to his hypercholesterolaemia and his intolerance of all lipid lowering agents because of myalgia and myositis. It is unusual to see so many stents in multiple coronary arteries and their branches in a single individual, but for interventionists it is perhaps a “sign of the times”.
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