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ABSTRACT

Context: International guidelines recommend the routine use of oxygen therapy in the treatment of myocardial infarction (MI).

Objective: To undertake a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo-controlled trials of oxygen therapy in MI.

Data sources: Medline, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE and CINHAL.

Study selection: Randomised placebo-controlled trials of oxygen therapy in MI.

Data extraction: The primary clinical outcome was mortality.

Results: Two of 51 potential studies met the inclusion criteria. The one study with substantive clinical outcome data reported that in uncomplicated MI, high-flow oxygen was associated with a non-significant increased risk of death (risk ratio 2.9, 95% CI 0.8 to 10.3, p = 0.08) and a greater serum aspartate aminotransferase level (difference 19.2 IU/ml, 95% CI 0 to 38.4, p = 0.05) than room air.

Conclusion: The limited evidence that does exist suggests that the routine use of high-flow oxygen in uncomplicated MI may result in a greater infarct size and possibly increase the risk of mortality.

Oxygen has been used in the treatment of myocardial infarction (MI) and acute coronary syndromes for over 100 years.1 The rationale for its longstanding use is that it increases oxygen delivery to the ischaemic myocardium, thereby reducing the size of the MI and improving clinical outcomes. Evidence in support of this approach is primarily derived from animal models, in which the administration of 100% oxygen under normobaric or hyperbaric conditions during and/or after experimental coronary artery occlusion reduces the extent of myocardial necrosis in some,2–6 but not all, studies.7–10 However, concern has been expressed that the findings from animal studies may have poor generalisability to the clinical situation in humans.2 11 Furthermore, there is evidence that the routine use of high-flow oxygen in uncomplicated MI may not improve clinical outcomes and may potentially cause harm.11 12 It is well established that arterial oxygen tension is a major determinant of coronary artery tone13–16 and that hyperoxia may result in a marked reduction in coronary artery blood flow.17–20 Other cardiovascular effects of high-flow oxygen therapy include a reduction in cardiac output and stroke volume and an increase in systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure in patients with a MI.21–24

Despite this conflicting evidence, contemporaneous international guidelines13–16 recommend the routine use of supplemental oxygen in the treatment of MI. As the treatment of MI is at the forefront of evidence-based medicine, we sought to review the clinical efficacy and safety of the use of oxygen in this clinical situation. A systematic review was undertaken to identify randomised placebo-controlled trials of oxygen therapy in the treatment of acute MI with the intention of estimating differences in clinical outcomes by meta-analysis.

METHODS

Search strategy

To identify all studies that investigated the effect of oxygen therapy in MI, a search of studies containing the key words “oxygen” or “myocardial infarction” was conducted from Medline, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase and CINHAL to March 2007. The reference lists of all relevant studies were also examined. Particular attention was given to searching for studies before 1950, which would not be included in the electronic reference databases.

Inclusion criteria

Two people examined each paper’s title and abstract and then the full paper if necessary. To be included in the review, studies had to be randomised, controlled, clinical trials of oxygen therapy in MI that reported clinical outcome measures.

Exclusion criteria

Any studies investigating the use of oxygen for any clinical indication other than MI, such as heart failure, were excluded. Non-randomised controlled trials, review articles, editorials and letters were also excluded.

Animal studies were excluded owing to the poor generalisability from laboratory animal models to patients because of differences in coronary anatomy, collaterals, haemodynamic responses and natural disease states such as coronary artery disease.7 11 Studies using hyperbaric oxygen or intra coronary oxygen infusion were excluded as these therapeutic interventions are not commonly used in clinical practice and the physiological effects may differ from those of normobaric high-flow oxygen therapy. As a result, the findings of such studies are not generalisable to consideration of the efficacy and safety of oxygen therapy in the treatment of MI.

Data extraction

Extraction of data was based on reported summary statistics (counts, means and standard deviations)
for the intention-to-treat population. The primary outcome variable was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcome variables were measures of infarct size by cardiac enzyme levels, occurrence of ventricular arrhythmia and opiate use. Where relevant, other clinical outcome variables reported in the clinical trials were noted. The trial quality was assessed using the standard Jadad score based on the adequacy of randomisation, blinding and follow-up, with a maximum score of five points.

Data analysis
As only two studies met the inclusion criteria and only one of these reported on the number of deaths in each treatment group formal meta-analysis was not undertaken. In the two identified studies, point estimates and confidence intervals were calculated for the main outcome variables, using relative risk for categorical variables and a t test for continuous variables.

RESULTS

Search results
Figure 1 shows the QUOROM statement for the search, which identified 51 potentially relevant articles on the use of oxygen therapy in MI. From these, the 13 studies which investigated the use of hyperbaric or aqueous oxygen, six review articles, one letter, four editorials and two systematic reviews were excluded. An additional 23 studies were excluded, including case reports, case series, crossover studies, cohort studies and non-randomised trials of oxygen therapy in MI. Two studies met the criteria for inclusion in the systematic review and are presented in detail here.

Study 1
A study undertaken by Rawles and Kenmure.

This parallel-group, double-blind controlled trial of oxygen therapy in uncomplicated MI randomised 200 patients with suspected MI to receive either oxygen or compressed air by means of a medium concentration mask at a flow rate of 6 l/min for 24 h. Both patients and medical staff were blinded to the treatment received. Patients were excluded if they had clinical evidence of heart failure, chronic pulmonary disease or were breathless from any other cause, or if they had been transferred from other wards for the treatment of arrhythmias or had experienced a cardiac arrest before admission or had cardiogenic shock. Forty-three patients in whom MI was not subsequently confirmed were excluded from the analysis. The Jadad score for this study was 5/5; however, there was no prespecified primary outcome variable or power calculation. There were 9/80 (11.3%) deaths in the oxygen group and 5/77 (6.5%) in the air group; relative risk of death 2.9 (95% CI 0.8 to 10.3, p = 0.08). The maximum serum aspartate aminotransferase level was used as a surrogate for infarct size, with mean (SD) values of 99.9 (63.1) and 80.7 (56.8) IU/ml in the oxygen and air groups respectively; difference 19.2 IU/ml (95% CI 0 to 38.4, p = 0.05). Ventricular tachycardia occurred in 11/80 (13.8%) and 5/77 (6.5%) of the oxygen and air groups, relative risk 2.1 (0.8 to 5.8, p = 0.13). Opiate use occurred in 57/80 (71.3%) and 52/77 (67.5%) of the oxygen and air groups, relative risk 1.1 (0.9 to 1.3, p = 0.61).

The authors concluded that there was “suggestive evidence of a deleterious effect of oxygen” and that “there seems to be little place for routine oxygen administration to all patients with acute myocardial infarction”.

Study 2
A study undertaken by Wilson and Channer.

This parallel-group, non-blinded controlled study of oxygen therapy in MI was reported in conjunction with a postal survey on the use of pulse oximetry and supplemental oxygen in coronary care units in England. In the clinical study, 50 patients with MI who had received thrombolysis with streptokinase within 6 h, were randomised to 4 l/min of oxygen or room air via face mask for 24 h. However, results are only reported for 42 of the participants and one subject who died within the 24 h period of the study was excluded. The study was not blinded in regard to oxygen therapy. However, one of the aims of this study was to determine the ability of doctors to diagnose hypoxaemia clinically and, as a result, the oximetry recordings were blinded to both patient and doctor. Patients with central cyanosis, pulmonary disease requiring oxygen independent of cardiac status, those in whom blood gases showed a PaCO₂ >5.5 kPa and patients with left ventricular failure requiring inotropic support were excluded from the trial. All patients were monitored with a pulse oximeter and Holter ECG monitoring continuously for the 24 h period of the study. The Jadad score was 5/5; however, there was no prespecified primary outcome variable or power calculation. The purpose of the study was to document the occurrence of hypoxaemia and to measure the effect of supplemental oxygen on hypoxaemia rather than to compare outcomes measured by infarct size or mortality.

The authors did not state in which randomised group the death occurred and as a result, we were not able to calculate the risk of death for oxygen therapy. No surrogate measurement of infarct size was presented. Ventricular tachycardia occurred in 5/22 (22.7%) and 5/20 (25.0%) of the oxygen and air groups, relative risk 0.9 (0.5 to 2.7, p = 0.86). Opiate use occurred in 16/22 (72.7%) and 18/20 (90.0%) of the oxygen and air groups, relative risk 0.8 (0.6 to 1.1, p = 0.15).

Of interest 1/22 (4.5%) participants who received oxygen had an episode of oxygen saturation below 80% compared with 7/20...
(35.0%) of the participants who received air, relative risk 0.1
(95% CI 0.02 to 1.0, p = 0.01).

DISCUSSION
This systematic review and meta-analysis identified only two
randomised placebo-controlled trials of high-flow oxygen
therapy in the first 24 h after an uncomplicated MI,24 35 with
substantive clinical outcome data provided in only one study.26
Point estimates from the study with substantive reporting
identified that high concentration oxygen resulted in a threefold
increased mortality rate and greater infarct size, as measured by
serum cardiac enzyme rise in patients with uncomplicated MI. Con

Included studies
As the evidence of potential harm from this systematic review is
primarily derived from the Rawles and Kenmure study,34 it is
necessary to consider its methodology and findings in some
detail. The study was well designed with a Jadad score of 5, the
high-flow oxygen and air regimens were administered double
blind with the cylinders shrouded, and an intention-to-treat
analysis was performed. Patients had uncomplicated MI and as
a result, the findings do not relate to MI complicated by heart
failure, cardiogenic shock or arrhythmias. Furthermore, there
does not appear to have been routine use of β blockers,
thrombolysis, percutaneous coronary intervention, or aspirin
therapy in this trial and thus the findings may not be
generalisable to current practice.

The 25% greater cardiac enzyme release was suggestive of a
greater infarct size due to the high concentration oxygen
treatment group can be considered from a number of
perspectives. First, the study was not powered to determine a
difference in mortality, with the primary aim to determine the
effect of oxygen on the size of the infarction, incidence of
arrhythmias and the use of analgesics. This is reflected in the
wide confidence intervals consistent with either harm or
benefit. Taking the upper limit, the number needed to cause a
death might have been as small as 6.5 for oxygen therapy. These
findings provide no support for the view that oxygen therapy
is likely that we have identified all eligible randomised
controlled trials because of the comprehensive nature of the
search, including non-English language publications and the
extensive review of published manuscripts, systematic reviews
and guidelines. Although electronic databases do not reference
studies before 1950 we are confident that our search strategy
was either beneficial or safe, which would be necessary to
justify its use as a routine therapeutic intervention. In contrast,
this study suggests that the routine use of high-flow oxygen in
uncomplicated MI may result in a greater infarct size and,
possibly, increase the risk of mortality.

The other study included in the systematic review26 did not
report, and in any case lacked statistical power to investigate,
our nominated primary outcome of death. The secondary
outcomes of ventricular tachycardia occurrence and use of
opiates were not different between the two groups, despite a
higher prevalence of severe hypoxaemia in the group receiving
air. These findings are consistent with previous studies that
reported no relationship between hypoxia and ischaemia in
coronary artery disease,36 37 but contrasted with another study
that demonstrated a temporal relationship between nocturnal
hypoxaemia and both ST-segment depression and arrhythmia
after a MI.38

Potential mechanisms
This interpretation of potential harm with high concentration
oxygen therapy is consistent with its known haemodynamic
effects. In patients with MI, hyperoxia reduces cardiac output
and stroke volume and increases the mean arterial pressure and
systemic vascular resistance.23 37 If the baseline arterial oxygen
saturations are >90%, high concentration oxygen does not
increase oxygen transport, as the reductions in cardiac output
are in excess of the increase in oxygen content.26 The adverse
haemodynamic responses are greatest in patients with MI not
complicated by heart failure or cardiogenic shock.39

More importantly, there is also substantive evidence that
arterial oxygen tension is a major determinant of coronary
artery regulatory tone,13–16 and that high concentration oxygen
therapy resulting in hyperoxia reduces coronary artery blood
flow,17–24 although an increase in collateral blood flow to the
ischaemic myocardium has been reported in an animal model.40

The methodological concern is whether all available
studies were included in the systematic review. We consider
that it is likely that we have identified all eligible randomised
controlled trials because of the comprehensive nature of the
search, including non-English language publications and the
extensive review of published manuscripts, systematic reviews
and guidelines. Although electronic databases do not reference
studies before 1950 we are confident that our search strategy
identifies almost all potential studies during this period owing
to our comprehensive review of published papers.

Four studies were identified in the systematic review that
indicated benefit with the use of oxygen in angina or MI, but
did not meet our inclusion criteria. Three studies reported
benefit with oxygen therapy in pacing-induced angina40 and
exercise-induced angina,41 42 although similar benefits could not
be demonstrated in later studies.43 44 The other study was that
of Madias et al,45 who suggested that the administration of
oxygen therapy to patients experiencing a MI may reduce ischaemic injury, based on precordial ST-segment mapping. Unfortunately, the lack of a randomised control design, standardisation of the duration of oxygen therapy, or blinding in the ST measurements, limited the significance of these findings.

The major evidence for the benefit of oxygen therapy in MI comes from studies of experimental coronary occlusion in animal models. Some2–4 but not all5–10 of these studies have reported that high concentration oxygen therapy under normobaric or hyperbaric conditions reduces the size of the MI. However, the extrapolation of these findings to the clinical setting is uncertain, particularly because of differences in coronary anatomy and collaterals, which are much more extensive in animal models such as the dog.2,11 Furthermore, experimental animals had normal coronary vessels and myocardial function, whereas most patients with MI have diffuse coronary lesions and myocardial damage. Likewise, the findings from animal studies of hyperbaric oxygen are not generalisable to the use of oxygen therapy under normobaric conditions in MI. This restriction also applies to human studies of hyperbaric oxygen,12 or intracoronary infusion of aqueous oxygen13 in MI.

Guidelines

Major international guidelines have taken the pragmatic approach of recommending routine oxygen therapy in the treatment of uncomplicated MI,2,3,35 which is consistent with widespread clinical practice. If the American Heart Association takes an example,14 it states that “it is reasonable to administer supplementary oxygen to all patients with uncomplicated ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction during the first 6 hours” as level C evidence. However, it is acknowledged in the accompanying text that it is “not known whether this therapy limits myocardial damage or reduces morbidity or mortality”. The two studies referenced in support of oxygen therapy are the animal study of experimental coronary artery occlusion2 and the human precordial ECG mapping study30 discussed above. We respectfully suggest that these recommendations are not supported by the balance of evidence and at best can be considered level I (insufficient evidence) or at worst level C evidence of harm. The recommendations of the New Zealand Branch of the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand may be preferred,42 in which it is recommended that “oxygen should be administered to keep the saturations around 96%” as level D evidence. Hypoxaemia may commonly occur in MI39,59–51 and oxygen therapy may be indicated to relieve hypoxaemia identified by oximetry monitoring in this situation.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude by suggesting that there is insufficient evidence to support the routine use of high-flow oxygen in the treatment of uncomplicated MI. The balance of the limited evidence that exists suggests that the routine use of oxygen in this situation may increase infarct size and possibly increase the risk of mortality, owing to its haemodynamic effects, including a reduction in coronary blood flow. Major international guidelines do not appear to represent the current evidence base and may need revision. There is an urgent requirement for randomised controlled trials of the use of oxygen therapy in MI that are sufficiently powered to enable the risk of mortality to be assessed.
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