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The evolution of infrahissian conduction time in myotonic dystrophy patients: clinical implications
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ABSTRACT

Background Myotonic dystrophy (MD1) is a hereditary autosomal dominant disease with variable penetrance. Cardiac conduction disturbances are frequent and may be responsible for sudden death, but its progression was heretofore unknown.

Aims The aim of the study was to analyse the natural history of infrahissian conduction time in patients with a normal first electrophysiological test, and to identify the predictive value of the clinical and ECG factors accompanying an alteration of infrahissian conduction.

Methods Among 127 consecutive screened MD patients, 25 were enrolled and underwent a second electrophysiological testing. The second electrophysiological test was carried out on patients showing new symptoms, new atrioventricular conduction disturbances on ECG, or significant modifications of signal-averaged (SA)-ECG, and on asymptomatic patients with a follow-up of at least 60 months since the first electrophysiological test.

Results Among the 25 patients, four had new clinical symptoms, four others developed new atrioventricular conduction abnormalities on ECG and six had significant modifications of the SA-ECG. The mean His-ventricle (HV) interval increased significantly between the two electrophysiological studies (initial HV interval 52.1 ms±1.6 ms, final HV interval 61.4 ms±2.2 ms, p<0.005), with a mean increase of 1.2 ms/year. The five patients with HV interval of 70 ms or greater were implanted with a prophylactic dual-chamber pacemaker. Modifications of resting ECG and SA-ECG were strongly associated with HV interval prolongation.

Conclusion In patients with a normal initial electrophysiological study, modifications on the resting ECG and/or SA-ECG, on annual check-up, were associated with an alteration of infrahissian conduction.

Myotonic dystrophy (MD1), the most frequent adult form of muscular dystrophy, is a hereditary autosomal dominant disease with variable penetrance that occurs in one to 10 persons out of 100 000.1 It is caused by a mutation on the long arm of chromosome 19 in the form of an unstable expansion of cytosine-thymine-guanine (CTG) repeats in the untranslated region of the gene for myotonin protein kinase.2 MD1 leads to multiple systemic complications, essentially related to muscular weakness, respiratory failure, cardiac arrhythmias and cardiac conduction disturbances. In the MD population, the age of death is lower than in the general population,3–5 and the frequency of sudden death is higher.3–11 The 2002 study by Lazarus et al12 demonstrated that a pacemaker should be implanted in patients with MD if their His-ventricle (HV) interval is 70 ms or greater, even if they are asymptomatic, in order to protect them from the clinical consequences of profound bradycardia and to facilitate the diagnosis and management of frequent paroxysmal tachyarhythmias. A high incidence of complete atrioventricular block (AVB) was recorded on memory pacemaker in MD patients with a HV interval of 70 ms or greater implanted with a prophylactic pacemaker.12 13

Moreover, Laurent et al13 observed a very low incidence rate of sudden death in MD patients (0.2/100 patient-years) selected to receive a prophylactic pacemaker on the basis of HV interval duration. The benefit of prophylactic pacemaker implantation was also confirmed in MD patients estimated to be at high risk of sudden death in accordance with Groh’s ECG factors.5 13 These previous results are a powerful argument in favour of the usefulness of electrophysiological testing in MD patients to select the candidates for prophylactic pacemaker implantation. However, infrahissian conduction is not stable over time and tends to increase in MD patients.14 So one unresolved question is when should the electrophysiological testing be repeated in MD patients without a pacemaker. In our study of a large population of monitored MD patients, we analysed the natural history of infrahissian conduction time and tested the predictive value of the clinical, resting ECG, and signal-averaged (SA)-ECG parameters of an alteration of infrahissian conduction (prolongation of HV interval ≥70 ms).

METHODS

Population

All patients with MD1 confirmed by genetic and electromyographic study and treated by our university institution’s multidisciplinary team for the care of patients with neuromuscular disease were recruited. Some of the patients included in the present study have already been described in previous studies.13 15 New patients with MD1 who were presented to the multidisciplinary team due to recent disease diagnosis, or new symptoms or new resting ECG abnormalities were also included in this study. Clinical data were prospectively collected and evaluated every year. The annual check-up consisted of a physical examination by
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a cardiologist, a neurologist and a chest specialist. Functional status, supplemented by the recording of resting ECG, SA-ECG and pulmonary capacity, was monitored once a year. The MD patients without pacemakers and who had previously undergone an electrophysiological study were selected for our study. A second electrophysiological study was proposed to the patients in the event of new symptoms (unexplained syncope or presyncope, palpitations), new atrioventricular conduction disturbances on annual resting ECG, significant modifications of SA-ECG with respect to the previous study,\(^{15}\) and atrial arrhythmias justifying anti-arrhythmic drugs, or in asymptomatic patients with a follow-up of at least 60 months. This time interval between the first and second electrophysiological tests in asymptomatic patients was chosen from the study of Prys-towsky et al.,\(^{14}\) who reported a 5 ms prolongation of the HV interval over a 3-year period in several patients, and from the study of Laurent et al.,\(^{13}\) who reported a complete AVB in one patient with a normal HV interval 5 years earlier. Therefore, 60 months after the first electrophysiological test the HV interval would be prolonged by 10 ms and could exceed 70 ms. Once identified, MD patients with these criteria underwent electrophysiological testing after informed consent was obtained and signed. Patients who were initially studied less than 60 months without new symptoms, or without significant changes in resting ECG or SA-ECG were not considered for a new electrophysiological study.

Electrophysiological testing

The electrophysiological testing was performed using standard techniques with two quadriopolar catheters introduced into the femoral vein and worked up into the bundle of His region of the right atrium. Several variables were measured during the electrophysiological study: atrial-His (AH) interval (ms), HV interval (ms), atrioventricular Wenckebach points (beats/min) during right atrial stimulation at increasing rate, sinus node recovery time (ms). The criterion for proposing a prophylactic pacemaker implantation was a HV interval of 70 ms or greater. Programmed right ventricular stimulation was not performed.

Signal-averaged ECG

Recordings were performed using Simson’s method with an ART recorder (model 120; Arrhythmia Research Technology, Austin, Texas, USA). The ECG was recorded with standard bipolar orthogonal X, Y, Z leads. Signals were amplified, averaged and filtered with bidirectional filtered frequencies of 40–250 Hz. As previously reported,\(^{15}\) the association of QRSD (total QRS duration) of 100 ms or greater and LAS40 (duration of low amplitude signal<40μV) of 56 ms or greater on SA-ECG contributes to the identification of MD patients with a prolonged HV interval. Five patients reported in the previous study in 1999 were also included in the present study. These criteria are not those commonly used to identify patients at risk of sustained ventricular tachycardia post-myocardial infarction, but in MD they are linked to infrahisian conduction alteration and not to ventricular arrhythmia substrate.\(^{16}\)

Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was to evaluate the evolution over time of the infrahisian conduction time in adult MD patients. The second endpoint was to define the predictive clinical and electrocardiographic parameters of a severe alteration in infrahisian conduction time that would justify a repetition of electrophysiological testing in MD patients with a view to proposing the prophylactic implantation of a pacemaker.

Statistical analysis

Data were reported as the mean±SD. Baseline characteristics in patients with and without prolonged HV interval were compared using univariate analysis and the Fisher’s exact test for qualitative parameters and the Mann–Whitney test for quantitative parameters. The correlation between the variation of HV interval (HV2–HV1) and the size of the mutation was analysed using the linear regression method. A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Starting in 1994, 70 of 127 consecutively screened patients with MD1 were not initially implanted with a pacemaker. All patients were classified as having an adult-onset form of MD. The clinical characteristics of these 70 patients are summarised in table 1. Twenty-five of these 70 patients were enrolled and underwent a second electrophysiological testing. Figure 1 shows the enrolment of the study population. No significant differences were observed between the initial clinical characteristics of the 25 patients enrolled in the present study (age, sex, Brooke score, Epworth score, resting ECG, right and left ventricular ejection fraction, CTG amplification) and the 45 patients who did not initially receive a pacemaker (table 1) and who were not enrolled in the present study for different reasons set out in figure 1. The upgraded clinical characteristics of the 25 patients undergoing a second electrophysiological study are summarised in table 2.

Neurological functional status was evaluated by the Brooke score.\(^{17}\) The severity of muscular weakness was scored at the level of arms (range from 1 to 6) and legs (range from 1 to 10). It ranged from 2 to 11 in our patients. Four patients had a score of 5 or greater. Only two patients were confined to a wheelchair. No patient was under permanent mechanical respirator at the time of the second electrophysiological testing. The excessive daytime sleepiness was evaluated by the Epworth sleepiness scale with eight items.\(^{18}\) Each item accounts for 0–3 points. Sleep apnoea syndrome was diagnosed with standard polysomnographic studies in 11 patients. This sleep apnoea syndrome was treated by nocturnal non-invasive positive pressure ventilation in eight patients. Eleven patients had cataracts and 12 had alopecia. The mean value of creatine phosphokinase

### Table 1 Initial mean characteristics of the MD patients without a pacemaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n=70) mean±SD</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=45) mean±SD</th>
<th>Group 3 (n=25) mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.5±15</td>
<td>41.5±15</td>
<td>37.2±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women n, (%)</td>
<td>28 (40)</td>
<td>21 (46)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke score</td>
<td>3.3±2.3</td>
<td>3.4±2.6</td>
<td>3.4±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal ECG</td>
<td>20 (28.5)</td>
<td>14 (31.1)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous AF, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td>6 (13.3)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous NSVT, n</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>59.4±10</td>
<td>57.2±10.7</td>
<td>62.3±9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &lt;50%, n</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF (%)</td>
<td>44.2±10</td>
<td>43.2±11</td>
<td>46.2±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (mm)</td>
<td>46.5±4</td>
<td>47.5±5</td>
<td>45.6±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTG repeat length Kb</td>
<td>2.1±1.5</td>
<td>2±1.6</td>
<td>2.4±1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 1 all patients without pacemaker in our global myotonic dystrophy (MD) population; group 2 patients excluded from the present study for different reasons explained in figure 1; group 3 patients enrolled in the study who underwent two electrophysiological studies. Group 1 versus group 2 versus group 3 not significant differences for all parameters.

AF, atrial fibrillation; CTG, cytosine-thymine-guanine; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NSVT, non sustained ventricular tachycardia; RVEF, right ventricular ejection fraction.
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**Figure 1** Myotonic dystrophy patient enrolment. Among 70 patients without pacemakers, 25 underwent a second electrophysiological test. AVB, atrioventricular block; EP, electrophysiological test; HV, His-ventricle; SA-ECG, signal-averaged ECG.

was 205±165 UI (from 64 to 712, normal value <145 UI) and five patients had significant vesicular lithiasis.

Left ventricular ejection fraction was normal (>50%) in 21 patients (84%) and slightly altered in four patients (ranging from 45% to 50%). Right ventricular radionuclide function was available for 15 patients and was normal in four of them (>45%) and slightly altered in nine others (ranging from 30% to 45%).

**ECG patterns**

Initially, 17 patients (68%) showed normal conduction intervals on their first ECG. Among the remaining patients, four had left anterior block, two had isolated 1st AVB, one had 1st AVB associated with right bundle branch block, and one had both right bundle branch block and left anterior block. At the time of the second electrophysiological test only four patients had developed new atrioventricular conduction abnormalities (table 2).

One patient presented with a symptomatic bradytachycardia syndrome. The evolution of ECG is summarised in table 3.

Five patients had paroxysmal atrial arrhythmias. Atrial fibrillation was treated by amiodarone (n=1) which does not severely depress the infranodal conduction.19 Atrial flutter was treated by cavo-tricuspid isthmus ablation (n=1). Three patients were not treated by anti-arrhythmic drugs because the atrial arrhythmias were not symptomatic.

On 24 h ECG, paroxysmal AVB of second and third degree was not observed.

**Signal-averaged ECG**

Modifications of SA-ECG reaching the significant cut-off defined by QRSD of 100 ms or greater and LAS of 56 ms15 or greater were observed in six patients.

In one patient, SA-ECG was invalid because of the level of noise, and in another it could not be performed due to a technical problem. The mean values of SA-ECG parameters were: QRSD 105±10.8 ms, under 40 56.5±12 ms, HFRMSA (root mean square voltage of signals in the last 40 ms of the QRS complexes) 50.3±24.7 μV.

**Electrophysiological testing**

The mean age at the second electrophysiological test was 44.4±13.6 years. The mean interval between the first and the second electrophysiological test was 90.8±56 months (minimum 24 months, maximum 144 months). Four patients were given the second electrophysiological test because they developed new symptoms, four others on account of modifications in resting ECG, one other for a bradytachycardia syndrome, only three for abnormal SA-ECG, and 15 patients merely because the follow-up exceeded 60 months. In asymptomatic patients with no ECG or SA-ECG modification, the mean interval between the first and the second electrophysiological test tended to be longer than in other patients (101±54 months versus 81±29 months, NS). At the second electrophysiological test the HV interval was 70 ms or greater in five cases (20%). The mean value of the HV interval increased significantly between the two electrophysiological tests (figure 2 and table 2). The Wenckebach point was 154±29 beats/min. No infranodal block was provoked by increasing atrial stimulation. Sinus node function was abnormal in one patient. The mean corrected sinus node recovery time was 297±246 ms. Five patients with HV interval of 70 ms or greater were implanted with a prophylactic dual-chamber pacemaker. The patient with bradytachycardia syndrome was given an oral anti-arrhythmic agent, amiodarone 200 mg/day and a pacemaker.

**Characteristics of patients with abnormal HV interval**

The five patients with a HV interval of 70 ms or greater at the second electrophysiological test showed a modification in their resting ECG over time (n=4) or significant modifications in the SA-ECG (n=3) (table 3 and figure 2). One of the MD patients with stable resting ECG, despite a prolonged HV interval, showed significant lengthening of SA-ECG parameters (patient 24 in table 3). QRSD and under 40 tended to be longer in the prolonged HV interval group than in normal HV interval group (QRDS 109.8±6 vs 103.6±11 ms, under 40 42.4±18 vs 74±9.6 ms). The mean duration of the follow-up was not significantly different between the group of patients with a HV interval of 70 ms or greater at the second electrophysiological test and those without a HV interval of 70 ms or greater at the second electrophysiological test (80±46 vs 93.5±54 months, NS). None of the clinical characteristics were significantly different between the two groups in terms of age, severity of muscular weakness and frequency of sleep apnoea syndrome; nor was the size of the mutation significantly different in those patients (2.5±1.1 vs 2.2±1.9 kb, NS). No correlation was established between the variation in the HV interval and the size of the mutation (r²=0.03, NS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Upgraded clinical characteristics of the patients who underwent a second electrophysiological test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n=25)</td>
<td>mean±SD (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44±13.6 (24–73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke score</td>
<td>3.4±2.5 (2–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth score</td>
<td>6.8±4.5 (0–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal ECG, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous atrial arrhythmias, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous NSVT, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>58±9 (45–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &lt;50% n</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVEF (%)</td>
<td>40±6 (30–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (mm)</td>
<td>46±4 (37–52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTG repeat length Kb</td>
<td>2.4±1.3 (0.5–5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTG, cytosine-thymine-guanine; LEVD, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NSVT, non sustained ventricular tachycardia; RVEF, right ventricular ejection fraction.
Predictive value of resting ECG and SA-ECG

Resting ECG is very useful for the identification of patients with an alteration of infrahissian conduction. Of the five patients with a new prolongation of the HV interval, four showed significant modification in their resting ECG. Only one patient without modification of ECG had a prolonged HV interval (sensitivity 80%, specificity 99%).

SA-ECG was significantly modified in six patients but was associated with prolonged interval in only three patients (sensitivity 100%, specificity 50%).

The combination of modifications of resting ECG and SA-ECG identified all patients with prolonged HV interval (sensitivity 100% and specificity 75%).

DISCUSSION

This study, for the first time, shows the evolution of the resting ECG and SA-ECG characteristics and of the HV interval in non-selected adult MD patients monitored every year in the same reference centre. Some significant modifications of resting ECG were observed in four patients and abnormal SA-ECG in six patients, and were strongly associated with an HV interval prolongation. The HV interval increase was not linked to any new symptoms such as syncope or palpitations, or to the duration of the follow-up.

Nguyen et al. reported that abnormalities of the cardiac conduction system were commonly observed in MD due to frequent fatty infiltration and fibrosis of the His bundle. The electrophysiological consequences of these histopathological lesions were frequent infrahissian conduction disturbances on electrophysiological testing (prolonged HV interval) and a high risk of complete AVB in MD patients. In animal models for dystrophy myotonic protein kinase (DMPK) reduction the same histopathological lesions and His Purkinje system alterations were reported. A time-dependent degenerative process has been suggested by Groh et al., who observed a correlation between age and ECG abnormalities, and by Prystowsky et al., who in serial electrophysiological studies demonstrated the progression of infrahissian conduction time in a small number (n=9) of non-consecutive MD patients. However, in the large study by Laurent et al., including 51 MD patients with...
a normal HV interval of less than 70 ms followed up during 57±36 months, only one patient developed a complete AVB, 52 months after the initial electrophysiological testing, whereas 19 of 49 patients with HV interval of 70 ms or greater developed 3° AVB. These results suggest two categories of adult MD patients: one characterised by early infrahissian conduction disturbances (HV interval ≥70 ms) frequently risking complete AVB, and a second category of adult MD patients who may exhibit a very slow progression of infrahissian conduction time. This hypothesis is consistent with our results, which through serial electrophysiological testing demonstrated a progression of HV interval in only five of 25 patients during a prolonged period of 90 months as opposed to Prystowsky et al.14 who reported an aggravation of HV interval in seven of nine selected patients during a short 3-year period. This difference can be explained by the high rate of abnormal resting ECG (7/9) and prolonged HV interval (3/9) at the initial evaluation in the Prystowsky study.14

Second, the high prevalence of complete AVB documented in memory pacemaker in MD patients with an early HV interval of 70 ms or greater points to a severe evolution of the infrahissian conduction time in this subgroup of patients.12 13 15 This heterogeneity of MD patients in terms of infrahissian characteristics is compatible with the heterogeneity of the size of the mutation in the different organs (somatic mosaicism), which is not exactly the same for leucocytes. No correlation has been established between respiratory insufficiency, weakness, or cardiac disease, probably because the effect of the non-translated CTG repeat expansion is not unique but multiple24 and is not uniform from one patient to another.

A second major finding of our study is the strong relationship between the evolution of cardiac conduction disturbances on resting ECG and the increase in the HV interval in a serial study. No correlation between resting ECG and HV interval or histopathological lesions12 14 15 20 has been reported in the literature, but the previous studies did not integrate the dynamism of the atrioventricular conduction parameters in their analysis. In our study patients with a significant increase in the HV interval corresponded approximately to those with a significant progression of cardiac conduction abnormalities on resting ECG. Mörner et al25 pointed out the importance of analysing the progression of the atrioventricular conduction disturbances on ECG. A progressive increase in PR interval and QRS duration on resting ECG has been demonstrated as being statistically associated with higher mortality in MD patients.10 25 Groh et al15 showed in a large population of MD patients that the resting ECG was a crucial key in defining those patients at high risk of sudden death. In the subgroup of patients at high risk of sudden death in accordance with the Groh criteria, Laurent et al13 showed that the implantation of a prophylactic pacemaker in the presence of a prolonged HV interval decreased the incidence of sudden death. Therefore, the evaluation of the risk of sudden death should be re-checked by ECG every year. Ventricular arrhythmias are the second mechanism evoked to explain sudden death but the method of identifying MD patients at risk is unknown. Ventricular arrhythmias induced during electrophysiological study do not predict spontaneous ventricular arrhythmias.16 We can only suggest discussing the implantation of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator instead of a pacemaker in MD patients with prolonged HV interval and depressed left ventricular ejection fraction below 30%.26

Breton and Mathieu14 proposed integrating the analysis of Q1 interval on resting ECG to identify MD patients at risk of sudden death or pacemaker implantation. In presence of a Q1 interval longer than 450 ms the age-adjusted RR was 3. However, rigorous analysis of the QT interval remains a major problem.27 An automatic analysis and a dynamic analysis on 24 h ECG with the help of new software could be interesting to limit the variability of the QT interval measurement.

SA-ECG is a useful tool in evaluating the infrahissian conduction characteristics of MD patients.15 Babuty et al15 demonstrated that an association of QRSD of 100 ms or greater and LAS of 36 ms or greater selects patients with a prolonged HV interval of 70 ms or greater with high sensitivity (80%) and specificity (83.3%). In the present study significant changes in the SA-ECG correlate with prolonged HV interval in three of six patients. The combination of resting ECG and SA-ECG appears to be the best method of identifying patients showing an alteration over time of the infrahissian conduction time, with excellent sensitivity (100%) and acceptable specificity (75%). However, the usefulness of SA-ECG to identify MD patients with prolonged infrahissian conduction has not been validated by another group.

Limitations of the study

The fact that not all the patients without a pacemaker were systematically re-investigated could introduce a bias in this study. However, we have verified that the clinical characteristics of the 25 patients included in the study did not differ statistically from the 45 patients not re-investigated for different reasons (table 1 and figure 1).

Some patients could have a normal ECG and prolonged HV interval due to a short AH interval.13 These patients, however, can be identified by the SA-ECG, which, as previously mentioned in the discussion, accurately reveals infrahissian conduction abnormalities.

For the moment there is no randomised, controlled study in the literature clearly indicating that preventive pacemaker implantation in patients with a HV interval greater than 70 ms decreases mortality and morbidity in MD. Only observational and non-controlled studies suggest a real benefit from the prophylactic implantation of a pacemaker in the presence of a prolonged HV interval of 70 ms or greater.12 13

CONCLUSION ON CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The infrahissian conduction disturbances frequently observed in adult MD patients justify the early identification of patients with a prolonged HV interval, who are at high risk of complete AVB. This can be done by carrying out an early electrophysiological study at the time of MD diagnosis. Prophylactic implantation of a pacemaker should be proposed to patients with a HV interval of 70 ms or greater. In patients with an initially normal infrahissian conduction time on the electrophysiological study, the risk of complete AVB is very low, and a second electrophysiological study should be performed only in the event of modifications in atrioventricular conduction ECG parameters or SA-ECG in annual check-ups. In the future, it will be important to conduct a controlled and randomised study in order to demonstrate clearly the benefits of prophylactic pacemaker implantation in MD patients with a HV interval of 70 ms or greater.

Acknowledgements The authors are indebted to Mr Stephen Randel, native English assistant, for re-reading the manuscript.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Contributors BL contributed to the design of the study, analysed the results and wrote the paper; NC performed the electrophysiological study and read the paper;
AB-B performed the echocardiography and electrophysiologic study; BP performed the electrophysiologic study and analysed the results; PC recruited the patients; LF performed some of the electrophysiologic study and analysed the results; MR did the genetic analysis; SP recruited the patients; DB contributed to the design of the study, supervised the study and wrote the paper.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

The evolution of infrahissian conduction time in myotonic dystrophy patients: clinical implications

Bénédicte Lallemand, Nicolas Clementy, Anne Bernard-Brunet, Bertrand Pierre, Philippe Corcia, Laurent Fauchier, Martine Raynaud, Sybille Pellieux and Dominique Babuty

Heart 2012 98: 291-296 originally published online October 29, 2011
doi: 10.1136/heartjnll-2011-300143

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/98/4/291

These include:

References

This article cites 26 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
http://heart.bmj.com/content/98/4/291#BIBL

Open Access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/ and http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/legalcode.

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Open access (232)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/