angiography (CTCA) to exclude CAD in low risk patients. Here we report our experience of integrating this approach to our Rapid Access Chest Pain Clinic (RACPC) over a 32 month period.

**Methods** Data was retrospectively analysed from consecutive patients attending RACPC, referred for CT. Both CaS and CTCA were performed by default by our radiology department, regardless of CaS, using older 64-multislice and newer generation 128-multislice CT scanners (the latter capable of flash acquisition and prospective gating). The radiation dose (RD) in milli-Sieverts (mSv) received by each patient was calculated from the dose-length product. Patients with significant CAD on CTCA (at least 1 >50% lesion) were referred for invasive angiography (XA).

**Results** 156 patients were included (Males n=68, females n=88; mean age 52.2±8.7). The median RD received was 4.45 mSv (0.48-20.59); patients scanned in the newer generation CT scanner received significantly less RD than those in the older scanner (median 2.54 (0.48-8.75) vs 11.55(8.07-20.59) mSv respectively. p<0.001). Ninety-six patients had a CaS=0 (male=32, female=64, mean age  $50\pm9$  years); of these, 5 (5.5%; mean age 47.7 ±14.7 years) had significant CAD of which 3 underwent intervention on prognostic grounds. Those with a CaS >1 were significantly older (mean age  $55\pm7$  years, p<0.001; male=36, female=24) with a higher proportion of significant CAD (36.7%. n=22:). Of those who NICE would have recommended had XA as their first line investigation (ie, PL 60-90%; n=26), 50% (n=13) had no pathology. Of those with PL of >90%, who NICE would have recommended to treat as stable angina without investigation (n=8), 3 had prognostic disease requiring either percutaneous or surgical revascularisation.

**Conclusions** A CaS of 0 in symptomatic patients does not rule out significant and prognostic CAD, particularly in younger patients. Prospective gating with 128-multislice CT scanners in patients with optimum heart rate and rhythm significantly reduces the RD. Given that 50% of our patients recommended by the NICE guidelines to have XA as their first line investigation had no significant disease on CTCA, it is reasonable to consider CaS and CTCA as a first line investigation in selected higher risk patients. Our findings in the highest risk patients (PL CAD>90%), who NICE recommend treating medically as stable angina, suggest that this group may benefit from investigation with XA being the most appropriate choice.

111

## INTERGRATION OF CT CALCIUM SCORING AND CT CORONARY ANGIOGRAMS INTO A TERTIARY HOSPITAL RAPID ACCESS CHEST PAIN CLINIC

L M Millar, N Sheikh, K Townsend, J Vlahos, N Bunce St. George's Healthcare NHS Trust

doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304019.111

**Introduction** In March 2010 NICE published guidelines for assessment of patients with chest pain of recent onset based on their pre-test likelihood (PL) for coronary artery disease (CAD). A novel aspect was the use of a CT calcium score (CaS) and CT coronary