Inappropriate restrictions on life saving technology
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When a patient dies it is of considerable consolation to relatives if they can be reassured that everything possible and appropriate was done. For many acute heart failure patients in the UK, this approach cannot be taken with honesty. This is because the NHS will not fund circulatory support equipment to treat cardiogenic shock in the majority of cardiac tertiary centres. Affected are 2% of 40,000 cardiac surgical patients who fail to separate from cardiopulmonary bypass and around 5% of 25,000 myocardial infarction patients who progress to cardiogenic shock.1 2 These disparate groups suffer ischaemia followed by reperfusion injury, then lethal but potentially recoverable myocardial stunning.3 Young patients with myocarditis or postpartum cardiomyopathy may experience a similar fate through an inflammatory process. Chronic heart failure patients suffer bouts of decompensation from which they can be rescued. At a conservative estimate these categories account for between 2000 and 3000 patients per annum in the UK.

Whereas myocardial stunning and most episodes of myocarditis will resolve over days or weeks, the immediate vicious cycle of cardiogenic shock rapidly causes metabolic derangement and death.4 Adrenergic inotropes may temporarily increase blood pressure but at the expense of tachycardia, elevated oxygen consumption and endocardial necrosis. Though widely available and supported by AHA/ACC and ESC guidelines, the intra-aortic balloon pump does not significantly increase cardiac output (<500 ml/min). Numerous clinical trials have failed to demonstrate either reduction in infarct size or survival benefit in established cardiogenic shock.5 6 Mechanical blood pumps were developed in the 1970s, initially to support patients who could not be weaned from cardiopulmonary bypass during cardiac surgery. Early designs sought to replicate native heart function by providing stroke volume, pulse pressure and flow up to supra-physiological levels. When it was shown that mammalian organs did not require pulsatile perfusion (just adequate flow), more efficient miniaturised implantable continuous flow pumps emerged.3

The rationale for pump deployment is indisputable.6 The failing heart beats around 120,000 times per day, pumping 7000 l of blood against raised afterload. For this enormous workload the dilating ventricle manifests elevated wall tension and increased oxygen consumption in the face of deteriorating myocardial perfusion. In contrast, the blood pump unloads (rests) the heart while restoring systemic and coronary blood flow. This provides the setting for parallel improvement in myocardial contractility and end organ function. For shock patients who are deteriorating inexorably, the options are mechanical circulatory support or inevitable death. As a result, prospective randomised trials of left ventricular assist device (LVAD) versus medical management are no longer acceptable. For the estimated 50% of shock survivors, clinical experience shows the long-term outlook to be good.1 2

In virtually all European countries and the USA, a range of circulatory support devices are utilised routinely for shock patients in cardiac centres.3 These range from short-term pumps deployed in the catheter laboratory to the surgically implanted permanent artificial hearts whose price tag exceeds that of a Porsche car (table 1). The less expensive temporary devices are used for rescue from cardiogenic shock and the implantable pumps to gain years of life after organ dysfunction resolves. New extracorporeal membrane oxygenator systems can be inserted percutaneously during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in any part of the hospital and used to stabilise the patient during transportation to a tertiary care cardiac facility.6 The goal of ‘bridge to decision’ circulatory support is to provide rapid resuscitation for shock patients who have reasonable potential for full recovery. A blood pump then sustains the patient pending functional recovery, cardiac transplantation or implantation of a long-term LVAD.9 10 Recent information shows that even the over 70s have satisfactory quality of life and survival benefit with the implantable rotary blood pumps.11 Acute heart failure services are organised on a regional basis, enabling district hospital patients to be transferred for management by specialised multidisciplinary shock teams (cardiologist, intensivist, surgeon and perfusionist).12 For urgent resuscitation and easy transportation, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) circuits are deployed in minutes using percutaneously inserted cannulas, even during cardiac massage (figure 1). For outreach retrieval the district cardiologist can introduce femoral arterial and venous guide wires before the transport team arrives.12 13 ECMO immediately boosts systemic blood flow and oxygenation while decompressing the venous system.6 While the duration of ECMO is limited to days, surgically implanted temporary LVADs can be used for weeks or months.14 Direct cardiac cannulation draining blood from the left atrium or ventricular apex with pumping to the ascending aorta, avoids limb ischaemia and reduces left ventricular end diastolic pressure. Right ventricular and biventricular support can also be undertaken with surgical cannulation.15 Effective left ventricular unloading together with improved coronary perfusion form the basis for recovery of native heart function as stunning or myocardial oedema resolve.5

Full recovery of contractile function after ischaemia or myocarditis takes between 7 and 28 days. This partly explains failure of the innovative but shorter-term percutaneously inserted Impella and TandemHeart LVADs to improve survival.16 Evidence for the effectiveness of circulatory support is indispensible. In contemporary series ECMO or temporary implantable LVADs rescue around 50% of post-infarction cardiogenic shock patients and 60% or more who cannot be separated from cardiopulmonary bypass.14 19 From the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Database (USA), Hernandez et al analysed outcomes for post-cardiac surgery LVAD rescue in 5755 patients.2 They showed improving hospital survival from 38.5% to 59.2% in the 10 years between 1994 and 2004, attributing the success to safer devices, better postoperative management and earlier LVAD implantation. Similarly, data from the Inter Agency Registry for
Mechanical Assisted Circulatory Support (USA), showed immediately life threatening shock to account for 42% of implants reported in 2009. Those who required only left ventricular support (the vast majority) manifest 50% survival at 6 months. For biventricular support (LVAD + RVAD), survival fell to 35%. Prognosis was poor for isolated right ventricular support and use of a total artificial heart. Notably the Registry encompassed only those who received Food and Drug Administration approved pumps before 2009. It did not include patients who received the ‘Levitronix Centrimag’ pump which has improved outcomes throughout Europe and is now widely used in the USA. We have used this pump electively to increase the safety of very high risk cardiac surgery.

In 2006 the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) published guidance on temporary circulatory support, concluding: ‘limited evidence on the safety and efficacy of short-term circulatory support with LVADs as a bridge to cardiac transplantation or recovery appears adequate to support the use of this procedure provided that the normal arrangements are in place for audit and clinical governance’. While transplantation is in decline, much new evidence supports the use of circulatory support pending functional recovery or as a bridge to a long-term LVAD. Nevertheless the National Specialist Commissioning Group fund devices only in a few centres, intentionally constraining their use to transplant candidates or respiratory ECMO patients. During the swine flu epidemic the designated UK ECMO centres were unable to address this additional workload and their cardiac surgery came to a halt. We believe that provision of this simple intervention remains inadequate and that the Commissioning Group’s standpoint that only specialised centres can manage ECMO is inaccurate and inappropriate.

Though NICE made reference to several categories of shock patient, the NHS continues to withhold circulatory support equipment from most tertiary cardiac centres, even those who perform surgery on infants and children. This is incomprehensible when more than half of patients who die after cardiac surgery or post-infarction shock could survive with appropriate treatment. Furthermore, it is potentially punitive to individual surgeons in a system which publishes their mortality rates. If relatively inexpensive temporary LVADs had been made available in UK cardiac surgical centres since the NICE guidelines, we estimate that at least 5000 lives could have been saved in the intervening 5 years. Meanwhile the deprivation/publication paradox may understandably contribute to risk averse behaviour in surgeons to the disadvantage of sicker patients who should benefit most from cardiac surgery.

### Table 1 Mechanical blood pumps currently used for the treatment of cardiogenic shock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device type</th>
<th>Pump name</th>
<th>Approximate duration of support</th>
<th>Approximate device cost per intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous ECMO</td>
<td>Biomedicus, Maquet Rotaflow</td>
<td>Days (3–28)</td>
<td>£6000–10 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levitronix Centrimag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impella</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tandem heart</td>
<td>Days (3–7)</td>
<td>£10 000–15 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous LVAD</td>
<td>Ablomed BVS 5000</td>
<td>Weeks (1–26)</td>
<td>£20 000–25 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thoratec PVAD/IVAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Berlin excor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary pulsatile VAD</td>
<td>Heartmate XVE</td>
<td>Weeks (1–12)</td>
<td>£6000–8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary rotary VAD*</td>
<td>Levitronix Centrimag</td>
<td>Months (3–24)</td>
<td>£60 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term pulsatile VAD</td>
<td>Micromed deBakey Jarvik 2000</td>
<td>Years (so far up to 8 years)</td>
<td>£60 000–80 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thoratec Heartware (HVAD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Berlin incore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terumo Durahrt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total artificial heart</td>
<td>Syncardia</td>
<td>Months (bridge to transplant only)</td>
<td>£100 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rotary refers to axial or centrifugal continuous pumping mechanisms which provide non-pulsatile blood flow.

ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VAD, ventricular assist device (LVAD or RVAD).

Figure 1 Transport of a cardiogenic shock patient on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (courtesy of Prof. C Schmidt, University of Regensburg, Germany).
Provision of circulatory support now has precedent in law. Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights defines ‘the right to life and a positive duty on medical staff and organisations to preserve life and palliate distressing symptoms’. This ruling is pivotal when reaching decisions about life threatening illness. The General Medical Council has issued guidelines for end of life care which clearly apply to heart failure patients. These state that ‘the terminally ill must be offered high quality treatment to support them to live as well as possible until death’ and that ‘you should not withhold a treatment if doing so would involve significant risk for the patient and the only justification is resource constraints’ (Point 39, page 27). The presumption therefore exists that all reasonable steps will be taken to prolong life if the treatment is based on contemporary evidence. Failure to treat a potentially recoverable patient could now be seen as a civil wrong.

In summary, the intra aortic balloon pump (IABP) must no longer be regarded as the ‘ceiling’ for shock treatment in contemporary UK practice. Evidence based circulatory support for heart failure should be regarded as equivalent to haemodialysis for renal impairment. If the UK is to meet the aspirations of ‘world class healthcare outcomes’ proposed in the recent White Paper, ‘Equity and excellence: liberating the NHS’, then systems of care must keep pace with advances in technology. All tertiary cardiac centres must have the capacity to deal with cardiogenic shock and have access to the necessary equipment. Towards this goal a UK bioengineering group is working to develop more affordable circulatory support devices. Meanwhile the nationwide project to provide primary percutaneous angioplasty networks for myocardial infarction should now establish appropriate ‘hub and spoke’ shock centres in cardiac surgical units. In 2008 the cost to the NHS of providing terminal care to cancer patients (27% of the 470,000 annual UK deaths) was £1.8 billion. A tiny fraction of this outgoing could restore normal life to many of the patients who die annually from acute heart failure.
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