Influence of hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and left ventricular systolic dysfunction on plasma N terminal proBNP

S Talwar, A Siebenhofer, B Williams, L Ng

Abstract

Objectives—To examine the relation between plasma concentration of the N terminal of the precursor of brain natriuretic peptide (NT proBNP), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) in patients with a history of hypertension.

Design—Prospective study.

Setting—Teaching hospital based study.

Patients—NT proBNP concentrations were determined in five groups of individuals. Group 1: 15 echocardiographic normal controls; group 2: 22 patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and no LVH; group 3: 24 patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and LVH; group 4: 13 patients with history of hypertension, no history of ischaemic heart disease, and left ventricular wall motion index (WMI) between 1.9–1.3; and group 5: 17 patients with a history of hypertension, no history of ischaemic heart disease, and WMI < 1.2.

Results—Median (range) NT proBNP concentrations (in fmol/ml) for groups 1–5, respectively, were: 129.4 (53.6–159.7), 147.4 (54.3–730.5), 137.1 (35.8–403.9), 356.7 (124.4–934.4), and 493.5 (248.9–909). Mean log NT proBNP differed among all five groups ($p < 0.0001$), and between groups 4 and 5 versus groups 1–3 ($p < 0.0001$), and group 4 versus group 5 ($p = 0.02$) only.

Conclusions—The results suggest that the presence of hypertension with or without LVH (and normal left ventricular systolic function) does not affect NT proBNP concentrations. Moreover, there is a significant rise in NT proBNP only when LVSD develops in hypertension. Thus, NT proBNP remains a useful diagnostic aid for LVSD, even in hypertensive patients.

(Heart 2000;83:278–282)

Keywords: hypertension; brain natriuretic peptide; left ventricular hypertrophy; left ventricular systolic dysfunction; chemiluminescence

Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) is a 32 amino acid peptide that is synthesised predominantly in the left ventricle as the 108 amino acid prohormone prepro-BNP (γ BNP).^{1,2} The hormone is a potent vasodilator and natriuretic factor that regulates salt and water homeostasis. It has been shown that plasma BNP concentrations are increased in heart failure and following a myocardial infarction, and that in these conditions the concentrations are good indices of prognostic outcome.^{3,4} In addition, several reports have shown that plasma BNP concentrations are also higher in patients with essential hypertension compared with normotensive subjects, despite significant overlap between the two groups.^{5–8} Furthermore it has been shown that plasma BNP is increased to a greater extent in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) as determined by echocardiography, and in those hypertensives who have evidence of diastolic dysfunction.^{9,10}

Recent work has reported the existence of NT proBNP (an 8.6 kD peptide, the N terminal 76 amino acids of preproBNP). In a small study of patients with cardiac failure, NT proBNP has been shown to circulate in plasma in higher concentrations than BNP-32.¹¹ There was a small but non-significant increase in plasma NT proBNP concentrations in 20

untreated hypertensives when compared to normal subjects.¹² A recent study comparing NT proBNP with BNP-32 suggested that the measurement of NT proBNP is an equally sensitive indicator of left ventricular dysfunction after acute myocardial infarction when compared to BNP-32.¹³

There has been no study in hypertensive patients looking at the effect of LVH and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) on plasma concentrations of NT proBNP. Measurement of NT proBNP in hypertensive patients may give information on the presence of LVH or LVSD just as a glycosylated haemoglobin measurement in diabetic subjects gives information regarding poor glycaemic control. The potential clinical use of this peptide as a marker of LVH and LVSD in hypertensive subjects who may be on treatment is not known.

Method

SUBJECTS

Forty six consecutive new referrals of hypertensive patients to the Leicester hypertension clinic, in whom measurements of left ventricular mass were obtainable (23 men, median age 44 years, range 22–74 years), were studied after informed written consent for participation in the study was obtained. Hypertension in the

Department of
Medicine and
Therapeutics, Robert
Kilpatrick Clinical
Sciences Building,
Leicester Royal
Infirmary, Leicester
LE2 7LX, UK
S Talwar
L L Ng

Cardiovascular
Research Institute,
University of Leicester,
Leicester, UK
A Siebenhofer
B Williams

Correspondence to:
Dr Ng
email: LLN1@le.ac.uk

Accepted 25 October 1999

untreated group was defined as a mean day systolic blood pressure > 140 mm Hg, mean diastolic blood pressure $\geq$ 90 mm Hg, or both, on 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM). Eleven patients in the study were not receiving any antihypertensive treatment. The remaining patients were continued on antihypertensive medication during the study. Exclusion criteria were: a past history of myocardial infarction or heart failure; acute myocardial infarction; active ischaemia; left ventricular dysfunction as defined by fractional shortening < 25% or the presence of one or more hypokinetic/akinetic segments on echocardiography (wall motion index (WMI) < 2); or renal failure (plasma creatinine concentrations > 170 μ mol/l).

A further 30 patients (20 men, median age 72.5 years, range 43–91 years) with a history of hypertension (but no history of ischaemic heart disease) and LVSD (median WMI 1.1, range 0.2–1.9) were recruited.

In addition 15 echocardiographically normal controls (nine men, median age 40 years, range 25–76 years, normotensive, no drug treatment, no systolic dysfunction on echocardiography, and no significant valvar abnormality on echocardiography) were studied. This group was used to obtain the normal range in the study.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

M mode echocardiography was obtained on the 46 consecutive hypertensive patients by two dimensional monitoring using a Hewlett Packard Sonos 1500 imaging system and recorded on super VHS tapes. The patients were examined in the partial left lateral position. Left ventricular chamber recordings were obtained at the tip of the mitral valve. Interventricular septal thickness (IVST) and posterior wall thickness (PWT) were measured at end diastole. Left ventricular internal dimensions (LVID) were obtained at end diastole and at end systole. The measurements were obtained in accordance with the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography.¹⁴

Left ventricular mass was calculated using the equation described by Devereux and Reichek.¹⁵ Left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was calculated as the ratio of left ventricular mass to body surface area. Upper limits for LVMI at our institution are 125 g/m² and 110 g/m² for men and women, respectively. Fractional shortening was calculated as the percentage of change in the internal left ventricular dimension between systole and diastole.

Left ventricular WMI, a regional measurement of LVSD which has been shown to be closely correlated to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) by radionuclide cardiography and invasive ventriculography, was calculated using a nine segment model originally described by Heger and colleagues for all the patients.^{16–18} The scale used for WMI has been validated and a linear correlation with LVEF demonstrated.^{19–20} WMI multiplied by 0.3 gives an estimate of LVEF.¹⁶

24 HOUR AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE RECORDINGS

The ABPM recordings were obtained using Spacelabs 90207 ABP monitors (Berkshire, UK). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded at 15 minute intervals during the day (07:00 to 22:00) and at 30 minute intervals during the night (22:00 to 07:00).

BLOOD SAMPLES

A 20 ml sample of venous blood was taken at the time of the echocardiography in all patients. The blood was transferred into prechilled EDTA (1.5 mg/ml blood) tubes containing 500 IU/ml of aprotinin. Samples were immediately centrifuged and plasma separated and then stored at –70°C until assayed.

IMMUNOLUMINOMETRIC ASSAY FOR NT proBNP

Our methodology for the assay of NT proBNP has been described previously.²¹ Briefly, we used a sensitive and specific, non-radioactive immunoluminometric (ILMA) assay based on competitive ligand binding. Stored plasma samples were acidified with 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and loaded onto C₁₈ cartridges (Peninsular Laboratories, Merseyside, UK) and eluted with 1% TFA containing 60% acetonitrile. The samples were then lyophilised in a centrifugal evaporator, and redissolved in assay buffer consisting of 0.1 mol/l sodium phosphate (pH 7.4), 0.1% Triton X-100 for measurement of NT proBNP. The chemiluminescent label 4-(2-succinimidylloxycarbonyl ethyl) phenyl-10-methylacridinium 9-carboxylate fluorosulfonate (Molecular Light Technology, Cardiff, UK) was used to label the peptide representing a domain in the C terminal section of NT proBNP (amino acids 65–76). NT proBNP concentrations were determined blind to patient details. The normal range for the assay is < 200 fmol/ml.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Concentrations of NT proBNP, clinic blood pressure recordings, ABPM recordings, creatinine, left ventricular mass, IVST, and PWT were not normally distributed and were log transformed before analysis. All results are expressed as median (range) and comparisons were by the Mann Whitney test or Student's *t* test for unpaired data. Pearson's correlation coefficients were also computed. All statistical analyses were carried out using the software package Minitab (Minitab, Pennsylvania, USA). Comparisons with *p* < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics, clinic blood pressure readings, ABPM analysis, echocardiographic data, and serum creatinine measurements of the hypertensive patients with and without LVH. Table 2 shows the antihypertensive drug treatment received by the hypertensive group with and without LVH.

Among the hypertensives with no LVH only six patients were not receiving antihypertensive drug treatment. Eleven patients were taking

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the hypertensive patients with and without LVH

	Hypertension without LVH (n = 22)		Hypertension with LVH (n = 22)		p Value
	Median	Range	Median	Range	
Age (years)	40	22–65	50	28–74	p = 0.02
Clinic systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	149	132–204	165	130–226	p = 0.03
Clinic diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	98	80–112	97	76–118	p = 0.78
Mean day systolic ABPM readings (mm Hg)	140	122–179	152	125–176	p = 0.02
Mean day diastolic ABPM readings (mm Hg)	90.5	74–104	92	71–112	p = 0.32
Mean night systolic ABPM readings (mm Hg)	127.5	106–146	133	100–218	p = 0.26
Mean night diastolic ABPM readings (mm Hg)	77	61–96	76.5	57–134	p = 0.87
Creatinine (mmol/l)	81	47–165	74	55–142	p = 0.47
LVMI (gm/m ²)	98	68–121	134	115–222	p < 0.0001
IVST (cm)	0.97	0.81–1.14	1.17	0.87–1.62	p = 0.0001
PWT (cm)	0.96	0.75–1.15	1.15	0.84–1.64	p = 0.0002
Fractional shortening (%)	46	28.7–55.8	39.4	25.3–65.6	p = 0.27

Table 2 Antihypertensive drug treatment of hypertensive patients with and without LVH

	Hypertension with no LVH	Hypertension with LVH
Thiazide	6	9
β Blocker	2	7
Calcium channel blocker	4	9
ACE inhibitor	6	8
α Blocker	4	1
Angiotensin II blocker	1	4
Other	1	2

one drug, four patients were on two drugs, and one patient was on four different antihypertensive agents. In the group with LVH five patients were on no antihypertensive drug treatment, five patients were taking one drug, nine patients were on two drugs, three patients were on three drugs, and two patients were on four different antihypertensive agents. The patients with LVH were on a significantly larger number of antihypertensive drugs ($p < 0.01$).

The clinic systolic blood pressure was correlated to the mean day and night time systolic readings on the ABPM ($r = 0.81$ and $r = 0.70$, respectively, both $p < 0.001$). Also the clinic diastolic blood pressure was correlated to the mean day and night time diastolic readings on the ABPM ($r = 0.76$ and $r = 0.70$, respectively, both $p < 0.001$).

The LVMI was correlated to the age of the patient ($r = 0.37$, $p = 0.01$), log IVST ($r = 0.77$, $p < 0.001$), log PWT ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.001$), and to log transformed clinic systolic blood pressure ($r = 0.30$, $p = 0.04$).

Table 3 shows the clinical characteristics, echocardiographic data, and serum creatinine measurements of the hypertensive patients with LVSD.

In those patients with a WMI > 1.3 only four gave a history of shortness of breath and three had clinical evidence of left ventricular failure. Six of these patients were on a diuretic and three were on an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor. In the group with a WMI < 1.2 , 14 patients gave a history of shortness of breath and 13 had clinical evidence of left ventricular failure. In this group 11 were on a diuretic and 10 were on an ACE inhibitor.

Table 4 shows the NT proBNP concentrations for the study population. Mean log NT proBNP differed among all five groups ($p < 0.0001$, analysis of variance) and between groups 4 and 5 versus groups 1–3

($p < 0.0001$), and group 4 versus group 5 ($p = 0.02$) only (fig 1). The patients in groups 4 and 5 were older than those in groups 1–3 ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference in ages between group 1 versus group 2, and group 1 versus group 3. The subjects in group 3 were older than those in group 2, however.

There was no significant correlation between log NT proBNP in the hypertensive patients (with normal systolic function) and the clinic blood pressure measurements or the ABPM recordings. There was also no significant correlation in this group between log NT proBNP and LVMI, log IVST, log PWT, age, or log creatinine.

On multiple regression analysis for the hypertensives without LVSD (groups 2 and 3), the age of the patient was independently associated with LVMI ($R^2 = 8\%$, $p < 0.05$). Log NT proBNP was not a significant predictor of LVMI in the model.

In the group with LVSD there was a significant correlation between log NT proBNP and left ventricular systolic function as assessed by a WMI score ($r = -0.36$, $p < 0.05$). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values, and negative predictive values for NT proBNP concentration > 245 fmol/ml in picking up a WMI score of < 1.2 in this study population is 100%, 74.3%, 47.2%, and 100%, respectively.

Discussion

LVH is known to be an independent risk factor for all the cardiovascular complications of hypertension.²² Hence, its early detection is very important in the management of the hypertensive patient. Electrocardiography is recommended for the assessment of every hypertensive patient. Its sensitivity and specificity for detecting LVH is poor, however.²³ Echocardiography is more sensitive and specific than ECG for the diagnosis of LVH, but it is not practical to perform echocardiography on all patients with hypertension.²³ As LVH cannot be reliably predicted from blood pressure levels, a non-invasive and inexpensive method for the detection of LVH and poor blood pressure control in hypertensive patients would be clinically useful.²⁴

The present study examined the ability of a competitive binding immunoluminometric assay for NT proBNP to detect LVH or LVSD in hypertensive patients. Antihypertensive or

Figure 1 Box plot showing the relation between log NT proBNP concentrations and echocardiographic normal controls (group 1), patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and no LVH (group 2), patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and LVH (group 3), patients with a history of hypertension and left WMI between 1.9–1.3 (group 4), and patients with a history of hypertension and WMI of < 1.2 (group 5).

anti-heart failure treatment was not withheld in these patients because it was felt that if NT proBNP is to be used as a clinical tool in detecting high risk hypertensive patients it is best tested in patients who are receiving treatment. Moreover, it was considered unethical to withdraw treatment in poorly controlled hypertensive subjects.

In the present study we have shown that plasma NT proBNP concentrations are raised in hypertensive subjects with established LVSD when compared to normotensive normal controls. There was no significant difference between the NT proBNP concentrations in the hypertensives with or without LVH and the controls. This is in broad agreement with the published data on NT proBNP¹² where a competitive radioimmunoassay for the N terminal of NT proBNP was used (in contrast to the assay for the C terminal of NT proBNP used in the current study), and for BNP as measured by radioimmunoassay.^{5–8} Although there was a trend towards possibly higher NT proBNP concentrations in the hypertensive group without LVSD, the study was probably inadequately powered to reveal a significant difference.

We have shown that NT proBNP concentrations can be used to detect LVSD in hypertensive subjects, even in the absence of clinical symptoms and signs of heart failure. Only 18 of the 30 subjects with LVSD gave a history of

shortness of breath and only 16 of the 30 subjects had evidence of clinical left ventricular failure. This may be of importance clinically as it may determine the type of antihypertensive treatment that may be used. This may lead to increased use of ACE inhibition in patients with subclinical LVSD which may ultimately improve their prognosis, although this would obviously have to be tested by a prospective study.

In our study plasma NT proBNP concentrations were not significantly correlated to left ventricular mass, LVMI, IVST, PWT, and mean arterial pressures. Plasma BNP concentrations in a previous study had correlated to IVST, PWT, and LVMI⁹; however, antihypertensive treatment was discontinued at least a week before the commencement of that study. It is well recognised that antihypertensive drug treatment can regress LVH and also decrease the concentrations of the natriuretic peptides in the plasma.²⁵ Thus, it is possible that antihypertensive drug treatment may have influenced the results in our study and accounted for the lack of correlation between NT proBNP concentrations and the LVMI or the degree of hypertrophied wall thickness. In our study the hypertensive patients with LVH were receiving a significantly larger number of antihypertensive drugs. Other explanations for the lack of correlation between NT proBNP and echocardiographic parameters are unlikely. The peptide assay is both reliable and accurately reproducible in our hands.²¹

We did not attempt to assess diastolic dysfunction by echocardiography because a phenomenon as complex as diastolic dysfunction cannot be accurately assessed by simple Doppler indices.²⁶ Some patients in group 2 and 3 had notably increased concentrations of NT proBNP. It is certainly possible that diastolic dysfunction in these patients may have contributed to high peptide concentrations.^{9–10}

We have included patients with LVSD, a history of hypertension, and no history of ischaemic heart disease, thus implying that the LVSD observed in the study was caused by hypertension and probably not by ischaemic heart disease. It must, however, be emphasised that silent ischaemia is not uncommon and ischaemic heart disease may therefore be the primary cause of LVSD in these patients. Active exclusion of patients by means of a coronary angiogram was felt unnecessary and would have proved difficult to do practically.

In conclusion, this cross sectional study has shown that plasma NT proBNP concentrations are increased in hypertensive patients with established LVSD and not when LVH is present along with normal systolic function. We have shown for the first time that raised NT proBNP concentrations in a hypertensive population reflects LVSD but does not add any further clinical information about LVH. The test thus remains a useful aid for the detection of LVSD even in hypertensive patients.

We thank the Leicester Royal Infirmary for ST's support, and the staff in the cardiology services department and the Leicester Hypertension Clinic for their help and support. We also thank Ms Paulene Quinn and Sonja Jennings for excellent technical

Table 3 Clinical characteristics of the hypertensive patients with LVSD

	WMI ≥ 1.3 (n = 13)		WMI ≤ 1.2 (n = 17)		p Value
	Median	Range	Median	Range	
Age (years)	75	56–91	66	43–83	p = 0.1
Creatinine (mmol/l)	102	74–144	107.5	68–285	p = 0.7
WMI	1.6	1.3–1.9	0.6	0.2–1.2	p < 0.0001

Table 4 NT proBNP levels for the study population

Study groups	Median (range) concentration NT proBNP (fmol/ml)
Group 1: normal controls	129.4 (53.6–159.7)
Group 2: hypertensives with no LVH	147.4 (54.3–730.5)
Group 4: hypertensives with LVH	137.1 (35.8–403.9)
Group 4: hypertensives with WMI ≥ 1.3	356.7 (124.4–934.4)
Group 5: hypertensives with WMI ≤ 1.2	493.5 (248.9–909.0)

assistance, and Stuart Woodhead and Ian Weeks of Molecular Light Technology for the gift of the methyl acridinium ester.

- 1 Tateyama H, Hino J, Minamino N, *et al*. Characterization of immunoreactive brain natriuretic peptide in human cardiac atrium. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1990;166:1080-7.
- 2 Yandle TG, Richards AM, Gilbert A, *et al*. Assay of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) in human plasma: evidence for high molecular weight BNP as a major plasma component in heart failure. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993;76:832-8.
- 3 Yoshimura M, Yasue H, Okumura K, *et al*. Different secretion patterns of atrial natriuretic peptide and brain natriuretic peptide in patients with congestive heart failure. *Circulation* 1993;87:464-9.
- 4 Omland T, Aakvaag A, Bonarjee VVS, *et al*. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide as an indicator of left ventricular systolic function and long-term survival after acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1996;93:1963-9.
- 5 Buckley MG, Markandu ND, Miller MA, *et al*. Plasma concentrations and comparisons of brain and atrial natriuretic peptide in normal subjects and in patients with essential hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens* 1993;7:245-50.
- 6 Kohno M, Horio T, Yokokawa K, *et al*. Brain natriuretic peptide as a cardiac hormone in essential hypertension. *Am J Med* 1992;92:29-34.
- 7 Naruse M, Takeyama Y, Taanabe A, *et al*. Atrial and brain natriuretic peptides in cardiovascular disease. *Hypertension* 1994;23(suppl 1):231-I-4-I.
- 8 Mukoyama M, Nakao K, Saito Y, *et al*. Human brain natriuretic peptide, a novel cardiac hormone. *Lancet* 1990;335:801-2.
- 9 Nishikimi T, Yoshihara F, Morimoto A, *et al*. Relationship between left ventricular geometry and natriuretic peptide levels in essential hypertension. *Hypertension* 1996;28:22-30.
- 10 Cheung BM. Plasma concentrations of brain natriuretic peptide is related to diastolic dysfunction in hypertension. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 1997;24:966-8.
- 11 Hunt PJ, Yandle TG, Nicholls MG, *et al*. The amino-terminal portion of pro-brain natriuretic peptide (pro-BNP) circulates in human plasma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1995;214:1175-83.
- 12 Hunt PJ, Richards AM, Nicholls MG, *et al*. Immunoreactive amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP): a new marker of cardiac impairment. *Clin Endocrinol* 1997;47:287-96.
- 13 Richards AM, Nicholls MG, Yandle TG, *et al*. Plasma N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and adrenomedullin: new neurohormonal predictors of left ventricular function and prognosis after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1998;97:1921-9.
- 14 Sahn DJ, DeMaria A, Kisslo J, *et al*. Recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. *Circulation* 1978;58:1072-83.
- 15 Devereux RB, Reichek N. Echocardiographic determination of left ventricular mass in man. *Circulation* 1977;55:613-18.
- 16 Berning J, Rokkedal Nielsen J, Launbjerg J, *et al*. Rapid estimation of left ventricular ejection fraction in acute myocardial infarction by echocardiographic wall motion analysis. *Cardiology* 1992;80:257-66.
- 17 Rifkin RD, Koito H. Comparison with radionuclide angiography of two new geometric and four non-geometric models for echocardiographic estimation of left ventricular ejection fraction using segmental wall motion scoring. *Am J Cardiol* 1990;65:1485-90.
- 18 Heger JJ, Weyman AE, Wann LS, *et al*. Cross-sectional echocardiographic analysis of the extent of left ventricular asynergy in acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1980;61:113-18.
- 19 Berning J, Steensgaard-Hansen F. Early estimation of risk by echocardiographic determination of wall motion index in an unselected population with acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1990;65:567-76.
- 20 Kober L, Torp-Pederson C, Carlsen J, *et al*. An echocardiographic method for selecting high risk patients shortly after acute myocardial infarction, for inclusion in multicentre studies. *Eur Heart J* 1994;15:1616-20.
- 21 Hughes D, Talwar S, Squire IB, *et al*. An immunoluminometric assay (ILMA) for N-terminal proBNP: development of a test for left ventricular dysfunction. *Clin Sci* 1999;96:373-80.
- 22 Levy D, Garrison RJ, Savage DD, *et al*. Prognostic implications of echocardiographically determined left ventricular mass in the Framingham heart study. *N Engl J Med* 1990;322:1561-6.
- 23 Devereux RB, Casale PN, Eisenberg RR, *et al*. Electrocardiographic detection of left ventricular hypertrophy using echocardiographic determination of left ventricular mass as the reference standard: comparison of standard criteria, computer diagnosis and physician interpretation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1984;3:82-7.
- 24 Frohlich ED, Apstein C, Chobanian AV, *et al*. The heart in hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:998-1008.
- 25 Kohno M, Yokokawa K, Yasunari K, *et al*. Changes in plasma cardiac natriuretic peptide concentrations during 1 year treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor in elderly hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1997;35:38-42.
- 26 Anon. ACC/AHA guidelines for the clinical application of echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practical guidelines. *Circulation* 1997;95:1686-744.