Interatrial dyssynchrony on tissue Doppler imaging predicts progression to chronic atrial fibrillation in patients with non-valvular paroxysmal atrial fibrillation

K Sakabe, N Fukuda, Y Fukuda, S Morishita, H Shinohara, Y Tamura

Department of Cardiology and Clinical Research, National Hospital Organization, Zentsuji National Hospital, Kagawa, Japan

Correspondence to:
Dr K Sakabe, Department of Cardiology and Clinical Research, National Hospital Organization, Zentsuji National Hospital, 2-1-1, Senyu-cho, Zentsuji, Kagawa 765-8507, Japan;
ksakabe@jun.ncvc.go.jp

Accepted 24 February 2009
Published Online First
5 March 2009

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine prospectively whether interatrial dyssynchrony detected by tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) is useful for predicting the progression to chronic atrial fibrillation (CAF) in patients with non-valvular paroxysmal AF (PAF).

Methods: Thirty-seven patients with non-valvular PAF were prospectively followed after echocardiography. The interval of time from initiation of the P wave on the electrocardiogram (ECG) until the beginning of the late diastolic TDI signal at the lateral border of the mitral annulus (P-A'(M)) and the tricuspid annulus (P-A'(T)) was measured. Interatrial dyssynchrony was defined as the difference between the P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) intervals (A'(M)-A'(T)). The study endpoint was the onset of CAF (>6 months).

Results: During a follow-up period of 28 (SD 23) months, eight patients developed CAF. Compared with those without CAF, the patients who developed CAF had a significantly lower atrial systolic mitral (A'(M)) (7.7 (1.7) vs 10.7 (2.9) cm/s, $p<0.01$) and tricuspid (A'(T)) (12.9 (3.5) vs 16.6 (5.1) cm/s, $p<0.05$) annular tissue Doppler velocity, as well as a longer A'(M)-A'(T) interval (47 (13) vs 24 (10) ms, $p<0.0001$). Kaplan-Meier analysis, using cut-off values determined by analysis of receiver-operating characteristics curves, revealed that progression to CAF was significantly more frequent when the A'(M)-A'(T) interval was ≥ 34 ms ($p<0.01$), the A'(M) velocity was ≤ 9 cm/s ($p<0.05$) and the A'(T) velocity was ≤ 16 cm/s ($p<0.05$).

Conclusions: This prospective study suggests that non-valvular PAF patients with a high risk of developing CAF have "interatrial dyssynchrony" and "atrial systolic dysfunction" on atrial TDI.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained arrhythmia in clinical practice.¹ It is generally classified as paroxysmal, persistent or permanent AF.² Paroxysmal AF (PAF) often precedes the onset of chronic AF (CAF) (ie, persistent or permanent AF).³ Although various echocardiographic and electrocardiographic parameters (such as the left atrial dimension, pulsed-wave Doppler parameters of transmitral inflow (TMF) and pulmonary venous flow, and P-wave parameters) have been proposed as predictors of atrial function as well as of recurrence and chronicity of AF,⁴⁻⁹ some authors have questioned their predictive value and indicated their limitations.¹⁰⁻¹² Thus, it remains difficult to predict progression from PAF to CAF.

Recently, atrial electromechanical abnormalities have been reported to promote AF.¹³⁻²² These

electromechanical abnormalities have been assessed by determining the time from the onset of the P wave to the beginning of atrial contraction using the electrocardiogram (ECG) and M-mode or Doppler echocardiography. Sequential analysis of atrial electromechanical coupling by tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) allows more precise analysis of atrial electromechanical abnormalities in different regions.^{16, 18-24} In the present study, we evaluated interatrial dyssynchrony (defined by the dispersion of right and left atrial electromechanical coupling) by using TDI, and prospectively investigated whether interatrial dyssynchrony was useful for predicting the development of CAF in patients with non-valvular PAF.

METHODS

Subjects

We screened 336 consecutive patients with PAF who were referred to Zentsuji National Hospital (Kagawa, Japan) between January 2000 and December 2007. A diagnosis of PAF was established if AF terminated spontaneously and had generally lasted for less than 48 h on standard ECG or 24 h Holter ECG recordings.⁶ Only patients with non-valvular PAF, who had "pure" lone PAF or PAF associated with mild uncomplicated hypertension, were eligible to be enrolled in this study.⁶ Patients with a history of cardiovascular, pulmonary or metabolic diseases were excluded. Other exclusion criteria were the following echocardiographic findings: significant valvular abnormalities, left ventricular hypertrophy (>12 mm) or abnormal wall motion, and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ejection fraction $<50\%$). Patients were also excluded if their baseline rhythm was not found to be normal sinus rhythm during echocardiographic assessment. As a result, 40 patients with non-valvular PAF (21 men; mean age: 71 (12) years) were enrolled in this study and were followed prospectively after transthoracic echocardiographic assessment by tissue Doppler imaging (TDI). The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to enrolment.

Transthoracic echocardiography and definition of interatrial dyssynchrony

Echocardiographic measurements were carried out prospectively by investigators blinded to clinical data after sinus rhythm had been maintained for at

least 1 month.²⁵ Standard transthoracic echocardiography was performed in the left lateral position with an Acuson Sequoia 512 system and a 3.5 MHz transducer.^{26, 27} The left atrial (LA) and left ventricular (LV) diameters were measured from two-dimensional guided M-mode tracings, according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography.²⁷ The LV ejection fraction was calculated by the Simpson method on apical two-dimensional images. The LA volume was calculated by the Simpson method from apical four-chamber views obtained just before mitral valve opening. Pulsed-wave Doppler recordings of TMF were obtained in the apical four-chamber view with the sample volume placed at the orifice of the mitral valve. Then, the peak early (E) and late (A) diastolic flow velocity were measured, and the E/A ratio of TMF was calculated.

Pulsed-wave tissue Doppler recordings were obtained in the apical four-chamber view with the sample volume placed at the lateral aspect of the mitral annulus and the tricuspid annulus to measure the peak early and late diastolic mitral (E'(M), A'(M)) and tricuspid (E'(T), A'(T)) annular velocities.^{28, 29} We also measured the time from initiation of the P wave on the ECG until the beginning of the late diastolic TDI signal at the lateral border of the mitral annulus (P-A'(M)) and the tricuspid annulus (P-A'(T)). Interatrial dyssynchrony was defined as prolongation of the difference between the P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) intervals (A'(M)-A'(T)) (fig 1).^{19, 21, 22} All Doppler measurements were calculated as the average over three beats.

Follow-up and study endpoint

All patients were followed as outpatients at our institution every 1 to 2 months. The study endpoint was defined prospectively as the onset of CAF (persistent or permanent AF) on a standard ECG or 24 h Holter ECG during the follow-up period. A diagnosis of CAF was established if AF did not terminate spontaneously and had generally lasted for more than

6 months.³⁰ Patients in whom drug therapy was changed during the follow-up period were excluded from this study.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as the mean (SD) and were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by posthoc multiple comparison. Comparisons between clinical variables were done by the χ^2 test. The time until the onset of CAF was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and comparisons between two indices were done by the logrank test. For these analyses, $p < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Three patients were excluded from follow-up because of changes in their antiarrhythmic drugs due to side effects (one patient) or for other reasons (two patients). Table 1 summarises the clinical characteristics of the remaining 37 patients for whom follow-up data were available. During an average follow-up period of 28 (23) months (range: 2 to 94 months), eight of these 37 patients (21.6%) developed CAF. There were no significant differences between the patients with or without progression to CAF with respect to age, gender or use of antiarrhythmic agents and other drugs.

Transthoracic echocardiographic parameters and interatrial dyssynchrony

The transthoracic echocardiographic data obtained at baseline are summarised in table 2. There were no significant differences between patients with or without progression to CAF with respect to LA and LV morphological parameters (LA diameter, LA volume, LV end-diastolic diameter and LV end-diastolic volume) or LV systolic function (LV ejection fraction).

Figure 1 P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) intervals, measured as the time from initiation of the P wave on the ECG until the beginning of the late diastolic tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) signal at the lateral border of the mitral annulus (P-A'(M)) and tricuspid annulus (P-A'(T)). Interatrial dyssynchrony was defined as prolongation of the difference between the P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) interval (A'(M)-A'(T)).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the subjects

	Overall	Transition to CAF	
		CAF	Not CAF
No of patients	37	8	29
Age (years)	71 (11)	70 (9)	71 (12)
Gender			
Female	18 (49%)	2 (25%)	16 (55%)
Male	19 (51%)	6 (75%)	13 (45%)
Body surface area (m ²)	1.6 (0.2)	1.6 (0.2)	1.6 (0.2)
Antiarrhythmic drugs	12 (32%)	3 (37%)	9 (31%)
Cibenzoline	1	0	1
Pirmenol	4	2	2
Pilsicainide	7	1	6
None	25	5	20
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	5	1	4
Diuretics	3	1	2

Values are mean (SD) or n (%). There were no significant differences between the patients with and without the transition to chronic atrial fibrillation (CAF).

Regarding pulsed-wave Doppler parameters of TMF, the peak E wave velocity did not differ between the two groups. However, in our relatively elderly patients with a mean age of 71 (11) years, those who developed CAF had a significantly lower peak A wave velocity (57 (19) vs 75 (19) cm/s, $p<0.05$) and a higher peak E/A ratio (1.41 (0.49) vs 0.92 (0.26), $p<0.001$) than those without CAF.

Regarding the pulsed-wave tissue Doppler parameters recorded at the mitral and tricuspid annulus, the peak E'(M) and E'(T) velocity and E/E'(M) ratio did not differ between the two groups. However, the patients with CAF showed a significantly lower peak atrial systolic mitral and tricuspid annular tissue Doppler velocity compared with those without CAF (A'(M); 7.7 (1.7) vs 10.7 (2.9) cm/s, $p<0.01$; A'(T); 12.9 (3.5) vs 16.6 (5.1) cm/s, $p<0.05$). With respect to interatrial dyssynchrony, the patients with CAF had a significantly longer A'(M)-A'(T) interval than those without CAF (47 (13) vs 24 (10) ms, $p<0.0001$).

Kaplan–Meier event-free curves for progression to CAF based on atrial pulsed-wave tissue Doppler parameters are shown in fig 2. Kaplan–Meier analysis with the logrank test, using cut-off values determined by analysis of receiver-operating characteristics curves, revealed that progression to CAF was more frequent when the A'(M)-A'(T) interval was ≥ 34 ms ($p<0.01$), the A'(M) velocity was ≤ 9 cm/s ($p<0.05$), and the A'(T) velocity was ≤ 16 cm/s ($p<0.05$).

Even if an analysis was restricted to 27 patients for whom the follow-up period was >12 months, the patients who developed CAF also had a significantly lower A'(M) (7.7 (1.9) vs 10.6 (2.6) cm/s, $p<0.01$) and A'(T) (12.3 (3.5) vs 16.6 (5.8) cm/s, $p<0.05$) velocity, as well as a longer A'(M)-A'(T) interval (48 (13) vs 25 (10) ms, $p<0.0001$). Kaplan–Meier analysis also revealed that progression to CAF was significantly more frequent when the A'(M)-A'(T) interval was ≥ 35 ms ($p<0.05$), the A'(M) velocity was ≤ 9 cm/s ($p<0.05$) and the A'(T) velocity was ≤ 17 cm/s ($p<0.05$).

Table 2 Comparisons of echocardiographic parameters and interatrial dyssynchrony between the groups with and without progression to chronic atrial fibrillation (CAF)

	Overall	Transition to CAF	
		CAF	Not CAF
No of patients	37	8	29
Left atrial diameter (mm)	44 (7)	46 (6)	43 (7)
Left atrial volume (ml)	111 (29)	120 (27)	108 (29)
Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (mm)	48 (5)	49 (5)	48 (5)
Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (ml)	78 (21)	75 (15)	79 (23)
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	65 (8)	64 (5)	65 (8)
Transmitral inflow			
E velocity (cm/s)	68 (15)	74 (7)	67 (16)
A velocity (cm/s)	72 (20)	57 (19)*	75 (19)
E/A ratio	1.03 (0.38)	1.41 (0.49)***	0.92 (0.27)
Tissue Doppler imaging			
E' (M) velocity (cm/s)	9.0 (2.4)	10.8 (1.9)	8.6 (2.3)
A' (M) velocity (cm/s)	10.1 (2.9)	7.7 (1.7)**	10.7 (2.9)
E' (T) velocity (cm/s)	11.2 (3.0)	11.0 (2.8)	11.3 (3.0)
A' (T) velocity (cm/s)	15.8 (5.0)	12.9 (3.5)*	16.6 (5.1)
E/E' (M) ratio	8.3 (4.0)	7.1 (1.5)	8.7 (4.5)
P-A' (T) interval (ms)	138 (25)	134 (37)	138 (21)
P-A' (M) interval (ms)	167 (27)	180 (39)	163 (22)
A' (M)-A' (T) interval (ms)	30 (14)	47 (13)****	24 (10)

Values are mean (SD).

* $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$; **** $p<0.0001$.

Figure 2 Kaplan–Meier event-free curves for the onset of chronic atrial fibrillation (CAF) based on atrial pulsed-wave tissue Doppler parameters. Progression to CAF was more frequent when the A'(M) velocity was ≤ 9 cm/s ($p < 0.05$), the A'(T) velocity was ≤ 16 cm/s ($p < 0.05$), and the A'(M)-A'(T) interval was ≥ 34 ms ($p < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

AF is the most common sustained arrhythmia.¹ It is well known that PAF often precedes the development of chronic (persistent or permanent) AF.^{2,3} In this study, during an average follow-up period of 28 (23) months, eight patients (21.6%) with non-valvular PAF developed CAF. The probability of progression to CAF (permanent AF) has been reported to be about 8.6% after 1 year, 21% after 2 years and 24.7% after 5 years in PAF patients, which is consistent with our results made on a standard ECG or 24 h Holter ECG,^{4,31} though such a 7-day Holter ECG would have been a more robust method, if possible in our patients. Several echocardiographic and electrocardiographic parameters were investigated as predictors of AF, but it still remains difficult to predict progression from PAF to CAF.⁴⁻⁹

In this study, the patients with CAF showed a significantly lower peak A wave velocity and higher peak E/A ratio than those without CAF. The peak A wave velocity and E/A ratio of TMF have been used as surrogate markers of LA contractile function.^{7,8} It has been reported that a “pseudorestrictive pattern” of the E/A ratio occurs as a result of functional impairment of the LA after AF develops.⁷ These Doppler parameters of TMF, which reflect LA contractile function, were useful for predicting progression from PAF to CAF.^{7,8} However, these Doppler parameters can be influenced by factors such as the heart rate, preload and afterload, which limits their value as predictors.¹

Atrial conduction delay is one of the important features of AF.¹⁷ Recently, atrial electromechanical abnormalities caused by atrial conduction abnormalities have been reported as a risk factor for AF.^{13-22,32} In this study, we used TDI to evaluate

interatrial dyssynchrony, which was defined by the dispersion of right and left atrial electromechanical coupling. Our patients with CAF had prolonged interatrial dyssynchrony compared with those without CAF. Thus, we demonstrated for the first time that interatrial dyssynchrony is useful for predicting progression from PAF to CAF. Dispersion of interatrial electromechanical coupling has been reported in patients with PAF, mitral stenosis, and heart failure.^{19,21,22,32} Cui *et al* reported that the interatrial electromechanical delay was about 25 ms in PAF patients, which is consistent with our results in the PAF patients without CAF,²¹ while our patients with CAF showed more prolonged interatrial electromechanical delay. Progression of interatrial conduction abnormalities may be associated with non-homogeneity of atrial conduction and perpetuation of AF.^{4,13-22,32} Van Beeumen *et al* evaluated intraatrial and interatrial dyssynchrony in patients with heart failure by colour tissue Doppler imaging.³² Their method might evaluate interatrial dyssynchrony more precisely than our TDI method.^{19,21,22,32} Multimodality imaging to assess atrial function has also been reported.³³ However, our method is simple, fast and sufficiently reliable for clinical use.^{19,21,22}

The LA dimension tended to be enlarged in patients with CAF, and it showed a positive correlation with interatrial electromechanical delay (A'(M)-A'(T)), but this association was not statistically significant. This suggests that interatrial dyssynchrony is explained not only by LA enlargement but also by the time dispersion from electrical activation in the atrium to atrial myocardial contraction.³⁴ Some authors have reported that it is not LA size but rather LA structural remodelling (ie, altered atrial tissue architecture or interstitial

fibrosis) that is important for predicting the restoration of sinus rhythm.^{7,35} Progressive histopathological changes, such as atrial fibrosis and loss of atrial muscle mass, may lead to non-homogeneity of atrial conduction and perpetuation of AF.^{4,36}

Previous studies have shown that prolonged right and left atrial electromechanical intervals also promote AF.^{18–22,32} However, we could not find any significant differences in the P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) intervals between our patients with or without CAF. Our patients were relatively elderly, and both the P-A'(M) and P-A'(T) intervals were longer in the patients without CAF than were previously reported for patients with PAF.^{18–22,32} This might have led to the lack of a difference in intra-atrial dyssynchrony.²¹ In this study, a decrease in the A'(M) and A'(T) velocities was also useful for predicting progression from PAF to CAF. Some studies have shown that the late diastolic mitral annular tissue Doppler velocity (A'(M) ≤ 9 cm/s) is independently related to the incidence of post-operative AF.^{20,37} Left atrial contractility using strain rate imaging has been reduced in CAF after cardioversion.³⁸ Both the right and left atrial systolic dysfunction patterns of atrial TDI may be useful for predicting CAF, but this is the first study suggesting it to our knowledge. Histopathological changes in AF might account for atrial contractile dysfunction.^{4,7,8,36}

AF was classified as paroxysmal or chronic AF in our study, because of the time when it was performed. Recently, AF has been classified as paroxysmal, persistent and permanent, so different classification of AF is a limitation.² In addition, the exclusion criteria for the study limited our sample size and the number of patients who developed CAF. The A'(M)-A'(T) interval tended to be an independent predictor of CAF on multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, but this might not have been statistically significant because of our small CAF group. Also, we did not investigate the relation between QRS prolongation and A'(M)-A'(T) interval.³⁹ Further prospective studies involving more patients are needed to address this subject. Another limitation was that we could not characterise the episodes of PAF (duration, frequency, and symptoms) before and after enrolment, factors which could also influence the development of CAF, and this could have been helpful to establish the influence of clinical parameters on echocardiographic findings.

In conclusion, this prospective study suggested that patients with non-valvular PAF at high risk for progression to CAF have “interatrial dyssynchrony” and an “atrial systolic dysfunction” pattern on atrial TDI. Transthoracic echocardiography with TDI may be useful for identifying patients with a high risk for progression from non-valvular PAF to CAF and has the advantages of being an inexpensive and accessible method. Our study provides a basis for further prospective trials involving larger numbers of patients.

Competing interests: None.

Ethics approval: Ethics approval was provided by the institutional ethics committee (National Hospital Organization, Zentsuji National Hospital).

Patient consent: Obtained.

Portions of the results were presented at the American Heart Association Scientific Sessions 2007, Orlando, Florida, USA, 4–7 November 2007.

REFERENCES

- Nishimura RA, Abel MD, Hatle LK, *et al.* Assessment of diastolic function of the heart: background and current applications of Doppler echocardiography. Part II. Clinical studies. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1989;**64**:181–204.
- Fuster V, Rydén LE, Cannom DS, *et al.* American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines; European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines; European Heart Rhythm Association; Heart Rhythm Society. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2001 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation): developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation* 2006;**114**:e257–354.
- Jahangir A, Lee V, Friedman PA, *et al.* Long-term progression and outcomes with aging in patients with lone atrial fibrillation: a 30-year follow-up study. *Circulation* 2007;**115**:3050–6.
- Kerr CR, Humphries KH, Talajic M, *et al.* Progression to chronic atrial fibrillation after the initial diagnosis of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: results from the Canadian Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *Am Heart J* 2005;**149**:489–96.
- Tsang TS, Gersh BJ, Appleton CP, *et al.* Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction as a predictor of the first diagnosed nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in 840 elderly men and women. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;**40**:1636–44.
- Lindgren KS, Pekka Raatikainen MJ, Juhani Airaksinen KE, *et al.* Relationship between the frequency of paroxysmal episodes of atrial fibrillation and pulmonary venous flow pattern. *Europace* 2003;**5**:17–23.
- Yamada H, Donal E, Kim YJ, *et al.* The pseudorestrictive pattern of transmitral Doppler flow pattern after conversion of atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm: is atrial or ventricular dysfunction to blame? *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2004;**17**:813–18.
- Verma A, Kilicaslan F, Adams JR, *et al.* Extensive ablation during pulmonary vein antrum isolation has no adverse impact on left atrial function: an echocardiography and cine computed tomography analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2006;**17**:741–6.
- Fukunami M, Yamada T, Ohmori M, *et al.* Detection of patients at risk for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation during sinus rhythm by P wave-triggered signal-averaged electrocardiogram. *Circulation* 1991;**83**:162–9.
- Kiës P, Leclercq C, Bleeker GB, *et al.* Cardiac resynchronisation therapy in chronic atrial fibrillation: impact on left atrial size and reversal to sinus rhythm. *Heart* 2006;**92**:490–4.
- Brodsky MA, Allen BJ, Capparelli EV, *et al.* Factors determining maintenance of sinus rhythm after chronic atrial fibrillation with left atrial dilatation. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;**63**:1065–8.
- Hakala T, Hedman A. Predicting the risk of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Scand Cardiovasc J* 2003;**37**:309–15.
- Feinberg WM, Blackshear JL, Laupacis A, *et al.* Prevalence, age distribution, and gender of patients with atrial fibrillation. Analysis and implications. *Arch Intern Med* 1995;**155**:469–73.
- Fuenmayor AJ, Ramirez L, Fuenmayor AM. Validation of interatrial conduction time measurement by means of echo-Doppler. *Arch Cardiol Mex* 2002;**72**:125–8.
- Chen MC, Guo GB, Chang HW. Atrial electrophysiological properties evaluated by right and left atrial pacing in patients with or without atrial fibrillation. *Jpn Heart J* 2002;**43**:231–40.
- Rein AJ, O'Donnell CP, Colan SD, *et al.* Tissue velocity Doppler assessment of atrial and ventricular electromechanical coupling and atrioventricular time intervals in normal subjects. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;**92**:1347–50.
- Daubert JC, Pavin D, Jauvert G, *et al.* Intra- and interatrial conduction delay: implications for cardiac pacing. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2004;**27**:507–25.
- Merckx KL, De Vos CB, Palmans A, *et al.* Atrial activation time determined by transthoracic Doppler tissue imaging can be used as an estimate of the total duration of atrial electrical activation. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005;**18**:940–4.
- Ozer N, Yavuz B, Can I, *et al.* Doppler tissue evaluation of intra-atrial and interatrial electromechanical delay and comparison with P-wave dispersion in patients with mitral stenosis. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005;**18**:945–8.
- Roshanali F, Mandegar MH, Yousefina MA, *et al.* Prediction of atrial fibrillation via atrial electromechanical interval after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Circulation* 2007;**116**:2012–17.
- Cui QQ, Zhang W, Wang H, *et al.* Assessment of atrial electromechanical coupling and influential factors in nonrheumatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Clin Cardiol* 2008;**31**:74–8.
- Can I, Onat AM, Aytemir K, *et al.* Assessment of atrial conduction in patients with scleroderma by tissue Doppler echocardiography and P wave dispersion. *Cardiology* 2007;**108**:317–21.
- Garcia MJ, Rodriguez L, Ares M, *et al.* Myocardial wall velocity assessment by pulsed Doppler tissue imaging: characteristic findings in normal subjects. *Am Heart J* 1996;**132**:648–56.
- Edvardsen T, Urheim S, Skulstad H, *et al.* Quantification of left ventricular systolic function by tissue Doppler echocardiography: added value of measuring pre- and postejecction velocities in ischemic myocardium. *Circulation* 2002;**105**:2071–7.
- Khan IA. Atrial stunning: basics and clinical considerations. *Int J Cardiol* 2003;**92**:113–28.
- Henry WL, DeMaria A, Gramiak R, *et al.* Report of the American Society of Echocardiography Committee on nomenclature and standards in two-dimensional echocardiography. *Circulation* 1980;**62**:212–17.
- Sahn DJ, DeMaria A, Kisslo J, *et al.* Recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. *Circulation* 1978;**58**:1072–82.
- Severino S, Caso P, Galderisi M, *et al.* Use of pulsed Doppler tissue imaging to assess regional left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 1998;**82**:1394–8.
- Quinones MA, Otto CM, Stoddard M, *et al.* Doppler Quantification Task Force of the Nomenclature and Standards Committee of the American Society of Echocardiography. Recommendations for quantification of Doppler echocardiography: a report from the Doppler Quantification Task Force of the Nomenclature and Standards Committee of the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2002;**15**:167–84.

30. **Paraskevaidis IA**, Theodorakis GN, Katritsis DG, *et al.* Pulmonary vein flow analysis by transoesophageal echocardiography in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation; 1 year follow-up after cardioversion. *Eur Heart J* 1999;**20**:375–85.
31. **Budeus M**, Felix O, Hennesdorf M, *et al.* Prediction of conversion from paroxysmal to permanent atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2007;**30**:243–52.
32. **Van Beeumen K**, Duytschaever M, Tavernier R, *et al.* Intra- and interatrial asynchrony in patients with heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;**99**:79–83.
33. **Tops LF**, van der Wall EE, Schalij MJ, *et al.* Multi-modality imaging to assess left atrial size, anatomy and function. *Heart* 2007;**93**:1461–70.
34. **Omi W**, Nagai H, Takamura M, *et al.* Doppler tissue analysis of atrial electromechanical coupling in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005;**18**:39–44.
35. **Fleg JL**, Shapiro EP, O'Connor F, *et al.* Left ventricular diastolic filling performance in older male athletes. *JAMA* 1995;**273**:1371–5.
36. **Allessie M**, Ausma J, Schotten U. Electrical, contractile and structural remodeling during atrial fibrillation. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002;**54**:230–46.
37. **Benedetto U**, Melina G, Roscitano A, *et al.* Clinical utility of tissue Doppler imaging in prediction of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:83–8.
38. **Thomas L**, McKay T, Byth K, *et al.* Abnormalities of left atrial function after cardioversion: an atrial strain rate study. *Heart* 2007;**93**:89–95.
39. **Pachón JC**, Pachón EI, Albornoz RN, *et al.* Ventricular endocardial right bifocal stimulation in the treatment of severe dilated cardiomyopathy heart failure with wide QRS. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2001;**24**:1369–76.

Images in cardiology

Calcified left ventricular aneurysm with a giant mural thrombus

A 74-year-old male patient was admitted to our department with exertional angina. He had a history of anterior wall myocardial infarction that occurred 14 years ago. Echocardiography showed Q wave and ST-segment elevation in anterior leads; the ST-segment elevation was unchanged from an electrocardiogram obtained 2 years previously. Coronary angiography demonstrated total occlusion of the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery with collateral flow from the right coronary artery. Left ventriculography showed a

calcified left ventricular aneurysm (panel A). A contrast computed tomographic scan showed the calcified left ventricular aneurysm and a giant mural thrombus in the anterior-apical wall of the left ventricle (panel B). Left ventricular aneurysms occur as a complication of acute myocardial infarction. Calcified ventricular aneurysms are seen infrequently. This report demonstrates a calcified cardiac aneurysm containing a giant mural thrombus.

O Uz, E Kardesoglu, M Yalcin, B S Cebeci

homeruz@yahoo.com

Heart 2009;**95**:993. doi:10.1136/hrt.2009.166181