GW23-e1730

ASSESSMENT OF CORONARY ARTERY IN-STENT PATENCY BY FLASH-DSCT VERSUS CORONARY ARTERY ANGIOGRAPHY

doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2012-302920ad.16

Yang Jun-jie, Yang Xia, Du Luo-shan, Chen Yun-dai. *Department of Cardiology, Chinese PLA General Hospital*

Objectives Coronary computed tomographic modality sheds more light on radiation reduction while maintaining image quality. The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of second generation dual source coronary CT scanner with prospective electrocardiographically triggered high-pitch spiral acquisition (FLASH-DSCT) in the detection of in-stent restenosis (>50% luminal narrowing) in symptomatic patients referred for conventional coronary angiography (CCA).

Methods 62 patients/107 stents with chest discomfort were prospectively evaluated after coronary stenting. Before CCA, FLASH-CT was performed by using a second generation, dual-source CT scanner (Definition Flash; Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) between September 2011 and March 2012.

Results Average heart rate (HR) was 58 ± 7 bpm, and Average effective dose (ED) was 1.61 ± 0.62 mSv. The interval between stenting and inclusion in the study was 31 ± 40 months. Of the 107 stents, 96 (89.7%) could be assessed and 11 (10.3%) were excluded due to unqualified image quality. 27/107 (25.2%) stents had angiographically proven restenosis, and 46/107 (43%) stents mild late loss. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of FLASH-DSCT, calculated in all stents, were 95%, 93%, 76% and 98%, respectively. In stents ≥ 3.5 mm (n=42), sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV were 100%; in 3 mm stents (n=39), sensitivity and NPV were 100%, specificity 97%, PPV 93%; in stents ≤ 2.75 mm (n=26), sensitivity was 88%, specificity 66%, PPV 59%, NPV 90%. Five stents ≤ 2.5 mm were indiscernible.

Conclusions It can be performed well in the detection of in-stent patency by FLASH-DSCT. In spite of frequent false positive findings in smaller stents (≤ 2.75 mm), FLASH-DSCT can reliably distinguish in-stent restenosis irrespective of stent size.