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Long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and incidence rate of coronary artery calcification in Japanese men in Japan and white men in the USA: population based prospective cohort study


ABSTRACT

Objective To determine whether serum concentrations of long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCn3PUFAs) contribute to the difference in the incidence rate of coronary artery calcification (CAC) between Japanese men in Japan and white men in the USA.

Methods In a population based, prospective cohort study, 214 Japanese men and 152 white men aged 40–49 years at baseline (2002–2006) with coronary calcium score (CCS)=0 were re-examined for CAC in 2007–2010. Among these, 175 Japanese men and 113 white men participated in the follow-up exam. Incident cases were defined as participants with CCS≥10 at follow-up. A relative risk regression analysis was used to model the incidence rate ratio between the Japanese and white men. The incidence rate ratio was first adjusted for potential confounders at baseline and then further adjusted for serum LCn3PUFAs at baseline.

Results Mean (SD) serum percentage of LCn3PUFA was >100% higher in Japanese men than in white men (9.08 (2.49) vs 3.84 (1.79), respectively, p<0.01). Japanese men had a significantly lower incidence rate of CAC compared to white men (0.9 vs 2.9/100 person-years, respectively, p<0.01). The incidence rate ratio of CAC taking follow-up time into account between Japanese and white men was 0.321 (95% CI 0.150 to 0.690; p<0.01). After adjusting for age, systolic blood pressure, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, diabetes, and other potential confounders, the ratio remained significant (0.262, 95% CI 0.094 to 0.731; p=0.01). After further adjusting for LCn3PUFAs, however, the ratio was attenuated and became non-significant (0.376, 95% CI 0.090 to 1.572; p=0.18).

Conclusions LCn3PUFAs significantly contributed to the difference in the incidence of CAC between Japanese and white men.

INTRODUCTION

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a major public health problem not only in developed but also in developing countries.1 CHD rates in Japan are uniquely low compared to the USA and other developed countries.2 Even among men born in Japan after the second world war who have been exposed to a westernised lifestyle since childhood, CHD mortality in Japan is much lower than in the USA, despite a less favourable or similar profile of many cardiovascular risk factors in the Japanese, including blood pressure (BP), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), smoking, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).3 The low CHD mortality in Japan is not due to misclassification of the cause of death4 or a cohort effect.1

Coronary artery calcification (CAC) is highly correlated with atherosclerotic burden of the coronary arteries.5 Studies in the general population—for example, the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adult (CARDIA),6 the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA),7 and our study8—reported that CAC is associated with traditional risk factors. Moreover, CAC is a strong independent predictor of CHD.9 We have previously reported that the prevalence of CAC in Japanese subjects was lower than that in US white subjects, whereas the prevalence in Japanese Americans was higher as compared to US white subjects,10 indicating that low CHD mortality in Japanese individuals in Japan is unlikely to be primarily due to genetic factors.

Long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCn3PUFAs) are major regulators of multiple molecular pathways among other fatty acids, causing various biological effects, including antiarhythmic and antiatherogenic effects.11 The antiarhythmic effect is well documented and the results of some, but not all, randomised clinical trials (RCTs) support this effect.12 Although several RCTs in patients with CHD or T2DM reported the effect of LCn3PUFAs on the progression of atherosclerosis,13 14 no previous studies have reported the association of LCn3PUFAs with the progression of atherosclerosis in the general population.

The Japanese population has a notably higher dietary intake of LCn3PUFAs compared to other populations, for example, 1000 mg/day in Japan versus <100 mg/day in typical western diets,
including the USA. We have previously reported in our cross-sectional study that high serum concentrations of LCn3PUFAs in Japanese subjects significantly contributed to the difference in the prevalence of CAC between Japanese and US white subjects. In the current study, we hypothesised that the incidence rate of CAC was significantly lower in Japanese men than US white men and that higher values of LCn3PUFAs contributed to the difference in the CAC incidence rate. We tested these hypotheses in the electron beam tomography, risk factor assessment among Japanese and US men in the post-World-War-II birth cohort (ERA JUMP Study), a population based study of 868 men aged 40–49 years comprising Japanese subjects in Japan, US white subjects, and Japanese Americans.

METHODS

Study sample

The ERA JUMP Study is an international, population based study of atherosclerosis in men aged 40–49 years at baseline. A detailed description of the study design and methods has been published previously. Briefly, 313 Japanese men from Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan, 310 white men from Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, USA, and 303 Japanese American men from Honolulu, Hawaii, USA from a representative sample of offspring of fathers who participated in the Honolulu Heart Program, were examined at baseline between 2002 and 2006. All participants were without clinical cardiovascular disease. The recruitment of the follow-up study in the Japanese and white subjects was started in 2007 and was completed in 2010, whereas the follow-up in the Japanese Americans subjects was started in 2009 and is ongoing. Thus, the data presented in the current study excludes the Japanese Americans.

All participants from the baseline examination were invited to the follow-up. The rates of participation were 83.7% in the Japanese men (262/313) and 79.0% in the white men (245/303). Individuals with missing CAC (n=9) were excluded, resulting in a total of 498 participants (255 Japanese and 243 white men). The characteristics of the participants and non-participants were not significantly different (see online supplementary table S1).

The current study analysed the incidence rather than the progression of CAC because the prevalence of CAC, defined as a CCS ≥10, was low (9.3%) in the Japanese subjects at baseline. Incident cases were defined as individuals with a CCS=0 at baseline (214 Japanese and 152 white men) and a CCS ≥10 at follow-up. We used CCS ≥10 because 54% of the Japanese subjects (27/50) and 28% of the white subjects (19/67) with CCS ≥0 and <10 at baseline reverted to CCS=0 at follow-up, whereas only three Japanese subjects and two white subjects with CCS ≥10 reverted to CCS=0 at follow-up (see online supplementary table S2). Thus, the final sample comprised 175 Japanese and 113 white men with CCS=0 at baseline. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of the Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan, and the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA.

Coronary artery calcification

CAC was measured using electron beam tomography (EBT) (Imatron C150, GE Medical Systems, South San Francisco, USA) at baseline both in Japan and in the USA, and follow-up in the USA. At follow-up in Japan, CAC was measured using a 16 slice multidetector CT (MDCT) (Toshiba Medical System Corporation, Tochigi, Japan). Scanners were calibrated regularly by technicians following a standardised protocol. A total of 30–40 contiguous 3 mm thick transverse images were obtained from the level of the aortic root to the apex of the heart. Images were recorded during a maximal breath hold using ECG guided triggering. CAC was considered to be present with three contiguous pixels (area=1 mm2) ≥130 Hounsfield units. One trained reader at the Cardiovascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh, read the images using a Digital-Imaging-and-Communications-in-Medicine workstation and software by the AccuImage Diagnostic Corporation, San Francisco, which calculates CACS with the Agatston scoring method. The reader was blinded to each participant’s characteristics and the study centres. The intra-reader reproducibility of non-zero CCSs had an intra-class correlation of 0.98.

Serum LCn3PUFAs and other covariates

All participants underwent a physical examination, lifestyle questionnaire, and laboratory assessment as described previously. BP was measured in the right arm of the seated participant after the participant emptied his bladder and sat quietly for 5 min, using an appropriate sized cuff, with an automated sphygmonanometer (BP-8800, Colin Medical Technology, Komaki, Japan). The average of two measurements was used. T2DM was defined as an individual with fasting glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L or under diabetic medication. Alcohol drinkers were defined as those who drank alcohol ≥2 days/week.

Venepuncture was performed early in the clinic visit after a 12 h fast. Serum and plasma samples were stored at −80°C, shipped on dry ice to the University of Pittsburgh to determine concentrations of LDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, glucose, and C reactive protein (CRP). Gas chromatography was used to determine serum n-3 and other fatty acid content which is expressed as a percentage of total serum fatty acids. The coefficients of variation between runs for major marine-derived n-3 fatty acids, eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3) (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3) (DHA), were 4.5% and 7.2%, respectively, as previously reported.

Statistical methods

An incidence rate was calculated as the number of incident cases divided by the number of the population exposed to risk between baseline and follow-up scans (per 100 person-years). To compare baseline characteristics between Japanese and white subjects as well as between incident and non-incident cases in each of the Japanese and white men, t test or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and χ2 test for categorical variables were used.

A relative risk regression analysis was used to model the incidence rate ratio between the Japanese and white subjects after adjusting for potential confounders, using a generalised linear model with log link and binomial error distribution. First, we showed a relative risk in an unadjusted model which took follow-up time into account. Then, in model I, we adjusted for age, systolic BP, LCL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides, diabetes, body mass index (BMI), pack-years of smoking, and medication for hypertension based on previous literature. Finally, in model II we further adjusted for total LCn3PUFAs, which were defined as the sum of EPA, DHA, and docosapentaenoic acid. Values of p<0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. All these statistical analyses were performed with Stata V11.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics show that age, systolic BP, LDL-C, triglycerides, and rates of T2DM were not significantly different.
between the two groups (p>0.10). Compared to the white men, the Japanese men had significantly higher rates of both current and former smokers and higher values of glucose (p<0.05), although the Japanese men had significantly lower BMI and CRP values, and significantly higher concentrations of HDL-C (p<0.05). Mean percentages of total LCn3PUFAs, EPA, and DHA were more than 100% higher in the Japanese than in the white subjects (p<0.01). Among these individuals, 10 Japanese and 15 white men had a CCS ≥10 at follow-up, and the incidence rate of CAC was significantly lower in the Japanese than in the white subjects (p<0.01) (table 1).

Table 2 shows the risk factors and other covariates between the incident and non-incident cases in each group. These factors did not differ significantly between Japanese and white subjects (p>0.10). The only exception was systolic BP in the Japanese men, which was significantly higher in incident cases than in non-incident cases (p<0.01).

The incidence rate ratio of CAC between the Japanese and white men was 0.321 (p<0.01) in an unadjusted model (table 3). After further adjusting for systolic BP, LCL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides, diabetes, BMI, pack-years of smoking, and medication for hypertension, the incidence rate ratio remained significant (model I in table 3). After further adjusting for total LCn3PUFAs, the statistical significant difference in incidence rate ratio was attenuated and became non-significant (model II in table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This international study has shown that the CAC incidence rate was significantly lower in the Japanese men than in US white men, and that the significant difference in the CAC incidence rate, which remained after adjusting for risk factors and potential confounders, became non-significant after further adjusting for serum LCn3PUFAs. The results of the longitudinal study extended our previous findings from our cross-sectional study,8 suggesting that high dietary intake of LCn3PUFAs contributed to the low levels of atherosclerosis in Japanese subjects.

Results from two recent large studies in Japan support the antiatherogenic effect of LCn3PUFAs.18 19 The Japan EPA Lipid Intervention Study (JELIS) tested a hypothesis that long term supplementation with 1800 mg/day EPA prevented major coronary events in 18,645 hypercholesterolaemic individuals.18 After a mean follow-up of 4.6 years, a 19% significant relative reduction in the primary end point occurred in the EPA group. Similarly, a 10 year longitudinal population based study in Japan of 41,578 individuals aged 40–59 years reported that dietary intake of LCn3PUFAs had a significant inverse association with non-fatal coronary events.19

In contrast, several recent RCTs of LCn3PUFAs on cardiovascular disease—that is, SU.FOL.O.M3,20 α Omega Trail,21 ORIGIN,22 and the Risk and Prevention Study23—failed to show their beneficial effect. However, doses of LCn3PUFAs used in these RCTs were much lower than the dose in JELIS: 376–900 mg/day vs 1800 mg/day, respectively. Moreover, background dietary intake of LCn3PUFAs in these populations is substantially lower than that in Japan. Therefore, these results from recent RCTs do not address the question of whether LCn3PUFAs at concentrations observed in Japanese subjects have an antiatherogenic effect.

We defined incident cases of CAC as those with CCS=0 at baseline and CCS≥10 at follow-up. This is because we cannot deny the possibility that CCSs of 1–9 are a noise. Indeed, a substantial proportion of individuals with CCSs >0 and <10 at baseline reverted to CCS=0 at follow-up (39% (46/117)). Inter-scan variability of CCS is much higher when CCSs are >0 and <10 as compared to CCS≥10.24 For this reason, the Dallas Heart Study used CCS≥10 as indicating the presence of CAC.24 Although CARDIA and MESA defined incident cases of CAC as individuals with CCA=0 at baseline and CCA>0 at follow-up, a noise caused by inter-scan variability in these studies is expected to be lower than ours; this is because these studies scanned twice at both baseline and follow-up,25 whereas our study scanned once both at baseline and follow-up.

The effect of LCn3PUFAs on cardiovascular risk factors has been documented in RCTs and experimental studies showing that LCn3PUFAs significantly lower triglycerides and BR non-significantly raise HDL-C, and have inconsistent effects on LDL-C, glucose, and CRP.11 26 27 The antiatherogenic effect of LCn3PUFAs is, however, unlikely to be mediated through these factors in the current study; this is because the difference in the incidence rate ratio of CAC remained significant after adjusting for these factors but became non-significant after further adjusting for LCn3PUFAs. Experimental studies show that LCn3PUFAs have various antiatherogenic properties including suppressing the production of inflammatory cytokines, the expression of cell adhesion molecules, and improving endothelial function, platelet function, and plaque stability.11 26–27 Additionally, long term exposure to high doses of LCn3PUFAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of participants with zero coronary calcium score at baseline, number of incidence cases and incidence rates in Japanese and white subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44.9 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.2 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124.0 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication for hypertension (%)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.33 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.08, 1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication for hyperlipidaemia (%)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.81 (0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.15, 0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former (%)</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pac years</td>
<td>18.0 (3.0, 29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinker (≥2 days/week)</td>
<td>14.0 (2.9, 38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LCn3PUFAs (%)</td>
<td>9.09 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA (%)</td>
<td>2.36 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA (%)</td>
<td>5.88 (1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of incident cases</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time (years)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence rate/100 person years</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean (SD) or median (25th and 75th centile) for continuous variables and % for categorical variables. Total LCn3PUFAs were defined as the sum of eicosapentaenoic, docosapentaenoic, and docosahexaenoic acids. Fatty acids were expressed as a percentage of total serum fatty acids. DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LCn3PUFA, long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol.
The current study has several limitations. The number of CAC incident cases was small and our univariate analyses were unable to identify factors significantly associated with incident cases except for systolic BP in the Japanese subjects. The current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moreover, the current study did not use an external standard to calibrate CCS between EBT and MDCT in Japan. Since MDCT was used at follow-up in the USA, MDCT was used at follow-up in Japan. Moore...
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Key messages

What is already known about this subject?
Much higher serum values of long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCn3PUFAs) in Japanese men in Japan than in the USA significantly contribute to the cross-sectional difference in atherosclerosis evaluated by coronary artery calcification (CAC) and intima–media thickness of the carotid artery; both are independent predictors of future cardiovascular events in men in Japan and the USA.

What does this study add?
Serum values of LCn3PUFAs in Japanese subjects in Japan significantly contributed to the longitudinal difference in CAC (ie, incidence of CAC) between men in Japan and the USA, independent of other cardiovascular risk factors.

How might this impact on clinical practice?
Higher doses of LCn3PUFAs than that used in recent randomised clinical trials of LCn3PUFAs on cardiovascular disease may potentially have beneficial effects on cardiovascular disease.
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