0.001) compared to baseline, likely due to the effects of anti-platelet medication.

Conclusions In patients with STEMI, endogenous thrombolysis, when impaired, is associated with increased cardiovascular risk, and when enhanced, with spontaneous reperfusion and favourable outcomes. Identification of impaired endogenous thrombolytic status may serve as a novel biomarker to identify high-risk patients who may benefit from enhanced pharmacotherapy to reduce adverse events.

99

LEFT BUNDLE BRANCH BLOCK: IS IT TIME TO RECONSIDER THE CRITERIA FOR PRIMARY PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION?

¹Joyee Basu*, ²Mark Mikhail, ¹Tracey Realey, ¹William Orr. ¹Royal Berkshire Hospital; ²John Radcliffe Hospital; *Presenting Author

10.1136/heartjnl-2016-309890.99

Introduction The European Society of Cardiology includes ST-elevation and presumed new onset left bundle branch block (LBBB) as indications for immediate reperfusion therapy but LBBB may be caused by a number of alternative pathologies. Patients presenting with non ischaemic LBBB are potentially at risk of exposure to unnecessary medication and intervention ultimately leading to increased risk and needless cost. This audit sought to ascertain the proportion of patients presenting with chest pain and LBBB who were confirmed as having acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and how this compared to patients presenting with ST elevation and ST depression/T wave changes. We compared characteristics, such as age and gender and mortality data of patients with and without LBBB. We also explored characteristics that could potentially help to differentiate patients with LBBB into low and high likelihood of ACS.

Methods Data was obtained from our local MINAP database for 3103 patients who presented with chest pain over a 5 year period. Patients with LBBB were identified and demographic data including age and sex, as well as mortality rates were recorded. These factors were directly compared with patients who did not present with LBBB. Numbers of patients with LBBB and ACS were compared to patients presenting with ST elevation as well as ST depression/T wave changes on ECG. Comorbidity data was also examined to identify potential contributors to higher risk.

Results

Abstract 99 Table 1 Age, sex, diagnosis and in hospital mortality of patients presenting with either LBBB vs. ST elevation vs. ST depression/ T wave inversion

All ACS	n	% of total	Mean age	Male	Admission diagnosis ACS	Discharge diagnosis ACS	In-hospital mortality
LBBB	164	6.5	80	59%	63%	84%	14.6%
ST-elevation	1274	50.5	84	72%	89%	91%	5.8%
ST-depression/T-wave inversion	1083	43	71	68%	72%	98%	5.4%

Abstract 99 Table 2 Age, sex, percentage of PPCI undertaken, LV dysfunction and in hospital mortality in patients presenting with LBBB vs. ST elevation

PPCI Activations	n	% of total	Mean age	Male	PPCI undertaken	Severe LV dysfunction	In-hospital mortality
LBBB	26	2.3	70	77%	58%	19%	23%
ST-elevation	1082	97.7	68	79%	92%	3.2%	4.5%
Total	1108	100	68	79%	93%	3.6%	5%

Several factors appear to be useful in stratifying LBBB patients into low and high risk of ACS including previous MI, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic renal failure and whether the patient was a current or ex smoker.

Conclusions Patients presenting with chest pain and LBBB represent only a small proportion of the total burden of ACS. They were not older, were less likely to be male but had significantly higher mortality rates than patients with non-LBBB ECG changes. LBBB triggering Primary PCI activation is only a very small component of the total volume of cases, is a much less accurate predictor of acute coronary occlusion, but is undoubtedly a marker of greatly increased risk of in-hospital mortality and early cardiologist review of these patients in the cath lab may well be beneficial. This audit suggests that further work should be undertaken to better understand the role of LBBB of predicting ACS and acute coronary occlusion in an increasingly elderly population.

100

RE-INTRODUCTION OF PRE-HOSPITAL THROMBOLYSIS COULD IMPROVE STEMI OUTCOMES WHEN PRIMARY PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION IS DELAYED

¹Thabo Mahendiran*, ²Dan McKenzie, ²Judith Newton, ³Rachael Rowe, ⁴Jacqueline Clarkson, ²Mark Dayer. ¹University Hospitals Bristol; ²Musgrove Park Hospital; ³Somerset Clinical Commissioning Group; ⁴Somerset County Council; *Presenting Author

10.1136/heartjnl-2016-309890.100

Introduction Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) is the treatment of choice for STEMI in the UK. Before this, thrombolysis represented the main treatment option, delivered in hospital (in-hospital thrombolysis, IHT) or prior to arrival (pre-hospital thrombolysis, PHT). Key to acceptance of the PPCI model is the timeliness of its delivery, with NICE recommending that PPCI should be delivered within 120 min of when fibrinolysis could have been given.

Methods We undertook a retrospective observational study to compare the outcome of patients with STEMI treated with either PPCI or thrombolysis (IHT or PHT) in a medium sized UK district general hospital. Data were obtained from the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) database for patients admitted between 26/02/2002 and 11/11/2013 with a diagnosis of STEMI. Exclusion criteria were: LBBB, pre-hospital cardiac arrest, less than one year of follow up.

Patients (n = 1290) were analysed according to the reperfusion modality employed: PHT (n = 124), IHT (n = 354), PPCI (n = 664), no reperfusion therapy (n = 148). There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics of the three intervention groups (Table 1).