We have seen a recent upsurge in research related to valvular heart disease due to increasing recognition of the prevalence and adverse outcomes associated with heart valve disease, improved imaging modalities allowing early diagnosis and sequential evaluation, and new treatment options which offer the promise of reduced symptoms and longer survival in patients with these conditions. Valve disease research includes a spectrum of methodological approaches including basic science to understand disease mechanism and identify potential therapeutic targets, epidemiological studies to estimate prevalence and determine modifiable risk factors, imaging studies to improve diagnosis and quantitation of disease severity, clinical studies to better understand the pathophysiology and disease course, and interventional studies to evaluate the efficacy of surgical and transcatheter valve procedures. In this issue of Heart, we present a few of the recent interesting clinical studies of outcomes in patients with valvular heart disease. These papers highlight the challenges of clinical research in patients with valvular heart disease and suggest a path forward using advanced imaging to study disease mechanisms as well as larger datasets to study clinical outcomes, even in subset of patients with less common types or combinations of valve disease.

The effect of anticoagulation on valve haemodynamics after transcatheter valve replacement (TAVR) was examined in a multicentre study of 2466 patients (46% men, mean age of 81 years). A propensity score matched analysis with 622 patients in each group suggested that the absence of treatment with anticoagulation after TAVR was associated with a significant increase in transvalvular gradient over 29±18 months of follow-up. In contrast, those receiving anticoagulation had no change in gradient over time and had a lower incidence of haemodynamic deterioration (0.6% vs 3.9%, p<0.001). However, a transvalvular mean gradient of 10 mm Hg or higher was not associated with an increased risk of death or stroke over this follow-up interval (figure 1).

In the accompanying editorial, Doris and Dweck point out that TAVR now is an established treatment for severe aortic stenosis with reliable data showing valve durability up to 5 years after valve implantation. However, concerns remain about long-term durability (10–20 years) of transcatheter bioprosthetic valves. Several factors might be associated with limited valve durability including the geometry of the prosthesis, patient factors, and the impact of anticoagulation on valve haemodynamics. The study by Del Trigo et al suggests that anticoagulation may preserve transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) valve haemodynamics. The potential mechanism for this observation is not clear. Concern has recently grown regarding the incidence of subclinical leaflet thrombosis (red arrow) in patients undergoing TAVI, and it is hypothesised that this may lead to future calcific degeneration of bioprosthetic TAVI valves (black arrow). Future research should focus on investigating this possible mechanistic link between bioprosthetic leaflet thrombosis and valve calcification/degneration and the role that anticoagulant therapy might play in improving TAVI valve durability.
of valve design, effects of crimping on the leaflet tissue, and suboptimal anticalcification treatments with early generation valves, among other considerations. However, attention have focused recently on the possible role of valve thrombosis as a contributor to reduced long term durability. Doris and Dweck\(^2\) ponder the possible mechanisms that might link valve thrombosis to subsequent tissue calcification (Figure 2). They conclude that “Research must now focus on gaining a greater understanding of the link between valve thrombosis and degeneration, with the hope that ultimately this will help inform optimal management to prolong bioprosthetic valve longevity and improve patient outcomes.” Readers and researchers may be interested in other recent articles in Heart addressing the issue of structural degeneration of TAVR valves.\(^3\)\(^4\)

In patients with chronic severe aortic regurgitation (AR), current guidelines recommend aortic valve replacement (AVR) at symptom onset or when there is evidence of early systolic dysfunction, manifested as severe left ventricular (LV) dilation or a fall in ejection fraction, even in asymptomatic patients. In patients who are followed prospectively with appropriately timed intervention, LV size and systolic function normalise rapidly after AVR with excellent long-term outcomes. Unfortunately, some patients only present for medical care with advanced LV systolic dysfunction; management in these patients is controversial due to concerns about surgical risk and persistent LV dysfunction after AVR. In order to address these concerns, Fiedler and colleagues queried a large echocardiographic database and found that only about 5% of patients with severe AR also had an LV ejection fraction <35%\(^5\). In these 40 patients, mortality at a mean follow-up of 6.6 years was 27.8% in those who underwent AVR and 91.2% in those who did not undergo AVR, with multivariable analysis confirming a lower mortality with AVR (HR=0.143, \(p=0.0490\)) (Figure 3).

This study highlights the challenges in clinical studies of valvular heart disease. Although the number of subjects in this analysis is quite small, the initial cohort of patients undergoing echocardiography was quite large (almost 150 000). Patients were not randomised to AVR or medical therapy so that the effects of patient selection cannot be fully adjusted for; perhaps sicker patients did not undergo AVR, for example, but a randomised study of such a rare condition would be difficult. However, this study suggests that with even larger databases, we can add to the evidence base for decision making in patients with valvular heart disease.

Given the complexities and many uncertainties in management of valve disease patients, McConkey \textit{et al} \(^6\) strongly endorse the concept of Heart Valve Centres—specialist centres resourced with an array of imaging modalities, access to percutaneous and surgical valve treatments, and high-level expertise in valvular heart disease and key related cardiac and non-cardiac disciplines. These centres can provide a network of dedicated valve clinics with access to clinical expertise and consistent high-quality imaging to facilitate careful follow-up and timely referral to a dedicated Heart Team for consideration of surgery or percutaneous intervention.’

Most studies on outcomes after surgical intervention for mitral valve disease predominantly included patients with myxomatous (eg, degenerative) mitral
Kim and colleagues report clinical, a worldwide basis. In this issue of Heart valve disease is much more common on regurgitation, although rheumatic mitral implanted in the inferior vena cava. MitraClip being used in the tricuspid position. Panel (D) demonstrates a stented caval valve TriAlign, which percutaneously reproduces a surgical Kay bicuspidisation. Panel (C) shows the orifice and provides a surface against which coaptation can occur. Panel (B) demonstrates the regurgitation. Panel (A) is the FORMA device, a tricuspid spacer which occupies the regurgitant orifice caused by the progression or recurrence of the rheumatic process. However, ‘not all rheumatic populations are equal. A better knowledge of the rheumatic valve pathology and the evolution of repair techniques have contributed to improved results. Hence, valve repair, however challenging, is still worthwhile and the percentage of valves repaired increases with the experience of the surgeon and the will to preserve the valve. It is vital that all surgeons dealing with this type of pathology gain adequate experience and overcome the unavoidable learning curve, which can only be obtained by exposure to enough patients with this condition.’

Also, in this focus issue on valvular heart disease, you will find two excellent review articles on outcomes and management of isolated tricuspid valve regurgitation (figure 5) and on the clinical utility of stress echocardiography in patients with valvular heart disease. The Education in Heart article in this issue discusses minimally invasive mitral valve repair. Be sure to try the Image Challenge question. Hint: it is about valve disease too!
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Figure 5 Multiple percutaneous devices are in development for the treatment of tricuspid regurgitation. Panel (A) is the FORMA device, a tricuspid spacer which occupies the regurgitant orifice and provides a surface against which coaptation can occur. Panel (B) demonstrates the TriAlign, which percutaneously reproduces a surgical Kay bicuspidisation. Panel (C) shows the MitraClip being used in the tricuspid position. Panel (D) demonstrates a stented caval valve implanted in the inferior vena cava.
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