Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) dramatically improves clinical outcomes compared with unreperfused STEMI. However, acute restoration of myocardial blood flow to infarcted tissue may cause further damage, with reperfusion injury accounting for up to 50% of infarct size. To date, therapeutic interventions to reduce reperfusion injury that appeared promising in experimental models have not been shown to improve clinical outcomes in patients. In this issue of *Heart*, Engstrøm and colleagues report the extent of myocardial salvage, as assessed by MRI, in 243 patients with STEMI randomised to danegaptide versus placebo.1 Danegaptide in a dipeptide that interacts with connexin-43, resulting in increased gap junction conductance which has been shown to reduce infarct size in animal reperfusion studies. Unfortunately, the myocardial salvage index was no different in patients receiving two different doses of danegaptide compared with the control group and there was no difference in clinical outcomes (figure 1).

Two other papers in this issue focus on optimal antiplatelet therapy after an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Current guidelines recommend dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin (a cyclooxygenase inhibitor) plus a P2Y12 inhibitor. Randomised clinical trials (RCTs) have shown that the newer P2Y12 inhibitors are superior to clopidogrel for reducing major cardiovascular events, although with an increased risk of bleeding, but the potential benefit in an unselected patient population remains controversial because RCT patient selection may not represent the full clinical spectrum of patients with ACS. In this issue of *Heart*, Edfors and colleagues3 address this concern using data from a large Swedish registry of over 45000 patients with myocardial infarction. In this large ‘real-life’ population, those discharged on ticagrelor had a lower 1-year risk of the composite outcome (death, myocardial infarction and stroke) and a higher risk of bleeding compared with those discharged on clopidogrel, even across subgroups defined by estimated glomerular filtration rate (figure 2).

**Figure 1** Final infarct size as a function of AAR. Infarct size correlated well with AAR for all treatment groups (control: \( r^2 = 0.753, p<0.0001 \), danegaptide high: \( r^2 = 0.496, p<0.0001 \), danegaptide low: \( r^2 = 0.407, p<0.0001 \)). AAR, area at risk.

**Figure 2** Cumulative incidence rates for the combined outcomes (death, myocardial infarction or stroke) and numbers at risk stratified on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) groups in mL/min/1.73 m².
A second study examined the effectiveness of ticagrelor or prasugrel for prevention of recurrent myocardial infarction using a case-referent study design. In these 1047 cases and 2234 matched controls, treatment with either ticagrelor or prasugrel was associated with lower risk of recurrent myocardial infarction compared with treatment with clopidogrel. Again, these findings were consistent across subgroups including patients with their first myocardial infarction, STEMI and non-STEMI patients and those who were 70 years of age or older (figure 3).

In an editorial, Onwori and colleagues conclude ‘Optimal antiplatelet therapy in ACS remains a subject of debate and the balance between ischaemic risk reduction and bleeding is often fine. In this edition of Heart, evaluation of registry data sheds further light on the effects of DAPT in the real world and compares favourably with the outcomes seen in RCTs. This may alleviate clinician concerns and support further uptake of guideline-recommended therapy. Still, little is known about the clinical effects of ticagrelor in dialysis-dependent patients and the very elderly, so more research is needed in these groups.’

Other interesting papers in this issue include a comparison of general versus non-general anaesthesia in patients undergoing transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). Compared with non-general anaesthesia, general anaesthesia was associated with a longer hospital length of stay and longer procedural duration with no difference in procedure outcomes, and 30-day and 1-year mortality. Based on data like this, most valve centres now no longer use general anaesthesia for patients undergoing transfemoral TAVI, unless there are other reasons for considering this approach in an individual patient.

Nutritional factors related to risk of cardiovascular disease continue to be of great interest. In a large epidemiological study, Larsson and colleagues found that eating nuts at least three times a week was associated with an 18% lower risk of atrial fibrillation, as well as a reduced risk of heart failure. Nut consumption was not associated with risk of fatal myocardial infarction, aortic valve stenosis or stroke.

The use of meta-analysis to inform evidence-based medicine guideline recommendations is not yet accepted by all clinicians. In a provocative editorial entitled ‘Meta-analysis: mistake or milestone in medicine’, Foroutan and colleagues argue that ‘systematic reviews and meta-analyses are powerful and informative. They should not be condemned as a form of medical fake news. There will always be poorly conducted randomised trials of little use (and potentially misleading)—the same is true of meta-analysis. When faced with a meta-analysis, clinicians should look at its methods and results critically—and use the guidance available to help them in doing so. There is no doubt, however, that optimal clinical care requires knowledge of the best available evidence, and systematic summaries are required to be confident that clinicians indeed have access to that evidence. With rigorous systematic summaries, we can be confident as we partner with patients to ensure that they achieve the best possible outcomes.’

The Education in Heart article in this issue discusses the use of transcatheter valves for treatment of congenital heart disease, particularly in the pulmonic position. The use of multimodality imaging is illustrated, along the rapidly evolving technology available for transcatheter repair procedures.

The Image Challenge question asks you to interpret the angiogram in a 42-year-old woman presenting with an anterior STEMI; an interesting finding in the external iliac artery.
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