The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) CG95 Chest Pain of Recent Onset guidelines suggest that in patients presenting with chest pain and a non-diagnostic ECG, a single high-sensitivity troponin (hs-cTn) level below the limit of detection, in combination with a risk stratification tool, be used to identify patients at low risk of myocardial infarction. However, previous studies either used risk scores with limited efficacy or used serial hs-cTn levels below the 99% percentile. Thus, the efficacy of using a single undetectable hs-cTn test in combination with a low Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) score has not been tested.

In an analysis of 3159 adults with chest pain but a non-ischaemic ECG on presentation to the emergency department, Carlton and colleagues found that the combination of a TIMI score of 0 plus a single negative hs-cTn had a sensitivity of 99% for the primary outcome of major adverse cardiac events within 30 days. This approach, using an hs-cTn level below the limit of detection as the definition of a negative test, identified 18%–21% of patients at low risk in whom an early discharge strategy would be appropriate (figure 1).

In an accompanying editorial, Chapman and Mills make the point that this study is the first to validate the NICE guideline using a TIMI score along with a hs-cTn assay below the limits of detection to ‘rule-out’ myocardial infarction. They also raise the question whether a risk score is needed or whether a simpler approach based on an undetectable hs-cTn level and a normal ECG is reasonable. Both high risk and older patients (TIMI scores over 0) also have chronic low-level elevations of hs-cTn levels and thus would not be considered low-risk based on the blood test alone. They caution that ‘Comparative studies including risk stratification thresholds alone or in combination with risk scores are required to determine if improvements in safety can be obtained’. Randomised clinical outcomes studies using the NICE guideline are in progress; in the meanwhile, clinicians should continue to perform serial testing in patients who present early after symptoms onset or with an abnormal ECG and should be aware of the normal reference range of the assay.

Correspondence to Professor Catherine M Otto, Division of Cardiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; cotto@uw.edu

Figure 1  Forest plots of the sensitivity (95% CI) for major adverse cardiac events occurring within 30 days separated by rule-out strategy and study site. FN, false negatives; FP, false positives; LoD, limit of detection; TN, true negatives; TP, true positives; TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.

Figure 2  Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival probability in patients with or without RAS blockade therapy following TAVI. RAS, renin–angiotensin system; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation.
used at your institution. Finally, ‘implementation of the proposed early rule-out strategy should include clinical audit, with attention paid to the time taken to rule out the diagnosis and on the clinical outcomes of patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome’.

Left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy in adults with aortic stenosis (AS) often fails to regress fully after valve replacement and can be associated with persistent diastolic dysfunction and clinical symptoms. Ochiai and colleagues hypothesised that renin–angiotensin system (RAS) blockade after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) for severe AS would be associated with greater LV mass regression and reduced mortality. In a prospective registry of patients undergoing TAVI, there was more LV mass regression at 6 months in the 371 patients who received RAS blockage compared with the 189 patients not on RAS blocking medications. In addition, all-cause mortality was lower in the RAS blockage patients (HR, 0.45; 95% CI 0.22 to 0.91; p=0.025), even after adjusting for confounding variables (figure 2).

Gotzmann discusses the findings of this small observational study, which suggests that outcomes in adults with severe AS might be improved, even after TAVI, with RAS blockade therapy. LV hypertrophy in adults with AS is presumed to be ‘compensatory’ to maintain a normal wall stress in response to pressure overload due to outflow obstruction. On the other hand, LV hypertrophy has adverse effects including diastolic dysfunction, increased LV filling pressures and interstitial fibrosis that often persist long after relief of valve obstruction. In addition, many adults with AS also have systemic hypertension, imposing a ‘double-load’ on the LV. Thus, it seems plausible that RAS blockade would be beneficial, both for reducing blood pressure and improving LV function with previous epidemiological studies supporting this hypothesis. However, ‘the study by Ochiai et al is the first to demonstrate the utility of drug therapy after TAVI’.

‘The encouraging results, as well as...
the limitations of previous retrospective studies, should prompt us to begin a prospective study to investigate the importance of RAS blockade in patients with AS. Despite advances in our understanding of sex differences in cardiovascular disease, women continue to have higher overall annual cardiovascular mortality rates. In meta-analysis and modelling study, Lee and colleagues found that the higher adverse cardiovascular events rates in women with an acute coronary syndrome were offset by a slightly higher relative risk reduction with the newer oral antplatelet agents (OAA) ticagrelor and prasugrel compared with clopidogrel. Overall, the absolute risk reduction at 1 year was similar in women and men for cardiovascular mortality (2.70% (95% CI −0.63% to 5.74%) versus 2.72% (95% CI 0.92% to 4.35%)), overall morality and bleeding events with these newer agents (Figure 3).

In an editorial, Serebruany and colleagues cautions us that “all OAA mega trials suffer from massive double-digit incomplete follow-up rates and/or drug discontinuations challenging the quality of the analysed datasets and limiting the practical value of further subanalyses mining. Overall, the current knowledge suggests that gender differences should be considered when picking the particular OAA, length of therapy and careful selection of concomitant medica-
tions. Whether gender per se is responsible for such differences is still unclear. Further comparative randomised studies of different OAA in patients of both sexes are urgently needed”.

A two-part review article on secondary mitral regurgitation (MR) by Gaasch and Meyer in this issue is essential reading for understanding the pathophysiology, clinical stages, approaches to quantita-
tion of disease severity, and the role in interventional and surgical approaches to improving outcomes in patients with secondary MR.
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