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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is estimated to cause about 15% of all strokes, yet many patients with AF are asymptomatic, and thus, not diagnosed or treated with preventative anticoagulation. Our ability to monitor cardiac rhythm in ambulatory patients has increased dramatically in the last few years with numerous medical and consumer devices providing continuous heart rate or ECG monitoring. In this issue of Heart, Sejr and colleagues1 compared the accuracy of AF detection by 48 hours of external loop recording (ELR) versus simultaneous continuous ECG in a prospective study of 1412 patients with an acute stroke or transient ischaemia attack. Continuous ECG documented AF in 2.7% of patients—16% of these patients were missed on ELR. However, ELR suggested AF was present in five times more patients than were documented on continuous ECG recording; cardiologist verification reduced this number but was still associated with a high number of false positive diagnoses of AF (figure 1).

In an editorial, Pokorney and Lopes2 put these data in the context of the range of devices available to medical professionals and patients (figure 2). Screening for undiagnosed AF is controversial on several levels—who should be screened? How often and how long should they be screened? Which device should be used? But the controversy goes beyond detection of AF; it is unclear whether there would be a favourable risk–benefit ratio for anticoagulant therapy in patients with subclinical AF. Physicians are going to need to address the treatment question quickly. After all, our patients are not going to wait for us to decide how to best screen for AF; increasingly they simply are doing it themselves.

Another important question is whether there are ethnic differences in AF prevalence. In a study of 1746 patients with heart failure (HF) by Tan and colleagues3, AF prevalence was lower in Singapore–Asians than in New Zealand (NZ)–Europeans (24% vs 63%; p<0.001). This
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Figure 1 Flow diagram illustrating results of AF diagnostics in continuous ECG and ELR. Green marked boxes represent patients with AF in continuous ECG. AF, atrial fibrillation; ELR, external loop recorder.

Figure 2 Screening technologies for atrial fibrillation.
The difference persisted even after adjustment for age, relevant clinical variables and echocardiographic parameters. Comorbidities associated with AF were similar in Asians and NZ–Europeans including older age, higher body mass index, hypertension and renal disease (figure 3). However, Asian patients with diabetes had less AF than patients without diabetes, whereas there was no difference in AF prevalence among NZ–Europeans with and without diabetes.

The accompanying editorial by Burden and Timpson\(^4\) points out that a lower prevalence of AF in Asian patients with HF is the opposite of what would be expected from prior studies which have shown that diabetes is associated with a higher risk of AF. Is this an important new finding that has clinical implications for prevention of AF or are there other possible explanations? Burden and Timpson raise the concern that collider bias might be present; specifically, that the inclusion of only patients with HF leads to an apparent relationship between two variables (ie, AF and diabetes) that are both causally related to the variable used to select the study cohort (ie, HF) (figure 4). Tan and colleagues\(^5\) respond in a letter: ‘If collider bias from HF-only selection explains the diabetes–AF paradox, it is difficult to understand why collider bias would apply to Asians but not NZ–Europeans, or to preserved but not reduced ejection fraction HF (regardless of ethnicity), in cohorts simultaneously recruited using identical protocols. Also difficult to explain is why collider bias would not similarly impact hypertension and other HF risk factors. The diabetes–AF paradox clearly needs confirmation to establish whether it derives from collider bias or other sources.’

Other interesting papers in this issue include the study by Ikenaga and colleagues\(^6\) showing that, in patients with mitral regurgitation undergoing transcatheter edge-to-edge mitral valve repair, persistence at 12 months of the iatrogenic atrial septal defect (from the procedural transeptal puncture) is related to elevated left atrial pressure, even after adjustment for cardiac index and residual mitral regurgitation.

The ‘Education in Heart’ in this issue\(^7\) discusses the importance of psychosocial risk factors for coronary disease, such as social isolation and depression in addition to smoking and other behaviours. Optimising cardiovascular risk prevention includes attention to psychosocial and behavioural risk factors as detailed in practical guidance for clinicians in this article.

The ‘Image Challenge’ question\(^8\) shows an interesting cardiac finding on the positron emission tomography–CT scan in an elderly woman with fatigue and reduced appetite.
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