Heartbeat: Improving acute myocardial infarction outcomes in women

doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315000

Catherine M Otto¹

In this issue of *Heart*, Wilkinson and colleagues¹ report sex differences in guideline-indicated care for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) from a nationwide cohort study of over 690 thousand AMI hospitalisations in England and Wales. Women comprised about 35% of the cohort and were older than men (mean age 77 vs 67 years) but less often had ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI, 34% vs 43%). In addition, women less often received timely reperfusion therapy for STEMI, timely coronary angiography for non-STEMI, treatment with dual antiplatelet therapy or secondary prevention medications (figure 1). Median 30 day risk score adjusted mortality was higher in women compared with men (median: 5.2% (IQR 1.8%–13.1%) vs 2.3% (IQR 0.8%–7.1%), $p < 0.001$) and the authors estimate that 8243 (95% CI 8111 to 8375) deaths among women could have been prevented if quality indicators in women had been equivalent to those observed in men.

In an editorial, Wei *et al*² point out that improving outcomes after AMI in women requires an increased understanding of both the *biological sex* differences and *gender bias* in medical care. Biological differences include the higher prevalence of myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) in women with various mechanisms leading to AMI including coronary vasospasm, embolism, spontaneous coronary dissection and Takotsubo cardiomyopathy. These biological differences are then confounded by gender bias in treatment. Protocols focused on improving recognition of AMI, rapid transfer to a centre capable of percutaneous intervention and checklists to ensure optimal medical therapy can improve outcomes in both men and women (figure 2). They conclude: 'It is clear from this new study and work of others that protocols for the delivery of recommended AMI treatments for women can reduce the sex-AMI mortality gap. Accordingly, the question

Figure 1 Mean difference in QI attainment between men and women. ACEi, ACE inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; HF, heart failure; LV, left ventricular; LVSD, left ventricular systolic dysfunction; NSTEMI, non-STEMI; QI, quality indicator; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

is: do we have the will to improve CVD outcomes for women?'

In a study from New Zealand³, MINOCA accounted for about 11% of patients in a nationwide cohort study of over 8000 AMI patients. In those with obstructive coronary artery disease, all-cause mortality at 2 years was 7.9% compared with 4.9% in those with MINOCA. The cause of death was

split relatively evenly between cardiac and non-cardiac causes in those with obstructive coronary disease. In contrast, most deaths in patients with MINOCA were due to non-cardiac causes (figure 3).

The link between the New Zealand study on MINOCA³ and the UK based study¹ on sex differences in AMI treatment is evident in the editorial by Mehta

Acute Myocardial Infarction "Save Lives Checklist"

Initial Evaluation and Treatment (with the ED staff, nurse and physician)	Risk Assessment and Secondary Prevention (with nurse and physician)	Patient Satisfaction and Outcomes (with nurse, physician and QI staff)
Domain 1: Centre organization Was ECG performed and interpreted pre-hospital? Goal: 70% in both women and men Domain 2: Reperfusion - invasive strategy For STEMI presenting within 12 hours of onset of symptoms: Reperfusion therapy provided? Goal: 90% in both women and men Reperfusion within the predefined time limits? Goal: 80% in both women and men Time from diagnosis to wire passage? Goal: 40 min mean in both women and men For NSTEMI: Coronary angiography within 72 hours of admission to hospital? Goal: 40% in both women and men	Domain 3: In-hospital risk assessment Evaluation of left ventricular systolic function? Goal: 50% in both women and men Domain 4: Antithrombotic treatment during hospitalization Fondaparinux provided during their hospital stay? Goal: 25% in both women and men P2Y12 inhibitors provided? Goal: 85% in both women and men Dual antiplatelet therapies provided? Goal: 80% in both women and men Domain 5: Secondary prevention - discharge treatment Statin provided? Goal: 90% for both women and men For those with heart failure or left ventricular systolic dysfunction: ACEi/ARB provided? Goal: 86% in both women and men Beta-blockers provided? Goal: 68% in both women and men	Domain 6: Patient satisfaction Provided cardiac rehabilitation? Goal: 77% in both women and men Provided dietary advice? Goal: 32% in both women and men Domain 7: Composite and outcome QI Median attainment of the composite opportunity based QI? Goal: 80 in both women and men Median attainment of the overall composite QI score? Goal: 75 in both women and men 30-day death rate? Goal: <7% in both women and men 30-day GRACE risk score adjusted mortality? Goal: <6% in both women and men

Figure 2 AMI 'Save Lives Checklist' from six quality indicators of the European Society of Cardiology Acute Cardiovascular Care Association suite of Quality Indicators (QIs) for AMI. The checklist is not intended to be comprehensive. Additions and modifications to fit local practice are encouraged. The initial goals reflect the metrics achieved in men and can be updated for further improvement with measured progress over time. ACEi, ACE inhibitor; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ED, emergency department.

Correspondence to Professor Catherine M Otto, Division of Cardiology, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195, USA; cmotto@uw.edu

Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier analysis of all-cause mortality or non-fatal myocardial infarction for patients with and without obstructive coronary artery disease and for patients without prior cardiovascular disease (CVD).

and Beltrame⁴ in which they raise the question: ‘Why do young women predominate in MINOCA population? Even in the settings of stable angina and ischaemic heart disease, women are more likely to have the finding of no obstructive CAD on angiography.’ They go on to answer that: ‘Coronary microvascular dysfunction from either abnormal vasodilatory capacity or from microvascular spasm is highly prevalent in this group. Vascular disorders such as spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) and fibromuscular dysplasia that lead to MI are also more prevalent in younger women who often do not have traditional risk factors such as hyperlipidaemia or diabetes.’ Furthermore: ‘Whether patients with MINOCA should receive the same

treatment regimen for secondary prevention over longer term as used for those with obstructive CAD is unknown. Given the adverse prognosis in MINOCA, close physician follow-up is required to ensure optimal risk factor management and adequate angina control.’

In patients with adult congenital heart disease, standard cardiac treatments may be associated with complications that differ from the general cardiology population. For example, Egbe *et al*⁵ found that in tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) patients with an implantable cardiac electronic device, complications occurred in 20% including lead failure, lead recall, device infection and thrombus. The annualised rate of appropriate shocks was 5.7% compared with an annual inappropriate shock rate of 6.2%. Deen and

Prutkin⁶ put this data in context, noting that arrhythmias are common in adult patients with a repaired ToF and that there is an increased incidence of atrial fibrillation and ventricular arrhythmias after age 45 years. Although risk stratification for primary prevention of sudden cardiac death in ToF patients is imperfect, ‘the recent American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Guideline for the Management of Adults with Congenital Heart Disease gives a IIa recommendation for a primary prevention ICD in those patients with multiple risk factors for sudden cardiac death (left ventricular systolic or diastolic dysfunction, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia, QRS duration >180ms or extensive myocardial fibrosis on cardiac MRI).’

The *Education in Heart* article in this issue provides a summary of how imaging can be used to as a marker of drug efficacy and disease activity.⁷ In addition, there is an excellent review article⁸ summarising the standards and core components for cardiovascular disease prevention and rehabilitation (figure 4).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite Otto CM. *Heart* 2019;**105**:501–502.

Heart 2019;**105**:501–502.
doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315000

REFERENCES

- Wilkinson C, Bebb O, Dondo TB, *et al*. Sex differences in quality indicator attainment for myocardial infarction: a nationwide cohort study. *Heart* 2019;**105**:516–23.
- Wei J, Henry TD, Bairey Merz CN. Biology and bias: do we have the will to improve cardiovascular disease outcomes for women? *Heart* 2019;**105**:503–5.
- Williams MJA, Barr PR, Lee M, *et al*. Outcome after myocardial infarction without obstructive coronary artery disease. *Heart* 2019;**105**:524–30.
- Mehta PK, Beltrame JF. Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries: a humbling diagnosis in 2018. *Heart* 2019;**105**:506–7.
- Egbe AC, Miranda WR, Madhavan M, *et al*. Cardiac implantable electronic devices in adults with tetralogy of Fallot. *Heart* 2019;**105**:538–44.
- Deen JF, Prutkin JM. Shock to the heart: cardiac implantable devices’ bad name in adults with tetralogy of Fallot. *Heart* 2019;**105**:508–9.
- Tarkin JM, Dweck MR, Rudd JHF. Imaging as a surrogate marker of drug efficacy in cardiovascular disease. *Heart* 2019;**105**:566–77.
- Cowie A, Buckley J, Doherty P, *et al*. Standards and core components for cardiovascular disease prevention and rehabilitation. *Heart* 2019;**105**:510–5.

Figure 4 Cardiac rehabilitation patient pathway aligned with NACR data entry pathway. CR, cardiac rehabilitation; GP, general practitioner; NACR, National Audit of Cardiac Rehabilitation.