Heart: Early cardiomyopathy or physiological left ventricular dilation in athletes?
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Athletic physical conditioning results in changes in cardiac anatomy and function that make it challenging to distinguish normal physiological changes from a pathological process in athletes with left ventricular (LV) dilation or a reduced ejection fraction. Millar and colleagues propose an approach for identifying athletes with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) based on sequential evaluation using N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) measurement, resting ECG, ambulatory ECG monitoring, exercise echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging. In 25 male athletes with LV dilation and an ejection fraction <55% compared with 35 asymptomatic active male patients with DCM, a failure to increase ejection fraction >11% compared with baseline on exercise echocardiography had the highest sensitivity, with a high specificity, for prediction of DCM. (figure 1)

Donal, Paven and Galli comment that: “In clinical practice, when a physician does not understand the patient’s symptoms on effort, he might suggest an exercise test. Combining exercise and imaging, we can obtain a comprehensive information on heart anatomy and function, which might improve our understanding of the disease, its symptoms and prognosis. Increase in pathophysiological understanding might provide further insight to dealing with symptoms and eventually propose more specific treatments (figure 2). More work has to be done. But frankly Millar et al’s work is an important contribution to promoting exercise stress echocardiography for practical clinical reasoning.”

Another interesting paper in this issue of Heart by Jepma and colleagues compared the effect of a community-based lifestyle intervention programme in older (age 65 years or older) vs younger (age <65 years) patients with coronary artery disease. Perhaps surprisingly, despite a higher prevalence of adverse cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities, older patients were over 5X more likely to achieve weight loss of 5% or greater, whereas younger patients were more likely to show no improvement in life-style related risk factors (physical activity, weight reduction, smoking cessation). This findings highlight the important of lifestyle-interventions in older adults with coronary disease both in terms of each patient’s quality of life but also in terms of avoiding future adverse events and reducing healthcare costs.

In an editorial, Norekvål and Allore point out that cardiac rehabilitation...
“has over time evolved from a single-component exercise training programme into a comprehensive lifestyle programme targeting traditional risk factors delivered through group-based sessions. However, the setting (centre-based vs home-based, or a combined model) is especially relevant to older adults.” They further suggest that cardiac rehabilitation needs to go beyond traditional risk factors to address other issues relevant to each patient that contribute to suboptimal health. (figure 3)

Neopterin, a surrogate marker of plaque inflammation, is a predictor of coronary artery disease risk. In 4130 patients with suspected stable angina pectoris, Dhar and colleagues5 examined the association of plasma neopterin levels, stratified by serum lipid levels, with risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). The association of neopterin levels was strongest in patients with a serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level below the median. (figure 4) In addition, neopterin levels were strongly related to risk of AMI in patients with a Vitamin A level above the median, possibly related to the increased production of Vitamin A in response to oxidised cholesterol metabolites.

In an editorial,6 Moss raises the question “What remains unclear with respect to cardiovascular risk modification is whether aggressive reduction of LDL-C is the only target that matters?” Following an elegant and detailed discussion of the issues, he concludes: “While LDL-C accumulation plays an integral role in the formation of atherosclerotic coronary plaque, we now know that there are many more variables that contribute to the acceleration or attenuation of coronary artery disease progression. Is it time for inflammatory biomarkers such as neopterin or hsCRP to be incorporated into routine clinical practice? This will ultimately depend on whether a more precise phenotype of coronary artery disease leads to more effective treatment use. Surely that is what matters most?”

A review article in this issue of Heart on diagnosis and treatment of acute recurrent pericarditis is well worth a read.7 Emerging data suggest that interleukin-1 receptor antagonists may be helpful in refractory cases, although further studies are needed. The Education in Heart article summarises the current indications and outcomes with balloon aortic valvuloplasty in adults with aortic stenosis.8 While the role of this approach has declined with the availability of transcatheter aortic valve implantation, this approach still has a role as a bridge to definitive therapy or as palliative care.
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