Sudden cardiac death (SCD) accounts for 10%–20% of all deaths in high-income countries, with about 50% of victims having no prior history of heart disease. Currently, primary prevention of SCD is limited to patients with known left ventricular dysfunction or an underlying cardiac arrhythmia. However, there is no simple method for identifying apparently healthy people who have a high risk of SCD. Based on ECG and outcome data in 6830 subjects in the Mini-Finland Health Study, Holkeri and colleagues identified five simple abnormalities on a baseline ECG that were independently associated with SCD risk: heart rate >80 beats/min, PR duration >220 ms, QRS duration >110 ms, left ventricular hypertrophy and T-wave inversion. In a separate validation cohort of 10,617 subjects, the presence of 1, 2 or 3 of these abnormalities was associated with a progressive increase in SCD risk with an HR of 10.82 (95% CI 3.23 to 36.25) for patients with 3 of more of these ECG findings compared with those with no ECG abnormalities (figure 1).

In the accompanying editorial, Sinner et al comment that ‘the presented study constitutes an important step towards improved SCD risk prediction with the advantage that ‘the selected ECG markers can be determined in almost any clinical setting, thereby facilitating potential broad application of the score.’ However, prospective randomized clinical studies are needed because ‘Individuals at increased risk for SCD might need to be subjected to intensified monitoring, intensified medical treatment, or—ultimately—implantation of an ICD.’”

Appropriateness criteria and other guidelines seek to improve patient care by identifying which patients will benefit from a procedure, such as percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), while reducing healthcare system costs by reducing unnecessary procedures. However, benefit often is defined from the physician point of view with few studies reporting patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) in patients undergoing elective PCI.

Figure 1  Kaplan-Meier survival plots for (A) SCD and (B) death from any cause according to the ECG risk score in the 10-year follow-up. SCD, sudden cardiac death.
Boxplots demonstrating change in the Short-Form Seattle Angina Questionnaire summary score by PCI appropriateness. Boxplots indicate the median and IQR for values of the Short-Form Seattle Angina Questionnaire summary score at baseline and 30-day follow-up according to PCI appropriateness. The Short-Form Seattle Angina Questionnaire-7 is scaled from 0 to 100, with lower scores indicating more severe angina. PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

In an editorial discussing these findings, Arnold4 points out that ‘patients’ symptom burden may be a better measure of appropriateness of PCI than a reduction in ischaemia risk, as measured by stress testing or imaging. Thus, ensuring clinical benefits depends on using PROMs that reliably assess patients’ symptom burden and integrating these PROMs into routine clinical practice. In a second editorial, Bradley5 suggests a ‘cloudy future for appropriateness criteria’ as currently defined. Instead ‘we need to put patient-reported health status front and centre in clinical settings where the anticipated benefit is not the reduction of mortality or other clinical events. This includes stratifying patients on the basis of health status deficit at the time of randomisation in clinical trials, and routine capture of health status in clinical registries and clinical care.’

Coronary artery vasculitis due to Kawasaki disease typically starts in childhood but clinical sequelae occur over the patient’s lifetime. Up to 2/3 of patients suffer major adverse cardiovascular events within 30 years of diagnosis due to the lifelong risk of coronary thrombosis, coronary stenosis and acute coronary syndromes. Practical guidance for management of these patients is provided in a consensus statement published in this issue of Heart, with contributions from multiple professional societies.6 Tables summarise the classification of risk for coronary dilation or aneurysm and provide recommendations for periodic follow-up assessments based on clinical, ECG, imaging and blood tests as well as psychological support and lifestyle issues. Detailed flowcharts highlight the atypical presentation of acute coronary syndromes and the unique aspects of emergency management in patients with previous Kawasaki disease.

An outstanding review article in this issue of Heart provides an update on the use of three-dimensional and four-dimensional (3D plus time) flow assessment in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD).7 The abnormal flow patterns associated with native and surgically corrected CHD provide insights into the pathophysiology of disease and may allow development of better corrective procedures in the future using flow visualisation to restore normal flow patterns and minimise long-term adverse outcomes (figure 3).

In our Cardiology in Focus article, Qasim8 shares his experiences in founding a medical knowledge platform for sharing clinical cases and provides his top tips for cardiologists aspiring to become a health tech entrepreneur.
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