(mean 4.7 mmol/mol, and results are pending for 18 patients. Collection of outcomes including hospitalisations for HF, CV events, ejection fraction, and adverse effects of treatment is ongoing. The above has been achieved despite limitations imposed by the remote nature of the clinic due to the Covid-19 pandemic, which limits performance of blood tests, echocardiograms and observations. This limitation is expected to be ameliorated by conducting in-person clinics in future.

**Conclusions**
Operation of a joint CMC facilitates optimisation of the pharmacological management of risk factors in patients with cardiac and metabolic disease, particularly incorporation of current evidence-based therapies. Emerging outcomes indicate the potential impact of this service on patients’ long-term CV outcomes.
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**Background**
Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) and Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) have an average reduction of 12 years in life expectancy. The landmark EMPA-REG trial in 2013 demonstrated that SGLT2i significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality in this population of patients. The NNT was 39 at 3.1 years. This is comparable to other landmark studies such as the 4S study (Simvastatin NNT 30 at 5.3 years) and the HOPE trial (Ramipril, NNT 50 at 5 years). International guidelines recognise the CV benefits of SGLT2i. They suggest SGLT2i should be used as a second line therapy or as a first line intervention in treatment naïve T2DM patients who have ASCVD.

**Objectives**
To assess use of SGLT2i in patients with T2DM and known ASCVD in primary care. Additionally, to understand the potential benefit of introducing SGLT2i to these patients in primary care.

**Methods**
The patient list of a GP surgery was searched for patients coded to have T2DM and ASCVD. Demographics, most recent HbA1c and eGFR, diabetic and cardiology medication were recorded. Search criteria were as follows: Myocardial Infarction, Unstable Angina, Ischaemic Stroke, Haemorrhagic Stroke, Peripheral Artery Disease, AAA,
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Coronary Artery Bypass Graft, Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty.

Results 8525 patients registered, 487 had T2DM (5.7%) and 68 patients were identified as having T2DM and ASCVD. 5 were excluded from analysis, one patient had left the surgery, three had no coronary atheroma on angiogram and one was mis-coded. Therefore 63 patients were used for analysis (12.9% of those with T2DM). Mean age 77.2 (SD 10.13), 41 male and 22 female. 58 patients had an eGFR >30, 29 patients had an eGFR >60. 45 patients were receiving at least one medication for T2DM (table 1). 18% of patients taking medication were receiving either GLP-1 or SGLT2i which confer CV benefit (figure 1). 75% of patients on dual therapy were taking DPP-4 inhibitors compared with 6.25% patients who were taking SGLT2i. DPP-4 inhibitors have no CV benefit. SGLT2i were typically used as a third line or later therapy (figure 2).

Conclusion SGLT2i were used sparingly and typically as a third line therapy or later in this cohort. DPP-4 inhibitors tended to be used as second line but lack any CV benefits. With a NNT of 39, concerted efforts to increase SGLT2i use tended to be used as second line but lack any CV benefits.
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Background Enhanced sympathetic activity and reduced parasympathetic activity, assessed by heart rate variability (HRV) indices, have been linked to the pathogenesis of hypertension. Some studies showed that sympathetic and parasympathetic activity tend to restore after long-term hypertension exposure, as a result of cardiac output adaptation. It has not been known whether resistant hypertension (RH) and treated malignant hypertension (MHT) patients experienced similar restoration of autonomic balance.

Purpose To explore the autonomic changes in treated MHT, RH and normotensives subjects.

Methods We studied 23 patients with RH (57±11 y), 18 patients with treated MHT (54±13 y), and 23 normotensives controls (NC) (50±5 y). Time domain and frequency domain HRV indices of 5 minutes recordings were used to evaluate autonomic function. In the time domain, standard deviation of normal to normal R-R intervals (SDNN) reflect parasympathetic activity. Reduced SDNN is a marker of lower parasympathetic tone. The ratio between low frequency and high frequency spectrum was assessed in frequency domain. LF/HF increased is a marker of increased sympathetic activity.

Results The groups were matched by age and body surface area (all p>0.05). Time domain and frequency domain variables of HRV were not significantly different between three groups (p>0.05 for all) (table 1). Antihypertensive medications used were similar, except for the higher use of diuretics in RH group (100% vs. 67.9%, p<0.05). On linear regression, independent predictors of decreased SDNN were high creatinine level, decreased subendocardial viability ratio and increased central systolic blood pressure(p<0.05). On linear regression, independent predictor of high HF/ LF ratio was presence of left ventricular hypertrophy (β=-2.6, p=0.04).

Conclusion No differences were detected in HRV parameters between groups. These findings support the hypothesis of potential restoration of sympatho-vagal balance after prolonged hypertension exposure. Presence of target organ damage independently predicts decreased parasympathetic and increased sympathetic tone.
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Abstract 199 Table 1 Demographic and cardiovascular parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>NC(n=23)</th>
<th>MHT(n=18)</th>
<th>RH(n=23)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 7</td>
<td>166 32*</td>
<td>163 211</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78 8</td>
<td>97 17*</td>
<td>95 161</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hypertension (years)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>7(5-8) *</td>
<td>8(5-10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>48 [30-81]</td>
<td>47 [34-64]</td>
<td>48 [25-68]</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>33 [25-51]</td>
<td>30 [23-50]</td>
<td>27 [16-40]</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (ms2)</td>
<td>809 [198-1849]</td>
<td>642 [776-1894]</td>
<td>398 [1186-935]</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (ms2)</td>
<td>314 [185-789]</td>
<td>327 [128-366]</td>
<td>203 [92-481]</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (n.u)</td>
<td>34 1.83</td>
<td>33 1.16</td>
<td>36 22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (n.u)</td>
<td>66 18.3</td>
<td>67 15.7</td>
<td>64 22</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/NF</td>
<td>1.9 0.4</td>
<td>2.02 0.3</td>
<td>1.77 0.5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>14.3 3.2</td>
<td>14.3 3.2</td>
<td>14.3 3.2</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normally distributed data are expressed as (mean ±SD). Non-normally distributed data are displayed as median with interquartile ranges. *P <0.05 between healthy controls and malignant hypertension. P <0.05 between healthy controls and resistant hypertension. DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HF: High frequency spectrum; LF: Low frequency spectrum; MHT: Malignant hypertension; NC: Normotensives control; pNN50: Percentage of successive differences between R-R intervals greater than 50 ms; RH: Resistant hypertension; rMSSD: Square root of the mean of the successive differences between adjacent R-R intervals; RSA: respiratory rate; SBP: systolic blood pressure; SDNN: Standard deviation of normal to normal R-R intervals. RH was defined as office systolic and diastolic blood pressures exceeds 140/90 mmHg despite the use of three or more antihypertensive medications, one of which is a diuretic. MHT was defined as a diastolic blood pressure of 120 mmHg or more, accompanied by bilateral retinal haemorrhages and/or exudates, with or without papilledema.
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