and rivaroxaban in the remaining months. In comparisons between rivaroxaban and dabigatran for stroke incidence 16 of 19 (84%) studies showing no difference. Edoxaban was included in only 4 studies overall, without evidence suggestivive of differential safety or efficacy compared to other NOACs.

**Discussion** The absence of large-scale randomized controlled trials directly comparing individual approved NOAC agents is a notable scientific gap. Studies with non-random treatment allocation provide weak evidence that apixaban is more safe and more effective in stroke prevention when compared to dabigatran and rivaroxaban, while few studies investigated comparison of edoxaban with other NOACs. Future studies should seek to directly compare NOAC agents with each other. For new medications undergoing evaluation for approval, regulatory agencies should consider mandating comparatative efficacy and safety testing between medications when one or more medications are already approved for a specific indication.

---

**Abstracts**

**56** **DIABETES MELLITUS AND HEART FAILURE: A COMPLETED QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AUDIT**

**Introduction** Diabetes mellitus (DM) and heart failure (HF) have substantial overlap in their risk factors, pathophysiology, and increasingly in their management. The DAPA-HF trial showed decreasing rates of cardiovascular death and HF hospitalisation with the use of the sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors in patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). This quality-improvement audit had the dual aims of improving the collection and recording of glycaemic control (HbA1c) while also improving the identification and treatment of diabetes in a HF population.

**Methods** In the initial audit, the electronic medical records of 200 consecutive patients of the Heart Failure Unit (HFU) were analysed. Patient demographics and HF classification were recorded alongside the date/result of their most recent HbA1c as logged on the St Michael’s Hospital blood database. The subsequent accuracy and databasing of this data on the bespoke HFU patient system that referred to during every multidisciplinary meeting where results of the above were presented together with education on the increasing importance of identifying diabetes in HF management. Emphasis was also placed on the need for timely recording of HbA1c while also improving the identification and treatment of diabetes in a HF population.

**Results** A combined total of 400 patients were included in the two audits with an average age of 72. Males accounted for 57% of the cohort. HFrEF accounted for 75% of the population. 51% of the population were non-diabetics, 27% were pre-diabetic, 21% type 2 diabetics and 1% were type 1 diabetics. Our primary audit of 200 consecutive patients revealed 35% (n=70) of patients had a HbA1c result available in the last 3 months. 50% (n=35) of these had a HbA1c ≥48. Only 9% (n=5) had the above HbA1c result recorded on the HFU patient record system. Of the 200 patients in the post-intervention audit, 67% (n=133) had a HbA1c result in the preceding 3 months i.e. representing a 32% absolute increase in HbA1c testing. 37% (n=73) had this result subsequently recorded on the HFU patient record system i.e. representing a 34% absolute increase in reporting. Importantly, 11 patients identified in the secondary audit were newly diagnosed as diabetics suggesting that these new diagnoses were largely secondary to the increased HbA1c testing that occurred as a result of our intervention.

**Summary/Conclusions** We present a completed quality-improvement audit cycle showing a significant increase in HbA1c testing and recording in a busy HF clinic. The data obtained from accurate and consistent HbA1c recording can be used to identify, treat and accurately monitor diabetic control in the HF population.

---

**57** **IS INTRACARDIAC ECHO GUIDED LEFT ATRIAL APPENDAGE OCCLUSION A SAFE AND COST EFFECTIVE ALTERNATIVE TO TRANSOESOPHAGEAL GUIDED LEFT ATRIAL APPENDAGE OCCLUSION? A SINGLE CENTRE RETROSPECTIVE REVIEW**

**Background** Left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO) can be performed in patients with atrial fibrillation with a contraindication to oral anticoagulation to minimise stroke risk. LAAO is usually performed under transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) guidance. This is labour intensive and requires general anaesthetic (GA). Intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) guided LAAO under conscious sedation may be a safe alternative to TOE guided LAAO. Given the lack of availability of anaesthetic support during the Covid-19 pandemic and the fact that TOE guided LAAO is an aerosol generating procedure, we switched to performing all of our LAAOs under ICE guidance last year. We are the only centre in the country to perform LAAO under ICE guidance. The aim of this retrospective study is to compare the results of ICE guided and TOE guided LAAO procedures.

**Methods** We retrospectively analysed all of the medical, procedural and outpatient notes and imaging results of patients who underwent LAAO in our centre between January 2018 and January 2021. The co-primary endpoints were successful implantation of the LAA occlusion device and procedure related mortality. The secondary endpoints were; 1) time spent under fluoroscopy; 2) procedure duration; 3) hospital length of stay; 4) incidence of access site haematomas; 5) incidence of pericardial effusion; 6) incidence of tamponade; 7) device embolisation and 8) peri-device leak on follow up TTE or ECG Gated CT Aorta [figure 1].

**Results** 49 patients were admitted to our centre for LAA occlusion in the study period. They were all included in our study. 12(24.49%) were female. The median age was 78 with an Interquartile Range (IQR) of 10. The most common indication for LAA occlusion was gastrointestinal bleeding.