Heartbeat: the role of antiplatelet therapy in treatment of COVID-19 infections

There are few effective therapies to reduce complications due to COVID-19 infection. Santoro and colleagues hypothesised that antiplatelet therapy (APT) might be beneficial given the high prevalence of platelet-fibrin-rich microthrombi in several organs at autopsy. In a multicentre international registry of 7824 consecutive patients hospitalised with COVID-19 infection, 9% received either single or dual APT. Compared with those with no APT, univariate analysis showed that patients treated with an APT had a shorter duration of mechanical ventilation (8±5 days vs 11±7 days, p=0.01) but no difference in hospital mortality, need for invasive ventilation, embolic events or bleeding. However, on multivariable analysis with consideration of baseline clinical factors, treatment with APT during hospitalisation for COVID-19 was associated with a lower mortality risk (relative risk 0.39, 95% CI 0.32 to 0.48, p<0.0001) (table 1).

Voruganti and colleagues put this data in context, including a table summarising previous publications that reported outcomes in patients with COVID-19 on APT. They conclude ‘The study by Santoro et al is a step in the right direction to improve the outcomes of patients with COVID-19. The use of an antiplatelet agent, mainly aspirin, might improve clinical outcomes without increasing the risk of side effects such as bleeding’. However, this potential benefit must be weighed against the risk of bleeding in each patient and further research is needed to determine the optimal APT agent. In my view, these findings should be evaluated in an RCT before making firm clinical recommendations.

The association between premature ventricular contraction (PVC) frequency and incident heart failure has not been studied previously in a community-based setting. In this issue of Heart, Limpitikul and colleagues examined 24-hour ambulatory ECG monitoring in 871 participants in the population-based cardiovascular health study; 36% had a 10 or more PVCs per 24 hours and 34% developed heart failure (HF) over a median of 11 years follow-up. After correcting for baseline characteristics, greater heterogeneity in the PCV coupling interval (but not the interval itself) was associated with a higher risk of decline in left ventricular systolic function and incident HF (Figure 1).
In the accompanying editorial, Deyell and Hawkins discuss the complex interplay between PVCs and HF including (1) PVCs as a manifestation of underlying myocardial disease, (2) a high PVC burden causing HF which may resolve after PVC ablation, and (3) PVC coupling heterogeneity in healthy adults indicating a higher risk of incident HF as shown in the study by Limpitikul and colleagues (figure 2). They go on to point out the heterogeneity in PVC coupling intervals is primarily determined by the underlying arrhythmic mechanism. ‘Fixed and low coupling interval variation is more indicative of re-entrant or triggered mechanisms for PVCs, that are associated with a higher risk of sudden death in structural heart disease. Conversely, high variability is more indicative of automaticity, and more specifically modulated parasympathetic, as the mechanism’. ‘Consequently, high variability in coupling interval is more predictive of HF rather than ventricular tachyarrhythmias’.

In another interesting paper in this issue of *Heart*, Tutarel and colleagues show that the majority of pregnant women with a systemic right ventricle due to congenital heart disease, tolerate pregnancy well with favourable fetal outcomes, based on data from a multicentre registry (figure 3). Clinicians will find a concise update on arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia in a review article by Bosman and Te Reile including a flow chart with the indications proposed by different societies for an implantable cardioverter defibrillator in patients with this condition (figure 4).

The *Education in Heart* article in this issue, summarises the contemporary management of persistent atrial fibrillation (AF). Treatment focuses on risk factor modification, stroke prevention, rhythm control and rate control. Catheter ablation of AF reduces symptoms and improves quality of life in most patients and has the potential for survival benefit in those with coexisting HF. The ‘pace and ablate’ strategy is palliative and reserved for patients in whom catheter ablation is not appropriate or unsuccessful and are refractory to pharmacological rate control therapy.
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