Association of physical activity trajectories with major cardiovascular diseases in elderly people
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ABSTRACT
Introduction  Cardiovascular benefits deriving from physical activity are well known, but it is unclear whether physical activity trajectories in late life are associated with different risks of cardiovascular diseases.

Methods  Progetto Veneto Anziani (Pro.V.A.) is a cohort study of 3099 Italians aged ≥65 years with baseline assessment in 1995–1997 and follow-up visits at 4 and 7 years. Surveillance was extended to 2018 by linkage with hospital and mortality records. Prevalent and incident cardiovascular diseases (coronary heart disease, heart failure and stroke) were identified through clinical examination, questionnaire, or hospital records. Moderate to vigorous physical activity was considered as a time-varying variable. Physical activity trajectories were categorised as: stable-low, high-decreasing, low-increasing and stable-high. Exposure was also assessed at 70, 75, 80 and 85 years.

Results  Overall, physical activity was associated with lower rates of incident cardiovascular diseases. A significant risk reduction was present among men and was stronger earlier in late life (70–75 years). Trajectories of stable-high physical activity were associated with a significantly lower risk of cardiovascular outcomes among men (HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.86) compared with those with stable-low trajectories (p for trend 0.002). No significant association was found with stroke. The greatest cardiovascular risk reduction was observed for >20 min/day of physical activity, and was more marked at 70 years.

Conclusion  Increasingly active trajectories of physical activity were associated with lower rates of cardiovascular diseases and overall mortality. Promoting at least 20 min/day of physical activity early in late life seems to provide the greatest cardiovascular benefits.

INTRODUCTION
Benefits deriving from physical activity in reducing cardiovascular risks have been well established.1-3 The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends at least 20 min/day of moderate to vigorous physical activity for older adults.4 The dose–response association of cardiovascular outcomes with increasing duration of daily physical activity has shown a marked reduction in the incidence of any cardiovascular disease (CVD)5-6 including coronary heart disease (CHD),7 heart failure8,9 and stroke.4 These studies rarely focused on older adults, and few stratified risks based on sex.5 Evidence in the literature suggests a significant effect of physical activity in improving health in both men and women, but there are limits to the conclusions that can be drawn from these studies.3

Most studies have estimated health benefits based on a single measurement of physical activity, while a very relevant role seems to be played by trajectories of physical activity.10-11 The literature on this topic is scarce with contrasting results,14 but the findings suggest a reduction in the incidence of cardiovascular events with increasing levels of physical activity from mid-life to early old age.11 Few studies have examined the association of physical activity trajectories with specific cardiovascular outcomes, especially with regard to sex as well as age difference in elderly people.15

CVDs lead to 17.9 million deaths each year worldwide and are responsible for a very high burden of disease.15 Physical activity is considered to contribute to a reduction in the risk of CVD of 30–50%.12 Given ageing populations and the public health relevance of CVDs, our objective is to examine how different trajectories of physical activity are associated with the incidence of cardiovascular events in older men and women.

METHODS
Study cohort
Progetto Veneto Anziani (Pro.V.A.) is a cohort study of 3099 Italians aged 65 years or older. Baseline assessments were carried out between 1995 and 1997, with two follow-up visits after 4 and 7 years. A thorough medical history and physical examination was performed by physicians at all visits along with biohumoral parameters, radiological examinations and the administration of validated scales, tests and questionnaires. Morbidity and mortality surveillance for the entire cohort was extended up to 31 December 2018 through linkage with hospital discharge records and mortality records. Other details concerning the Pro.V.A. study have been reported elsewhere.16

Physical activity
Data on physical activity were collected by means of a questionnaire at baseline and follow-ups. Information on the hours spent each week on specific activities allowed us to categorise physical activity as moderate to vigorous.9 Moderate physical activity included walking, playing games that require moderate physical exercise such as bowls,
and fishing. Vigorous physical activity included gardening, gym
work, biking, dancing and swimming. Hours of moderate and
vigorous physical activity were then transformed into min/day,
calculated as moderate + 2× vigorous physical activity.20 Partic-
pants were then defined as active or inactive if they engaged
for >20 min/day or ≤20 min/day, respectively, in these activi-
ties, according to WHO recommendations.4 Physical activity
trajectories were identified when data were available from
two or more visits. Four types of trajectories were defined:
stable-low (inactive-inactive), high-decreasing (active-inactive),
low-increasing (inactive-active) and stable-high (active-active).
For sensitivity analysis, trajectories were also defined by all three
available visits (stable trajectories).

Outcome
At baseline and follow-up assessments the prevalence of CVD
was identified from medical history, physical examinations, elec-
trocardiography and questionnaires to assess prevalent health
conditions. Linkage with electronic health records (from January
1997 to December 2018) enabled the identification of all incident
cases with a hospital diagnosis of CHD (ICD-9 codes 410–411,
414), heart failure (ICD-9 code 428) and stroke (ICD-9 codes
430–431, 433–434, 436). Incident CVD was defined as the date
of the first event of CHD, heart failure or stroke.

Covariates
All analyses were stratified by sex and adjusted for a number of
covariates assessed at baseline.

Socioeconomic variables
Education level (low (none, third grade, fifth grade), middle
school (junior high, professional classes), high school or above
(high school, pre-college, university degree)), number of house-
hold members (none, at least one) and year of birth categorised
into 5-year groups.

Health behaviours
Smoking (non-smoker, smoker, former smoker) and drinking
habits (none or occasional alcohol consumption; <7 units of
alcohol/week for women and <14 units/week for men; ≥7 units/
week for women and ≥14 units/week for men).

Multimorbidity
The number of chronic conditions was determined by clinical
evaluations based on medical history, physical examination,
medications and questionnaire-based assessments. For the
purpose of this study we considered the following two contin-
uous variables. (1) Number of non-cardiovascular comorbidities
(treated as a continuous variable) including cancer, chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, hypoacusia, hypovisus,
diabetes, chronic kidney disease (clinical diagnosis or glomerular
filtration rate <60 mL/min), anaemia, Parkinson’s disease, dyslip-
idaemia, osteoporosis with or without femur fracture, discop-
athy, osteoarthrosis (clinically or radiographically assessed),
orthostatic hypotension (measured), urinary incontinence and
bowel incontinence. (2) Number of cardiovascular comorbidities
(treated as a continuous variable, excluding the outcome of
interest) including CHD, heart failure, stroke, angina, transient
ischaemic attack, peripheral artery disease, atrial fibrillation
or hypertension. For example, cardiovascular comorbidity adjust-
ment for analyses on incident CHD included prevalent heart
failure, stroke, angina, transient ischaemic attack and peripheral
artery disease at baseline.

Statistical analyses
Differences in the distribution of baseline characteristics of the
cohort, defined by continuous parameters, were assessed by
means of generalised linear regression models and confirmed
by t-tests. A χ² test was used to assess differences in categorical
variables. All descriptive analyses were stratified by sex and CVD
status at the end of follow-up.

For each participant, follow-up ended at the first date between
outcome, death or end of follow-up (31 December 2018). For
CVD analyses we excluded all participants with prevalent CHD,
heart failure or stroke at baseline (n=433). When analysing
CHD, heart failure and stroke, we only excluded participants
with the outcome of interest as prevalent baseline condition
(n=146, n=256 and n=103, respectively). Cox regression
models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) for all expo-
sures of interest. Proportional hazards assumption was verified
both by testing Schoenfeld residuals (main exposure and global
model) and by visually verifying plotted Schoenfeld residuals.
Reported HRs refer to the fully adjusted model that includes
potential confounders for the tested association (socioeconomic
variables, health behaviours and multimorbidity). Nelson–Aalen
cumulative hazards, adjusted for socioeconomic variables, were
plotted after using a smoothed robust locally weighted regres-
sion and smoothing scatterplots (Stata 15.0 lowess).21

The effect of physical activity on cardiovascular outcomes was
analysed by three approaches:

1. Physical activity at baseline and follow-up assessments were
entered into the Cox regression models as a time-varying vari-
able. As sensitivity analysis, risks of cardiovascular outcomes
based on physical activity at baseline were also assessed. This
latter analysis was used to plot smoothed Nelson–Aalen cu-
mulative hazards of incident CVD with physical activity as-
essed at 70, 75, 80 and 85 years (considering the closest visit
within 5 years of the age of interest).

2. The association of CVD, CHD, heart failure and stroke with
continuous minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity
at baseline was modelled using restricted cubic splines with
four knots (adjusted for socioeconomic variables). This same
analysis was performed by modelling the association of inci-
dent CVD with the minutes of physical activity at 70, 75, 80
and 85 years.

3. Trajectories of physical activity started at baseline, and
follow-up began after the second available point of observa-
tion (first or second follow-up visit) that defined the tra-
jectory. The four types of trajectories that were identified
(stable-low, high-decreasing, low-increasing and stable-high)
were entered into the Cox regression models as a categorical
variable, and as continuous to estimate the p for linear trend.
CVD, CHD, heart failure and stroke were considered as sep-
parate outcomes without stratifying by sex. When evaluating
the exposure of physical activity trajectories at 70, 75, 80
and 85 years, only overall CVD was considered as an outcome.

All analyses were performed using Stata Version 15.0
(StataCorp).

RESULTS
The initial cohort was composed of 3099 adults aged 65 years
or older. After excluding participants with missing data, 2754
participants remained (see online supplemental figure 1), of
which 1398 were women (60.2%), with no significant age
differences between men and women at baseline (mean (SD)
age 75.1 (7.0) years). During the follow-up (up to 31 December
2018) we observed 1037 incident cardiovascular events. Most
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Table 1  Characteristics of the cohort at baseline based on cardiovascular disease (CVD) status at the end of follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVD</th>
<th>No CVD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (n=423)</td>
<td>Female (n=614)</td>
<td>Male (n=500)</td>
<td>Female (n=784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>8.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>9.5 (6.2)</td>
<td>10.5 (7.3)</td>
<td>14.1 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>75.6 (7.2)</td>
<td>75.2 (6.6)</td>
<td>75.2 (7.3)</td>
<td>74.6 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (%)*</td>
<td>118 (27.9)</td>
<td>354 (57.7)</td>
<td>154 (30.8)</td>
<td>410 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low or none</td>
<td>277 (65.5)</td>
<td>235 (38.3)</td>
<td>296 (59.6)</td>
<td>332 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>28 (6.6)</td>
<td>25 (4.1)</td>
<td>48 (9.6)</td>
<td>42 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or above</td>
<td>34 (8.0)</td>
<td>150 (24.4)</td>
<td>46 (9.2)</td>
<td>187 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of household members</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>389 (92.0)</td>
<td>464 (75.6)</td>
<td>454 (90.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>34 (8.0)</td>
<td>150 (24.4)</td>
<td>46 (9.2)</td>
<td>187 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health behaviours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity †</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>89 (21.0)</td>
<td>250 (40.7)</td>
<td>114 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>334 (79.0)</td>
<td>364 (59.3)</td>
<td>386 (77.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity trajectories ‡</td>
<td>Stable-low</td>
<td>22 (6.8)</td>
<td>97 (20.3)</td>
<td>14 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-decreasing</td>
<td>44 (13.6)</td>
<td>87 (18.2)</td>
<td>34 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-increasing</td>
<td>36 (11.1)</td>
<td>76 (15.9)</td>
<td>34 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stable-high</td>
<td>221 (68.4)</td>
<td>218 (45.6)</td>
<td>216 (72.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (units/week) §</td>
<td>Teetotalers</td>
<td>186 (44.0)</td>
<td>514 (83.7)</td>
<td>234 (46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate drinkers</td>
<td>104 (24.6)</td>
<td>89 (14.5)</td>
<td>118 (23.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy drinkers</td>
<td>133 (31.4)</td>
<td>11 (1.8)</td>
<td>148 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (%)</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>105 (24.8)</td>
<td>533 (86.8)</td>
<td>104 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former</td>
<td>262 (61.9)</td>
<td>51 (8.3)</td>
<td>288 (57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>56 (13.2)</td>
<td>30 (4.9)</td>
<td>108 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical risk factors</td>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>260 (3.6)</td>
<td>25.8 (4.2)</td>
<td>25.4 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26 (6.2)</td>
<td>25 (4.1)</td>
<td>41 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86 (20.3)</td>
<td>63 (10.3)</td>
<td>104 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87 (20.6)</td>
<td>104 (16.9)</td>
<td>125 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102 (24.1)</td>
<td>131 (21.3)</td>
<td>94 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>122 (28.8)</td>
<td>291 (47.4)</td>
<td>136 (27.2)</td>
<td>357 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimorbidity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61 (14.4)</td>
<td>92 (15.0)</td>
<td>86 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0.80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>362 (85.6)</td>
<td>522 (85.0)</td>
<td>414 (82.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in the table refer to participants included in the analyses on incident CVD (n=2321).

*Low or none (none, third grade or fifth grade), middle school (junior high, professional classes), high school or above (high school, pre-college or university degree).

†Active defined as ≥20 min of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day and inactive as ≤20 min.

‡Numbers were defined regardless of prevalent CVD cases at the second available visit that defined the trajectory (only referred to participants with at least 2 visits).

§Teetotalers: none or occasional alcohol consumption; moderate drinkers: <7 units of alcohol/week for women and <14 units/week for men; heavy drinkers: ≥7 units/week for women and ≥14 units/week for men.

¶Angina, transient ischaemic attack, peripheral artery disease, atrial fibrillation or hypertension.

participants had middle or low education, with a higher education level among men. Men were more likely to have at least one household member living with them at baseline. Most participants had stable active physical activity trajectories, which was more common in men, regardless of CVD status at the end of follow-up. Smoking and alcohol consumption were also more frequent among men than women, with no relevant differences in body mass index (table 1). At baseline, women were more likely to have more than four comorbid conditions and had an especially higher prevalence of osteoarthritis, osteoporosis and chronic kidney disease, while chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and diabetes were more common among men (see online supplemental table 1).

Over the entire follow-up period the rates of incident CHD and heart failure were lower for both active men and women (table 2 and online supplemental table 2). Physical activity was associated with a significantly lower risk of CVD (fully adjusted HR 0.74, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.94), CHD (HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.50 to 0.87) and heart failure (HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.53 to 0.98) in men (table 2). Similar results were observed when considering exclusively exposure at baseline (online supplemental table 2). Physical activity was associated with a significantly reduced risk
of overall mortality in both men and women (HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.84 and HR 0.81, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.92, respectively), as shown in online supplemental table 3. With regard to the association of physical activity at different ages with the risk of any cardiovascular outcome, we found significantly reduced risks among men, only at age 70 (HR 0.58, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.84) and limit to significance at age 75 (HR 0.74, 95% CI 0.52 to 1.05), as shown in online supplemental figure 2. Physical activity was not associated with a reduced risk of stroke in any of the analyses. When including prevalent cases at baseline, the results did not vary (data not shown).

### Table 2  Association between physical activity* and incident CVD, CHD, heart failure and stroke, stratified by sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cases/total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rate/1000 person-years</strong></td>
<td><strong>Model 1† HR (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CVD</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up 9.4 (6.7) years</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>89 (203)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>334 (720)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHD</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up 9.6 (6.9) years</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>77 (263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>209 (788)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart failure</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up 10.2 (6.8) years</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>58 (227)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>221 (797)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up 10.0 (6.8) years</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>32 (260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>138 (818)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Physical activity** was entered in the model as a time-varying variable.

*Physical activity (moderate to vigorous physical activity) categorised as inactive ≤20 min/day and active >20 min/day.

†Model 1: Adjusted for sociodemographic variables (sex, education and number of members living in the same household), stratified by categorised year of birth.

‡Fully adjusted model: Model 1 further adjusted by health behaviours (smoking and alcohol consumption), number of chronic comorbid conditions (cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, hypoaesthesia, hypovisus, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, anaemia, Parkinson’s disease, dyslipidaemia, osteoporosis with or without femur fracture, congenital mental retardation, osteoarthrosis, discopathy, orthostatic hypotension, urinary incontinence and bowel incontinence) and comorbid cardiovascular diseases (CHD, heart failure, stroke, angina, transient ischaemic attack, atrial fibrillation, hypotension and peripheral artery disease with the exclusion of the outcome of interest) at baseline, and stratified by categorised year of birth.

**CHD**, coronary heart disease; **CVD**, cardiovascular disease.
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When analysing the dose–response association of continuous minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day at baseline, we observed a reduction in the risk of incident CHD and heart failure which was specially marked between 20 and 40 min of physical activity per day, as shown in figure 1, with a J-shaped association for CHD (p for linearity=0.01 indicating a non-linear spline). The risk reduction of any incident cardiovascular event associated with at least 20 min of physical activity per day was most marked at 70 years, and only moderately reduced at 75 years, while no significant correlation could be observed at 80 and 85 years (see online supplemental figure 3). When stratifying by sex, this association was more pronounced in men and only for CHD and heart failure (online supplemental figure 4).

Trajectories of physical activity, categorised by increasingly active status over time (stable-low, high-decreasing, low-increasing and stable-high), were associated with a lower risk of incident CHD and heart failure among men (p for trend 0.005 and 0.038, respectively), as shown in table 3 and online supplemental figure 5. Although risk reduction was non-significant among more physically active women, the rates of incident CHD and heart failure were always lower for participants with low-increasing and stable-high levels of physical activity, as opposed to high-decreasing and stable-low physical activity. When these trajectories were observed at different ages from baseline, a trend of significantly reduced risks of any major incident cardiovascular event was found among men at 70 and 75 years (p for trend 0.002 and 0.025, respectively), as shown in online supplemental table 4. Among women at different ages, the association of increasing levels of physical activity trajectories with any cardiovascular outcome did not reach statistical significance, while risks for overall mortality were significantly reduced (see online supplemental table 3 and online supplemental table 4).

### DISCUSSION

Cardiovascular benefits deriving from physical activity among adults are well established. In the present study, increasing levels of physical activity as well as the persistence of an active lifestyle over time seem to be associated with a reduced risk of incident CVDs in older age. Incidence rates of cardiovascular events were always lower among active men and women, as were overall mortality risks, similar to that reported in previous studies. The greatest risk reduction was observed for incident CHD and heart failure. When stratifying by age, we found that the association between physical activity and cardiovascular risk was significantly reduced among participants aged 70 years, and with marginal significance at 75 years, while no association was found among the oldest age groups, suggesting greater cardiovascular benefits might be achieved by improving physical activity earlier in late life.

---

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Cases/total</th>
<th>Rate/1000 person-years</th>
<th>Fully adjusted† model HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Cases/total</th>
<th>Rate/1000 person-years</th>
<th>Fully adjusted† model HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 8.1 (5.4) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 10.2 (5.4) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-low</td>
<td>13/27</td>
<td>87.88</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td>80/184</td>
<td>48.12</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-decreasing</td>
<td>31/85</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>0.71 (0.37 to 1.39)</td>
<td></td>
<td>69/129</td>
<td>55.04</td>
<td>1.28 (0.91 to 1.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-increasing</td>
<td>26/60</td>
<td>57.38</td>
<td>0.65 (0.33 to 1.29)</td>
<td></td>
<td>59/143</td>
<td>40.04</td>
<td>0.94 (0.67 to 1.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-high</td>
<td>178/395</td>
<td>51.54</td>
<td>0.48 (0.27 to 0.86)</td>
<td></td>
<td>193/497</td>
<td>35.15</td>
<td>0.92 (0.70 to 1.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 8.6 (5.4) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 10.7 (5.3) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-low</td>
<td>13/37</td>
<td>62.22</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td>51/209</td>
<td>26.39</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-decreasing</td>
<td>20/77</td>
<td>44.68</td>
<td>0.55 (0.27 to 1.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>32/149</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>0.99 (0.63 to 1.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-increasing</td>
<td>15/66</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>0.52 (0.24 to 1.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>25/156</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>0.68 (0.42 to 1.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-high</td>
<td>102/434</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>0.40 (0.22 to 0.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96/527</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>0.81 (0.56 to 1.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 8.8 (5.3) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 10.8 (5.2) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-low</td>
<td>9/33</td>
<td>43.09</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td>61/202</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-decreasing</td>
<td>24/86</td>
<td>44.40</td>
<td>0.77 (0.35 to 1.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td>53/149</td>
<td>39.44</td>
<td>1.41 (0.97 to 2.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-increasing</td>
<td>23/70</td>
<td>39.24</td>
<td>1.01 (0.46 to 2.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>41/163</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>0.82 (0.55 to 1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-high</td>
<td>127/449</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>0.60 (0.30 to 1.19)</td>
<td></td>
<td>138/529</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>0.90 (0.66 to 1.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 8.6 (5.4) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up from baseline 10.6 (5.3) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-low</td>
<td>7/14</td>
<td>29.67</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td>35/227</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-decreasing</td>
<td>10/85</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>0.67 (0.25 to 1.78)</td>
<td></td>
<td>31/162</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>1.34 (0.82 to 2.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-increasing</td>
<td>11/76</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>0.54 (0.20 to 1.43)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27/172</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>0.99 (0.59 to 1.66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable-high</td>
<td>83/455</td>
<td>19.43</td>
<td>0.60 (0.30 to 1.19)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79/536</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>0.95 (0.62 to 1.44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physical activity (moderate and vigorous physical activity) categorised as inactive ≤20 min day and active >20 min day (participants with at least two visits).*

†Fully adjusted model: adjusted for sociodemographic variables (sex, education and number of members living in the same household), health behaviours (smoking and alcohol consumption), number of chronic comorbid conditions (cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, hypoausia, hypovusis, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, anemia, Parkinson’s disease, dyslipidaemia, osteoarthritis and with or without femur fracture, congenital mental retardation, osteoarthrosis, discopathy, orthostatic hypotension, urinary incontinence and bowel incontinence) and comorbid cardiovascular diseases (CHD, heart failure, stroke, angina, transient ischaemic attack, atrial fibrillation, hypertension and peripheral artery disease, with the exclusion of the outcome of interest) at baseline, and stratified by categorised year of birth.

CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease.
The dose–response association of baseline physical activity with overall incident CVD and heart failure was linear, as previously reported in the literature, while the risk of CHD showed a J-shaped association. Previous studies also reported a curvilinear dose–response relationship of physical activity with incident CHD. The lowest effective dose of physical activity seems to be achieved with at least 20 min of physical activity per day, similar to that observed in our study. A clear dose–response association of incident CVD with minutes per day of physical activity was observed only at around 70 years, with a less marked reduction among older participants. Longer exposure to moderate and vigorous physical activity seems to be more effective in reducing cardiovascular risks early in life.

Increasing levels of physical activity trajectories were also associated with a lower incidence of CVD, suggesting that improving and maintaining an active status even in advanced age should be recommended. In fact, compared with participants with stable-low trajectories, all other trajectories—and especially stable-high trajectories—had lower rates of incident CVD. Moreover, the results from this study suggest that the earlier people engage in physical activity, the greater reduction in incident CVD they may achieve. Conversely, the absence of any association with stroke has also been observed in a previous study. Despite the fact that stroke shares numerous risk factors with CVDs, including physical activity, an active lifestyle among older people could increase the risk for specific types of stroke such as haemorrhagic stroke deriving from vascular traumas, and results from previous literature have in fact been inconsistent.

Women doing more physical activity had consistently lower incidence rates of almost all cardiovascular outcomes despite the fact that the risk reduction did not reach statistical significance, but when considering overall mortality, risks were significantly reduced. Although the magnitude of the studied associations was greater among men, the risks of CHD and heart failure were also moderately reduced after longer daily exposure to physical activity in women, as previously reported in the literature. However, despite the fact that sex-based differences of physical activity on cardiovascular health have been previously reported, there is strong evidence in support of a positive effect of physical activity on cardiovascular risk reduction in both men and women.

Mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of physical activity on cardiovascular health are well known and can be attributed to numerous biological modifications. These include enhanced mitochondrial function, restored and improved vascular conditions and the release of myokines from skeletal muscle that enhance or preserve cardiovascular function. These modifications are likely to be more effective when the overall cardiovascular status has not been compromised by a long-lasting sedentary lifestyle. Moreover, the fact we found a significant association of physical activity with cardiovascular outcomes only early in late life could be attributed to the need for a longer exposure to an active lifestyle in order to modify cardiovascular risk profiles.

Strengths and limitations
The main strengths of this study include detailed physical examinations at all visits and the long follow-up of a cohort of elderly participants which allowed us to assess outcomes from age 63 or above to death. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the association between different trajectories of physical activity at specific ages in elderly people have not been previously analysed. Another strength is the stratification of all main analyses by sex, considering men and women are characterised by different cardiovascular risk profiles. Consistency of results across all sensitivity analyses further suggests the robustness of the main results.

Limitations to this study include the possibility of recall bias that could be responsible for an incorrect assessment of previous non-severe cardiovascular conditions such as transient ischaemic attack and angina, especially among very old participants. Nevertheless, given the thorough physical examination, this bias is unlikely to concern severe cardiovascular event. Moreover, we did not have data on physical activity during mid-life that could have influenced the cardiovascular risk profiles in late life. Furthermore, physical activity was questionnaire-based with assessments about 3 years apart, and was not recorded by an accelerometer which could have ensured an objective measurement of the exposure. Despite the fact that most participants were physically active and men were more likely to be physically active than women, in the main analyses, all trajectories in both sexes were sufficiently represented. Another limit was the low number of participants in certain strata (eg, at 83 years) and a relatively low number of stroke events which did not allow further stratification for specific types of stroke (ie, ischaemic and haemorrhagic).

CONCLUSION
Physical activity among older people was associated with a reduced risk of CHD and heart failure, especially early in late life. Lower incidence rates of CVD were found among both physically active men and women. No significant association between physical activity and stroke was observed. Physical activity and increasingly active trajectories of physical activity were also associated with a significantly lower mortality risk in both men and women. These results suggest that public health policies should be targeted at promoting or beginning physical activity in mid- and early late life, given a probable greater effectiveness in reducing cardiovascular risks. At least 20 minutes of physical activity per day should be recommended to modify cardiovascular risk profiles.

Key messages
What is already known about this subject?
- Cardiovascular benefits deriving from physical activity are well known. Nevertheless, the dose–response relationship of physical activity with CVD among elderly people has not been examined. It is also unclear whether physical activity trajectories in late life are associated with different cardiovascular risk profiles.

What does this study add?
- In this 20-year follow-up cohort study of elderly people, the greatest benefit in cardiovascular risk reduction was obtained with at least 20 min/day of moderate to vigorous physical activity at around 70 years. Increasing levels of physical activity were associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular outcomes early rather than late in late life (70 and 75 years). This was mostly attributable to a lower incidence of CHD and heart failure.

How might this impact on clinical practice?
- These results suggest that public health policies should promote physical activity in mid and early late life, rather than late in late life, given a probable greater effectiveness in reducing cardiovascular risks. At least 20 min of moderate or vigorous physical activity per day should be recommended to maximise cardiovascular benefits.
moderate to vigorous physical activity per day should be recommended to achieve the greatest cardiovascular benefits.
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