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## Core lab measurement methods

## Reveal LINQ and pacemaker arrhythmia episode adjustments

All collected episodes continuous data on arrhythmias of the included patients up until 1 May 2020 saved by the Reveal LINQ and pacemaker were independently adjudicated and corrected by 5 physicians. Any episode of $\mathrm{AF} \geq 2$ minutes was automatically detected. Arrhythmias with $\geq 182$ beats per minute (cycle length $\leq 330 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) with a duration of $\geq 24$ beats were automatically classified as tachycardia. Arrhythmias with $\leq 30$ beats per minute (cycle length $\geq 2000 \mathrm{~ms}$ ), lasting 12 beats were automatically classified as bradycardia. An asystole $\geq 4.5$ seconds was classified as a pause. The most common reasons for adjustments were false positive AF episodes (premature atrial or ventricular complexes or artefacts), on-going episodes, and episodes classified as atrial tachycardia instead of AF.

## Echocardiography

Echocardiography recordings were anonymized and transferred to a core-lab facility for further analysis. Strain analysis was conducted offline, during one cardiac cycle, in sinus rhythm by one experienced observer blinded to clinical data and outcomes. Analysis was performed using vendor-independent software (TOMTEC-ARENA, Imaging Systems, Germany). LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) was analysed in the apical two-, three- and four-chamber views. Left atrial, right atrial and right ventricular strain were assessed in apical four-chamber view only. The region of interest was determined by a manual point-and-click method to trace endocardial borders during LV end-systolic frame. End-systole was automatically defined by the software and was manually adjusted for accuracy when needed. The software automatically produced myocardial speckle tracking in each frame during one cardiac cycle (RR-interval). Atrial contractile function measurements were performed by setting the base or zero strain reference at left ventricular end-diastole. Therefore, left atrial (LA) reservoir strain was measured as difference of the strain value at mitral valve opening minus the zero strain reference. LA contractile function was measured as the difference of the peak strain value at the onset of atrial contraction minus the zero strain reference.

LA conduit was measured as the difference of the strain value at mitral valve opening (LA reservoir) minus the peak strain value at the onset of atrial contraction.

1. Badano LP, Kolias TJ, Muraru D, et al. Standardization of left atrial, right ventricular, and right atrial deformation imaging using two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: A consensus document of the EACVI/ASE/industry task force to standardize deformation imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;19(6):591-600.

## Vascular assessment

Vascular assessment of the carotid arteries included measurements of intima media thickness (IMT), pulse wave velocity (PWV) and plaques. PWV was assessed by Complior (Alam Medical, France) or SphygmoCor (Atcor Medical Blood Pressure Analysis System, Australia) at the carotid and femoral arteries. The aortic PWV was determined by using $\geq 20$ consecutive pressure waveforms at the carotid and femoral artery. The wave transit time was calculated by the system software using the R-wave from the simultaneous ECG recording. of the simultaneously recorded ECG. Distance between both measure points was determined and corrected by multiplying the distance by 0.8 . The PWV was calculated by dividing the distance between the femoral and carotid artery by the wave transit time. IMT and presence of plaques was assessed by ultrasound (Siemens Acuson S2000) with the Syncho US Workplace 3.5, Arterial Health Package for automated IMT measurement. Assessment of the IMT was done bilaterally in the common carotid artery, the carotid bifurcation, and internal carotid artery.

## Cardiac computed tomography (CT)

Epicardial fat was measured on ECG-triggered, native CT heart scans according to the methodology introduced by Fox et al.(1). Tube voltage of scan protocols varied between $80-120 \mathrm{kV}$. The region of interest (ROI) was defined as described by Versteylen(2): The cranial slice limit was set at the level of the
carina of the pulmonary artery, and the caudal slice limit was the last slice containing any portion of the heart. The anterior border of the ROI was defined by the sternum, the posterior border by the ribs and vertebral column. Images were reconstructed using a soft-tissue algorithm. The pericardium was traced by a blinded reader placing 5-7 control points per slice using axial views as described earlier. Afterwards Catmull-Rom cubic spline functions are then automatically generated to obtain a smooth closed pericardial contour. Ultimately fat was automatically summed with a dedicated volumetric software (syngo.via Frontier, Cardiac risk assessment package, Siemens Healthineers, Forchheim, Germany). Epicardial and pericardial fat were defined as previously described by Iacobellis: Epicardial fat is located between the outer wall of the pericardium and the visceral layer of the pericardium. Pericardial fat is localized between visceral and pericardial myocardium(3).

1. Fox CS, Gona P, Hoffmann U, Porter SA, Salton CJ, Massaro JM, et al. Pericardial fat, intrathoracic fat, and measures of left ventricular structure and function: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation.

2009;119(12):1586-91.
2. Versteylen MO, Takx RA, Joosen IA, Nelemans PJ, Das M, Crijns HJ, et al. Epicardial adipose tissue volume as a predictor for coronary artery disease in diabetic, impaired fasting glucose, and non-diabetic patients presenting with chest pain. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;13(6):517-23.
3. Iacobellis G. Epicardial and pericardial fat: close, but very different. Obesity (Silver Spring).

2009;17(4):625; author reply 6-7.

## Blood biomarkers

At baseline peripheral blood samples were collected. Patients needed to be in sinus rhythm during blood sampling and oral anticoagulation was temporarily interrupted. All blood samples were processed and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Clinical definitions used in this study

## Heart Failure definition

At baseline presence of:

1) history of heart failure admission;
2) left ventricular ejection fraction $\leq 45 \%$;
3) left ventricular ejection fraction $>45 \%$, with either signs of:

- structural heart disease (left ventricular hypertrophy [left ventricular mass index $>95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in women and $>115 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in men] OR posterior wall thickness $\geq 11 \mathrm{~mm}$ OR septal wall thickness $\geq 11 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- and/or signs of diastolic dysfunction (mean E' velocity $<8 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \&$ deceleration time $>220 \mathrm{~ms}$ \& $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{e}^{\prime}>8$ ).


## Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction (HFpEF) definition

- combination of LVEF $>45 \%+$ structural heart disease
and/or
- combination of LVEF $>45 \%$ + diastolic dysfunction

Hypertension definition
At baseline presence of:

- History of hypertension
- Use of a beta blocker, with exception of not daily used.
- Use of any calcium channel blocker
- Use of any ACE-inhibitor
- Use of any angiotensin receptor blocker
- Use of any diuretic, including mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, excluding furosemide amiloride and bumetanide use.
- Use of an alpha blocker
- Baseline blood pressure $>140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

Atherosclerosis definition
At baseline presence of:

- history of myocardial infarction
- history of percutaneous coronary intervention
- history of coronary artery bypass graft
- history of ischemic cerebral infarction
- history of peripheral vascular disease
- coronary Agatston score of $>400$
- plaque on vascular measurement


## Extensive statistical description

Fisher's exact was used for binary variables and the T-test and Wilcoxon test were used depending on normality for continuous variables. For non-binary categorical variables, the Chi-squared test with simulation

## Multivariable logistic regression model

Collected baseline variables including core lab data, with $\mathrm{p}<0.10$ in the age and sex adjusted logistic regression, with exception of EHRA class, number of comorbidities, $\mathrm{CHA}_{2} \mathrm{DS}_{2}-\mathrm{VASc}$ score and medications, were included in a bidirectional step-wise variable selection, starting with age and sex in the model. Variables were then added to the model in order of (increasing) $p$-value of age and sex-adjusted analyses, starting with the variable that has the lowest p-value in the age and sex-adjusted logistic regressions.
Before a new variable is added, variables in the model with $\mathrm{p}>=0.05$ were identified and removed, starting with the one with the biggest $p$-value. Before each potential next removal, the model was refit and thus the recalculated $p$-value was used to determine if there was a next variable with $p>=0.05$. If no variables were to be removed the next variable was added.
The bi-directional stepping consists of a single forward stepping of all the variables, interspersed with backwards stepping of the variables in the model before each next step in the forward-stepping. The statistical criterion for removing a variable from the model (during each backwards stepping) was $\mathrm{p}>=0.05$.
The step-wise variable selection will ensure that no variables with $\mathrm{p}>=0.05$ will end up in the final multivariable model. However, due to possible negative confounding even a variable with $\mathrm{p}>=0.05$ in an age and sex-adjusted model, may have $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ in a model with additional covariates. The aim was also not to keep a too big set of variables to be included in the step-wise process. Therefore, a $\mathrm{p}<0.10$ was selected as a trade-off between the most stringent selection (based on $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and the least-stringent selection (that is without taking into account the p-value of age and sex-adjusted regressions).
In the final multivariate model (obtained at the end of the bidirectional stepping), testing for each possible second-order interaction was done (i.e. an interaction between two variables or an interaction with itself (quadratic term), what happened if this interaction is added to the model). Specifically, the p-value of the interaction was checked. Of all possible interactions, none reached Bonferroni significance (taking into account multiple testing).

Hosmer and Lemeshow test was used for goodness of fit test with 8 degrees of freedom, Chi-squared $=$ $6.68, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.572$
Discrimination slope of the main model $=0.082$

## Imputation

Imputation was implemented for missing values using the R package mice. Mice creates multiple imputations for multivariate missing data. For this article 4000 imputations for each model fit that required imputation were performed. Each incomplete variable was imputed by a separate model. The default methods of predictive mean matching for numeric data and logistic regression for binary data. For each logistic regression "massive imputation" was performed, which means that all variables in a model were at the same time also used for the imputation needed for the fit of that model. Internally, mice performs the logistic regression fit on all 4000 imputations. It pools the results according to Rubin's rules for imputation, with a small sample refinement of the method to compute degrees of freedom according to Barnard and Rubin.

1. van Buuren,Stef, Groothuis-Oudshoorn,Karin. Mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software. 2011;45(3):1-67.

## Internal validation

Internal validation was accessed using bootstrapping.(1) Fifty bootstrap samples were used.(2). The optimism caused by overfitting in the C-statistic of our model without biomarkers to be $3.03 \%$."

1. Moons KGM, Kengne AP, Woodward M, et al

Risk prediction models: I. Development, internal validation, and assessing the incremental value of a new (bio)marker Heart 2012;98:683-690.
2. Fernandez-Felix BM, García-Esquinas E, Muriel A, Royuela A, Zamora J. Bootstrap internal validation command for predictive logistic regression models. The Stata Journal. 2021;21(2):498-509.

## Risk score

The multivariable model was used to calculate the linear predictor for all patients with complete data. This was done in the standard way, namely linear combinations of the variables with the beta coefficients as weights, specifically we obtained the following expression for the linear predictor (centering continuous variables on their respective means):
Linear prediction. $=$ Female sex * $(-0.5586208)+($ PR-interval - 168.8658) $* 0.01309933+($ LA
contraction function -17.30064$) *(-0.08169752)+($ waist circumference -101.1294$) * 0.02787357+$ mitral valve regurgitation * 1.771005
Next, these linear predictors was used to calculate a factor (called F here for simplicity), such that the $95 \%$ interval of the linear predictors (from the $2.5 \%$ quantile to the $97.5 \%$ quantile), when multiplied with this factor, is of length 10 . This was done, because the aim was a point-based risk score that can vary from 0 up to and including 10 with only very few occurrences outside of this interval.

F can be interpreted as a conversion factor such that the product of a variable with its beta coefficient and $F$ represents a certain number of points. This factor $F$ was then used to obtain a preliminary scoring scheme in the following way:
For binary variables, the no-level gets zero points and the yes-level gets beta $* \mathrm{~F}$ points. The number of points was rounded to the nearest integer value. For continuous variables, the step size is defined as the inverse of the absolute value of its beta coefficient and F rounded up to the nearest integer value. Step size can be interpreted as the number of units of the variable per point. The number of levels the variable has in the point-based risk score is then set to the range of the variable (maximum minus minimum) divided by the step size rounded down to the nearest integer value.
The range of the variable is then divided using intervals of length equal to the step size and centered in the range of the variable. The number of points assigned to the interval is the value of the variable in its midpoint times its beta coefficient times F, rounded to the nearest integer value. Finally, the first interval is the extended to include also the smallest values of the variable and the last one to include also the largest values, so that the entire range of the variable is covered. Age was removed from the point based risk score.

## Mathematical formula of AF progression

$B=\Sigma i T i$
$B=\mathrm{AF}$ burden
$\Sigma i=$ sum of all AF episodes in the time period of which the AF burden or weighted AF burden is calculated.
$T_{i}=$ time of AF episode i.
$B$ weighed $=\Sigma_{i W i T i}$
$B_{\text {weighed }}=$ weighted AF burden,
$\Sigma_{i}=$ sum of all AF episodes in the time period of which the AF burden or weighted AF burden is calculated
$w_{i}=$ weight factor for AF episode i.
$w_{i}=2 \times\left(t_{i}-t_{\text {start }}\right) /\left(t_{\text {stop }}-t_{\text {start }}\right)$
$t_{i}=$ time when AF episode took place (time choses is in the middle of the episode)
$t_{\text {start }}=$ start time of the period of which the weighted AF burden is calculated,
$t_{\text {stop }}=$ end time of the period of which the weighted AF burden is calculated.
$T_{i}=$ length of time of AF episode $i$.

AF progression is calculated with the formulas of $B$ and $B_{\text {weighed }}$ :
$P=B$ weighed $-B$
$P=$ AF progression.
Progression is presented as percentage
$100 \times\left(\mathrm{P} /\left(t_{\text {stop }}-t_{\text {start }}\right)\right) \%$
$t_{\text {start }}=$ start time of the period of which the weighted AF burden is calculated, $t_{\text {stop }}=$ end time of the period of which the weighted AF burden is calculated.

An increase $>3 \%$ AF burden over the first six months or total follow-up was chosen as definition for atrial fibrillation progression. This cut-off point was chosen because the results were most consistent with the assessment of the physicians.

## Supplementary Figure S1. RACE V study design overview



* End of study was variable and dependent on form of consent for study follow-up with continuous rhythm monitoring until 2,5 years, at end of battery of Reveal LINQ or at 4 years for patients with a pacemaker.

Supplementary Figure S2. RACE V rhythm control therapy overview

Rhythm control therapy in patients with AF progression $\mathrm{N}=51$


Rhythm control therapy in patients without AF progression $\mathrm{N}=366$


Use of rhythm control therapy.
$\mathrm{AAD}=$ antiarrhythmic drug; $\mathrm{AF}=$ atrial fibrillation; $\mathrm{ECV}=$ electro cardioversion; $\mathrm{PVI}=$ pulmonary vein isolation
2 patients with AF progression used amiodarone, both started during follow-up, 1 stopped during follow-up, 1 continued until end of analysis.
4 patients without AF progression used amiodarone, all started during follow-up, 1 stopped during follow-up, 3 continued until end of analysis. 5 of 26 patients (19\%) undergoing PVI showed AF progression. 9 of 30 patients ( $30 \%$ ) undergoing ECV showed AF progression. 3 of 14 ( $21 \%$ ) patients undergoing both ECV and PVI showed AF progression.

## Supplementary Table S1. RACE V inclusion and exclusion criteria

| Inclusion criteria | Age > 18 years |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Total history $<10$ years of paroxysmal, self-terminating AF |
|  | At least one documented episode of AF and 2 symptomatic episodes or two documented episodes, documented as: <br> - AF on ECG, Holter-recording, loop recorder, event recorder or MyDiagnostick; or <br> - Subclinical AF (SCAF) detected in a Medtronic pacemaker (atrial rate > 190 bpm lasting $>6$ minutes) |
|  | Able and willing to sign informed consent for the registry |
|  | Able and willing to undergo implantation of ILR (in patients without a CIED) |
|  | CHA2DS2-VASc score $\leq 5$ |
|  | No other indication for oral anticoagulation (e.g. mechanical valve prosthesis) |
| Exclusion criteria | Non-self-terminating, persistent AF; |
|  | Only AF due to a trigger (i.e. postoperative, due to infection) |
|  | Congenital heart disease |
|  | Refusing to temporarily stop (N)OAC for coagulation phenotyping (in patients already on (N)OAC before inclusion in this study), with the exception for patients with a history of ischemic stroke/ transient ischemic attack; |
|  | Prior pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) or on waiting list for PVI or expected to be placed on waiting list within one year, since it is expected that those patients will not show much AF recurrences. |
|  | Expected to start with, or currently using amiodarone, since it is expected that those patients will not show AF recurrences. |
|  | Pregnancy |
|  | ICD, CRT or pacemaker that is not a Medtronic pacemaker due to differences in AHRE algorithm or incompatibility with the type of home-monitoring |
|  | Life expectancy of less than 2.5 years |
|  | Ventricular pacing $>50 \%$ in patients with a Medtronic pacemaker |

## Supplementary Table S2. List of 92 biomarkers, Olink Cardiovascular III panel (v.6113)

| Abbreviations | Biomarkers | Uniprot ID | OlinkID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALCAM | CD166 antigen | Q13740 | OID00572 |
| AP-N | Aminopeptidase N | P15144 | OID00611 |
| AXL | Tyrosine-protein kinase receptor UFO | P30530 | OID00612 |
| AZU1 | Azurocidin | P20160 | OID00597 |
| BLM hydrolase | Bleomycin hydrolase | Q13867 | OID00581 |
| CASP-3 | Caspase-3 | P42574 | OID00630 |
| CCL15 | C-C motif chemokine 15 | Q16663 | OID00629 |
| CCL16 | C-C motif chemokine 16 | O15467 | OID00654 |
| CCL24 | C-C motif chemokine 24 | O00175 | OID00592 |


| CD163 | Scavenger receptor cysteine-rich type 1 protein M130 | Q86VB7 | OID00577 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD93 | Complement component C1q receptor | Q9NPY3 | OID00639 |
| CDH5 | Cadherin-5 | P33151 | OID00587 |
| CHI3L1 | Chitinase-3-like protein 1 | P36222 | OID00633 |
| CHIT1 | Chitotriosidase-1 | Q13231 | OID00605 |
| CNTN1 | Contactin-1 | Q12860 | OID00586 |
| COL1A1 | Collagen alpha-1(I) chain | P02452 | OID00641 |
| CPA1 | Carboxypeptidase A1 | P15085 | OID00624 |
| CPB1 | Carboxypeptidase B | P15086 | OID00632 |
| CSTB | Cystatin-B | P04080 | OID00575 |
| CTSD | Cathepsin D | P07339 | OID00622 |
| CTSZ | Cathepsin Z | Q9UBR2 | OID00643 |
| CXCL16 | C-X-C motif chemokine 16 | Q9H2A7 | OID00601 |
| DLK-1 | Protein delta homolog 1 | P80370 | OID00598 |
| EGFR | Epidermal growth factor receptor | P00533 | OID00637 |
| Ep-CAM | Epithelial cell adhesion molecule | P16422 | OID00610 |
| EPHB4 | Ephrin type-B receptor 4 | P54760 | OID00569 |
| FABP4 | Fatty acid-binding protein, adipocyte | P15090 | OID00589 |
| FAS | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 6 | P25445 | OID00615 |
| Gal-3 | Galectin-3 | P17931 | OID00578 |
| Gal-4 | Galectin-4 | P56470 | OID00626 |
| GDF-15 | Growth/differentiation factor 15 | Qallikrein-6 | P99988 |


| LDL receptor | Low-density lipoprotein receptor | P01130 | OID00564 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LTBR | Lymphotoxin-beta receptor | P36941 | OID00583 |
| MB | Myoglobin | P02144 | OID00616 |
| MCP-1 | Monocyte chemotactic protein 1 | P13500 | OID00576 |
| MEPE | Matrix extracellular phosphoglycoprotein | Q9NQ76 | OID00132 |
| MMP-2 | Matrix metalloproteinase-2 | P08253 | OID00614 |
| MMP-3 | Matrix metalloproteinase-3 | P08254 | OID00644 |
| MMP-9 | Matrix metalloproteinase-9 | P14780 | OID00568 |
| MPO | Myeloperoxidase | P05164 | OID00600 |
| Notch 3 | Neurogenic locus notch homolog protein 3 | Q9UM47 | OID00584 |
| NT-proBNP | N-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide | NA | OID00131 |
| OPG | Osteoprotegerin | O00300 | OID00571 |
| OPN | Osteopontin | P10451 | OID00621 |
| PAI | Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 | P05121 | OID00591 |
| PCSK9 | Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 | Q8NBP7 | OID00619 |
| PDGF subunit A | Platelet-derived growth factor subunit A | P04085 | OID00648 |
| PECAM-1 | Platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule | P16284 | OID00652 |
| PGLYRP1 | Peptidoglycan recognition protein 1 | P19438 | OID00649 |
| PI3 | Elafin | P10646 | OID00623 |
| PLC | Perlecan | P19957 | OID00609 |
| PON3 | Trefoil factor 3 | Paraoxonase | P98160 |


| TNF-R2 | Tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 | P20333 | OID00567 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TNFRSF10C | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member | O14798 | OID00594 |
|  | 10C |  |  |
| TNFRSF14 | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 14 | Q92956 | OID00563 |
| TNFSF13B | Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 13B | Q9Y275 | OID00617 |
| t-PA | Tissue-type plasminogen activator | P00750 | OID00635 |
| TR | Transferrin receptor protein 1 | P02786 | OID00593 |
| TR-AP | Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase type 5 | P13686 | OID00606 |
| uPA | Urokinase-type plasminogen activator | P00749 | OID00631 |
| U-PAR | Urokinase plasminogen activator surface receptor | Q03405 | OID00620 |
| vWF | Von Willebrand factor | P04275 | OID00651 |

Supplementary Table S3. Inclusion distribution per participating centre

| Centre | Number of inclusion |
| :--- | :--- |
| University Medical Centre Groningen | 100 |
| Maastricht University Medical Centre | 106 |
| Ommelander Hospital Groningen | 31 |
| Martini Hospital | 111 |
| Rijnstate Hospital | 40 |
| University of Amsterdam | 22 |
| Isala Hospital | 4 |
| Laurentius Hospital | 2 |
| VU Medical Centre Amsterdam | 2 |

Supplementary Table S4. Baseline Characteristics of AF progression groups

|  | No AF <br> recurrence <br> (group 1) | AF recurrence <br> without AF <br> progression <br> (group 2) | AF progression <br> without <br> persistent AF <br> (group 3) | AF <br> progression <br> with persistent <br> AF (group 4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristic | $(\mathrm{N}=48)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=318)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=16)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=35)$ |
| Age (years) | $63(54-72)$ | $65(58-71)$ | $63(58-71)$ | $65(62-74)$ |
| Female sex | $19(40 \%)$ | $145(46 \%)$ | $5(31 \%)$ | $10(29 \%)$ |
| Total history AF (years) | $1.6(0.5-4.7)$ | $2.6(0.8-5.3)$ | $2.5(1.0-3.4)$ | $3.6(0.9-5.7)$ |
| Heart failure | $11(39 \%)$ | $93(50 \%)$ | $6(55 \%)$ | $15(63 \%)$ |
| HFrEF | $2(4 \%)$ | $4(1 \%)$ | $1(1 \%)$ | $3(9 \%)$ |
| $\quad$ HFpEF | $9(19 \%)$ | $89(28 \%)$ | $5(31 \%)$ | $11(31 \%)$ |
| Hypertension | $42(88 \%)$ | $250(78 \%)$ | $16(100 \%)$ | $30(86 \%)$ |
| Diabetes mellitus | $3(6 \%)$ | $26(8 \%)$ | $1(6 \%)$ | $4(11 \%)$ |
| Coronary artery disease | $4(8 \%)$ | $33(10 \%)$ | $2(13 \%)$ | $9(26 \%)$ |
| Atherosclerosis* | $25(52 \%)$ | $153(48 \%)$ | $9(56 \%)$ | $17(49 \%)$ |
| Peripheral artery disease | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $2(6 \%)$ |
| Ischemic stroke | $3(6 \%)$ | $15(5 \%)$ | $1(6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |


| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 0 (0\%) | 19 (6\%) | 2 (13\%) | 2 (6\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Comorbidities** | $2(2-3)$ | $2(2-3)$ | 3 (2-3) | 3 (2-4) |
| $\mathrm{CHA}_{2} \mathrm{DS}_{2}$-VASc score ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 2$ | 35 (73\%) | 230 (72\%) | 15 (94\%) | 30 (86\%) |
| >2 | 13 (27\%) | 88 (28\%) | 1 (6\%) | 5 (14\%) |
| EHRA class |  |  |  |  |
| I | 9 (19\%) | 23 (7\%) | 4 (25\%) | 7 (20\%) |
| IIa | 16 (33\%) | 98 (31\%) | 4 (25\%) | 17 (49\%) |
| IIb | 16 (33\%) | 139 (44\%) | 6 (38\%) | 6 (17\%) |
| III | 7 (15\%) | 56 (18\%) | 2 (13\%) | 5 (14\%) |
| IV | 0 (0\%) | 2 (1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 0 (0\%) |
| Physical examination |  |  |  |  |
| Height (cm) | 178 (172-183) | 176 (168-184) | 177 (172-187) | 179 (170-183) |
| Weight (kg) | 88 (77-98) | 84 (74-96) | 91 (72-104) | 88 (75-100) |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 27(25-30) | 27 (24-30) | 26 (25-30) | 27 (24-32) |
| Obesity (BMI>30) | 13 (27\%) | 79 (25\%) | 4 (25\%) | 11 (32\%) |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 99 (93-109) | 100 (92-108) | 103 (95-111) | 106 (101-114) |
| Systolic blood pressure ( $\mathbf{m m H g}$ ) | 133 (124-140) | 134 (125-145) | 130 (122-136) | 130 (124-144) |
| Diastolic blood pressure ( $\mathbf{m m H g}$ ) | 80 (75-85) | 80 (74-85) | 75 (72-83) | 80 (72-85) |
| Laboratory results |  |  |  |  |
| Creatinine ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 77 (67-85) | 80 (69-91) | 89 (80-99) | 87 (80-100) |
| eGFR (mL/min*1.73m ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | 85 (76-93) | 81 (69-90) | 71 (63-83) | 76 (68-86) |
| Electrocardiogram |  |  |  |  |
| PR-interval | 166 (148-173) | 164 (149-186) | 170 (160-200) | 180 (168-199) |
| QRS-interval | 94 (88-101) | 94 (86-104) | 94 (90-103) | 96 (90-110) |
| Medications |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta$-blocker | 25 (52\%) | 156 (49\%) | 11 (69\%) | 21 (60\%) |
| Verapamil/Diltiazem | 8 (17\%) | 58 (18\%) | 3 (19\%) | 4 (11\%) |
| Digoxin | 0 (0\%) | 4 (1\%) | 0 (0\%) | 2 (6\%) |
| Class I antiarrhythmic drugs | 13 (27\%) | 76 (24\%) | 3 (19\%) | 2 (6\%) |
| Class III antiarrhythmic drugs | 1 (2\%) | 14 (4\%) | 1 (6\%) | 3 (9\%) |
| ACE-inhibitor | 12 (25\%) | 59 (19\%) | 3 (19\%) | 8 (23\%) |
| Angiotensin Receptor Blocker | 8 (17\%) | 58 (18\%) | 5 (31\%) | 9 (26\%) |
| Statin | 10 (21\%) | 109 (34\%) | 10 (63\%) | 16 (46\%) |
| Diuretic | 7 (15\%) | 47 (15\%) | 3 (19\%) | 7 (20\%) |
| Anticoagulant | 29 (60\%) | 215 (68\%) | 13 (81\%) | 32 (91\%) |
| Vitamin K antagonist | 4 (8\%) | 41 (13\%) | 3 (19\%) | 7 (20\%) |
| NOAC | 25 (52\%) | 174 (55\%) | 10 (63\%) | 25 (71\%) |
| Echocardiographic variables ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Left atrial volume (mL) | 58 (46-67) | 58 (47-74) | 60 (55-76) | 68 (55-85) |
| Left atrial volume index ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 28 (22-34) | 29 (24-36) | 32 (25-35) | 35 (26-39) |
| Left atrial reservoir function (\%) | 38 (31-47) | 37 (29-43) | 35 (27-53) | 31 (25-35) |


| Left atrial contractile function (\%) | 18 (13-23) | 17 (13-21) | 15 (10-23) | 13 (11-15) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left atrial conduction function (\%) | 19 (15-24) | 19 (14-24) | 23 (15-34) | 18 (13-23) |
| Right atrial volume (mL) | 39 (34-56) | 48 (38-65) | 55 (47-79) | 55 (46-63) |
| Right atrial volume indexed ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 21 (16-29) | 24 (20-31) | 32 (23-33) | 28 (24-33) |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (\%) | $51 \pm 10$ | $51 \pm 8$ | $52 \pm 9$ | $50 \pm 8$ |
| Left ventricular mass (g) | 150 (140-165) | 148 (126-178) | 152 (139-182) | 161 (134-188) |
| Left ventricular mass index ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 75 (68-83) | 76 (64-88) | 86 (69-88) | 78 (67-96) |
| Left ventricle strain | $-14.5 \pm 2.5$ | $-14.0 \pm 2.3$ | $-14.2 \pm 2.6$ | $-14.3 \pm 2.6$ |
| Computed Tomography ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Calcium score (Agatston) | 15 (0-75) | 22 (0-227) | 94 (15-3270) | 152 (4-917) |
| Agatston > 400 |  |  |  |  |
| Pericardial fat | 171 (134-223) | 166 (118-232) | 205 (160-224) | 167 (137-235) |
| Epicardial fat | 102 (74-132) | 97 (70-128) | 104 (90-126) | 105 (72-137) |
| Vascular assessment ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| IMT max-CCA (mm) | 0.90 (0.82-1.04) | 0.92 (0.81-1.07) | 1.03 (0.85-1.19) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & (0.83-1.13) \end{aligned}$ |
| IMT max-CCA |  |  |  |  |
| $>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 14 (33\%) | 95 (34\%) | 8 (53\%) | 11 (42\%) |
| IMT max-all segments (mm) | 1.04 (0.93-1.20) | 0.98 (0.84-1.16) | 1.00 (0.88-1.20) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & (0.88-1.14) \end{aligned}$ |
| IMT max-all segments |  |  |  |  |
| $>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 24 (57\%) | 130 (47\%) | 7 (47\%) | 13 (50\%) |
| Pulse wave velocity (m/s) | 8.60 (6.98-10.00) | 8.49 (7.45-10.20) | 8.46 (7.66-10.25) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.20 \\ & (8.14-10.26) \end{aligned}$ |
| Plaques | 23 (64\%) | 102 (48\%) | 6 (60\%) | 9 (60\%) |
| Plaques $>3$ | 2 (4\%) | 10 (3\%) | 2 (12\%) | 3 (9\%) |

Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation, number of patients (\%), or median (interquartile range). Abbreviations: $\mathrm{ACE}=$ angiotensin-converting enzyme; $\mathrm{AF}=$ atrial fibrillation; $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index; $\mathrm{CCA}=$ common carotid artery; eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; EHRA= European Heart Rhythm Association class for symptoms; $\mathrm{HFpEF}=$ heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; $\mathrm{HFrEF}=$ heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; IMT=intima media thickness; NOAC= novel oral anticoagulation; NT-proBNP=N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; *Atherosclerosis is presence of history of myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass graft, ischemic cerebral infarction, peripheral vascular disease, Agatston score $>400$ or plaque; **The number of comorbidities was calculated by awarding points for hypertension, heart failure, age $>65$ years, diabetes mellitus; coronary artery disease, $\mathrm{BMI}>25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$, moderate or severe mitral valve regurgitation and kidney dysfunction (eGFR<60); ***The CHA2DS2-VASc score assesses thromboembolic risk. $\mathrm{C}=$ congestive heart failure/LV dysfunction, $\mathrm{H}=$ hypertension; $\mathrm{A} 2=$ age $\geq 75$ years; $\mathrm{D}=$ diabetes mellitus; $\mathrm{S} 2=$ stroke/transient ischemic attack/systemic embolism; $\mathrm{V}=$ vascular disease; $\mathrm{A}=$ age 65-74 years; $\mathrm{Sc}=$ sex category (female sex). ). ${ }^{\text {a Left atrial and ventricle strain measurements could not be performed in } 75 \text { patients. Measurements }}$ of right atrial strain could not be done in 123 patients. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Agatston score was not available for 10 patients, epicardial and pericardial fat could not be analysed for 21 patients. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ IMT CCA was not available for 55 patients, IMT all segments for le for 56 patients and pulse wave velocity could not be measured in 78 patients and amount of plaques could not be measured in 145 patients.

Supplementary Table S5. Olink biomarkers at baseline

| Characteristic | AF progression ( $\mathrm{N}=51$ | No AF progression $(\mathrm{N}=366)$ | Total ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ ) | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALCAM | 6.06 (5.82-6.20) | 6.07 (5.84-6.22) | 6.07 (5.84-6.22) | 0.772 |
| AP-N | 5.46 (5.26-5.69) | 5.53 (5.33-5.76) | 5.53 (5.33-5.76) | 0.107 |
| AXL | 9.44 (9.24-9.63) | 9.39 (9.16-9.60) | 9.39 (9.16-9.60) | 0.168 |
| AZU1 | 4.59 (4.33-5.16) | 4.61 (4.28-5.03) | 4.61 (4.28-5.03) | 0.298 |
| BLM hydrolase | 6.26 (6.02-6.56) | 6.21 (5.91-6.48) | 6.21 (5.91-6.48) | 0.181 |
| CASP-3 | 8.32 (7.56-9.40) | 8.52 (7.31-9.49) | 8.45 (7.36-9.49) | 0.883 |
| CCL15 | 8.08 (7.90-8.38) | 8.04 (7.78-8.36) | 8.04 (7.78-8.36) | 0.259 |
| CCL16 | 7.45 (7.09-7.71) | 7.44 (7.07-7.71) | 7.44 (7.07-7.71) | 0.929 |
| CCL24 | 6.37 (5.46-6.88) | 6.24 (5.64-6.87) | 6.24 (5.63-6.87) | 0.926 |
| CD163 | 8.02 (7.65-8.39) | 7.96 (7.60-8.25) | 7.96 (7.61-8.27) | 0.323 |
| CD93 | 11.82 (11.57-12.00) | 11.79 (11.56-12.00) | 11.79 (11.56-12.00) | 0.690 |
| CDH5 | 4.34 (4.06-4.59) | 4.38 (4.08-4.59) | 4.38 (4.08-4.59) | 0.849 |
| CHI3L1 | 7.46 (6.87-7.95) | 7.22 (6.68-7.89) | 7.22 (6.68-7.89) | 0.128 |
| CHIT1 | 7.29 (6.69-8.12) | 7.16 (6.46-7.75) | 7.16 (6.46-7.75) | 0.281 |
| CNTN1 | 4.75 (4.40-4.89) | 4.78 (4.47-5.04) | 4.78 (4.45-5.03) | 0.161 |
| COL1A1 | 3.45 (3.12-3.71) | 3.47 (3.20-3.71) | 3.46 (3.20-3.71) | 0.446 |
| CPA1 | 6.67 (6.34-7.20) | 6.62 (6.21-7.02) | 6.62 (6.21-7.02) | 0.379 |
| CPB1 | 6.61 (6.26-7.07) | 6.53 (6.08-6.88) | 6.53 (6.08-6.88) | 0.130 |
| CSTB | 5.54 (5.19-5.80) | 5.38 (5.05-5.76) | 5.38 (5.05-5.76) | 0.176 |
| CTSD | 5.22 (5.06-5.67) | 5.11 (5.06-5.40) | 5.11(5.06-5.40) | 0.021 |
| CTSZ | 5.97 (5.68-6.20) | 5.91 (5.68-6.10) | 5.92 (5.68-6.11) | 0.259 |
| CXCL16 | 5.85 (5.71-6.04) | 5.90 (5.66-6.12) | 5.90 (5.67-6.12) | 0.489 |
| DLK-1 | 7.01 (6.71-7.34) | 6.95 (6.58-7.35) | 6.95 (6.59-7.35) | 0.435 |
| EGFR | 3.52 (3.38-3.75) | 3.62 (3.45-3.82) | 3.62 (3.45-3.82) | 0.060 |
| Ep-CAM | 6.40 (5.91-7.39) | 6.66 (5.91-7.50) | 6.66 (5.91-7.50) | 0.382 |
| EPHB4 | 5.18 (4.89-5.31) | 5.12 (4.89-5.32) | 5.12 (4.89-5.32) | 0.501 |
| FABP4 | 6.64 (6.07-7.23) | 6.40 (5.94-6.96) | 6.40 (5.94-6.96) | 0.107 |
| FAS | 6.14 (5.95-6.45) | 6.14 (5.89-6.35) | 6.14 (5.89-6.35) | 0.188 |
| Gal-3 | 6.61 (6.20-6.82) | 6.51 (6.27-6.75) | 6.51 (6.27-6.75) | 0.281 |
| Gal-4 | 4.17 (3.80-4.44) | 4.14 (3.79-4.42) | 4.14 (3.79-4.42) | 0.475 |
| GDF-15 | 6.60 (6.21-6.99) | 6.38 (6.00-6.75) | 6.38 (6.00-6.75) | 0.010 |
| GP6 | 3.10 (2.60-3.62) | 3.12 (2.55-3.71) | 3.13 (2.55-3.71) | 0.904 |
| GRN | 6.92 (6.76-7.16) | 6.93 (6.69-7.14) | 6.93 (6.70-7.14) | 0.331 |
| ICAM-2 | 5.75 (5.42-5.96) | 5.70 (5.38-5.97) | 5.70 (5.39-5.97) | 0.532 |
| IGFBP-1 | 4.80 (3.99-5.56) | 4.71 (3.82-5.66) | 4.71 (3.82-5.66) | 0.610 |
| IGFBP-2 | 8.45 (7.82-8.90) | 8.32 (7.65-8.83) | 8.32 (7.65-8.83) | 0.182 |
| IGFBP-7 | 8.30 (8.07-8.66) | 8.25 (7.99-8.50) | 8.25 (7.99-8.50) | 0.093 |
| IL-17RA | 4.36 (4.09-4.63) | 4.41 (4.03-4.70) | 4.40 (4.05-4.69) | 0.879 |
| IL-18BP | 6.73 (6.53-6.99) | 6.68 (6.44-6.95) | 6.69 (6.45-6.96) | 0.197 |


| IL-1RT1 | 6.90 (6.74-7.12) | 6.92 (6.68-7.11) | 6.92 (6.68-7.11) | 0.697 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IL-1RT2 | 5.60 (5.44-5.80) | 5.63 (5.41-5.85) | 5.63 (5.41-5.84) | 0.448 |
| IL2-RA | 4.75 (4.51-5.14) | 4.66 (4.332-4.93) | 4.66 (4.33-4.92) | 0.054 |
| IL-6RA | 12.82 (12.50-13.05) | 12.85 (12.50-13.13) | 12.84 (12.49-13.12) | 0.634 |
| ITGB2 | 6.42 (6.12-6.72) | 6.35 (6.047-6.66) | 6.35 (6.05-6.66) | 0.250 |
| JAM-A | 6.82 (6.15-7.77) | 6.95 (6.11-7.92) | 6.95 (6.11-7.92) | 0.738 |
| KLK6 | 6.16 (5.89-6.40) | 6.11 (5.84-6.33) | 6.11 (5.86-6.34) | 0.250 |
| LDL receptor | 5.42 (5.01-5.84) | 5.52 (5.10-5.90) | 5.52 (5.10-5.90) | 0.385 |
| LTBR | 4.685 (4.38-4.98) | 4.68 (4.40-4.91) | 4.68 (4.40-4.92) | 0.683 |
| MB | 7.36 (7.04-7.68) | 7.23 (6.82-7.60) | 7.23 (6.82-7.60) | 0.060 |
| MCP-1 | 4.42 (4.15-4.63) | 4.44 (4.20-4.65) | 4.44 (4.20-4.65) | 0.455 |
| MEPE | 6.24 (5.86-6.55) | 6.15 (5.88-6.45) | 6.16 (5.87-6.46) | 0.429 |
| MMP-2 | 4.58 (4.34-4.80) | 4.54 (4.27-4.79) | 4.56 (4.29-4.79) | 0.232 |
| MMP-3 | 7.35 (6.82-7.66) | 7.05 (6.58-7.50) | 7.08 (6.59-7.54) | 0.042 |
| MMP-9 | 4.91 (4.45-5.30) | 4.86 (4.27-5.48) | 4.86 (4.27-5.48) | 0.668 |
| MPO | 4.15 (3.93-4.56) | 4.078 (3.83-4.37) | 4.078 (3.83-4.37) | 0.075 |
| Notch 3 | 5.32 (4.88-5.65) | 5.30 (4.95-5.62) | 5.30 (4.95-5.62) | 0.926 |
| NT-proBNP | 5.65 (4.75-6.41) | 4.94 (4.02-5.69) | 4.99 (4.09-5.78) | <0.001 |
| OPG | 4.570 (4.21-4.77) | 4.50 (4.20-4.74) | 4.50 (4.20-4.74) | 0.488 |
| OPN | 7.00 (6.49-7.33) | 6.82 (6.50-7.17) | 6.82 (6.50-7.17) | 0.211 |
| PAI | 5.70 (5.19-6.29) | 5.78 (5.21-6.43) | 5.77 (5.20-6.41) | 0.714 |
| PCSK9 | 3.11 (2.96-3.36) | 3.07 (2.81-3.38) | 3.07 (2.81-3.38) | 0.214 |
| PDGF subunit A | 4.48 (4.00-4.89) | 4.46 (3.87-5.17) | 4.46 (3.87-5.13) | 0.718 |
| PECAM-1 | 5.76 (5.37-6.44) | 5.86 (5.33-6.46) | 5.86 (5.33-6.46) | 0.978 |
| PGLYRP1 | 8.43 (8.12-8.72) | 8.20 (7.90-8.55) | 8.20 (7.90-8.55) | $<0.001$ |
| PI3 | 4.59(4.29-4.89) | 4.39 (4.00-4.80) | 4.39 (4.00-4.80) | 0.011 |
| PLC | 7.35 (7.19-7.59) | 7.30 (7.11-7.53) | 7.30 (7.11-7.53) | 0.080 |
| PON3 | 5.69 (5.28-6.27) | 6.01 (5.53-6.47) | 6.01 (5.53-6.47) | 0.004 |
| PRTN3 | 5.62 (5.41-6.15) | 5.50 (5.20-5.87) | 5.50 (5.20-5.87) | 0.019 |
| PSP-D | 3.48 (2.99-3.85) | 3.29 (2.81-3.80) | 3.29 (2.82-3.80) | 0.142 |
| RARRES2 | 12.19 (11.97-12.44) | 12.26 (12.01-12.44) | 12.26 (12.01-12.44) | 0.477 |
| RETN | 7.03 (6.75-7.42) | 6.876 (6.60-7.22) | 6.88 (6.60-7.22) | 0.013 |
| SCGB3A2 | 3.19 (2.80-3.72) | 3.15 (2.67-3.63) | 3.15 (2.67-3.63) | 0.756 |
| SELE | 13.30 (12.95-13.78) | 13.23 (12.77-13.59) | 13.24 (12.78-13.59) | 0.324 |
| SELP | 11.11 (10.61-11.76) | 11.10 (10.56-11.76) | 11.10 (10.56-11.76) | 0.799 |
| SHPS-1 | 3.84 (3.63-4.06) | 3.87 (3.66-4.12) | 3.87 (3.65-4.12) | 0.541 |
| SPON1 | 1.21 (0.99-1.39) | 1.15 (0.89-1.38) | 1.146 (0.89-1.38) | 0.152 |
| ST2 | 4.97 (4.58-5.26) | 4.94 (4.58-5.25) | 4.94 (4.58-5.25) | 0.888 |
| TFF3 | 6.00 (5.76-6.25) | 5.85 (5.589-6.08) | 5.85 (5.58-6.08) | 0.002 |
| TFPI | 10.16 (9.96-10.44) | 10.33 (10.09-10.55) | 10.29 (10.08-10.54) | 0.012 |
| TIMP4 | 4.25 (4.03-4.55) | 4.17 (3.90-4.54) | 4.20 (3.91-4.54) | 0.248 |
| TLT-2 | 5.40 (5.14-5.70) | 5.44 (5.12-5.74) | 5.44 (5.13-5.74) | 0.921 |
| TNF-R1 | 7.08 (6.78-7.32) | 6.91 (6.67-7.16) | 6.91 (6.67-7.16) | 0.021 |


| TNF-R2 | $5.87(5.56-6.11)$ | $5.68(5.41-5.96)$ | $5.68(5.41-5.96)$ | 0.005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TNFRSF10C | $7.01(6.65-7.38)$ | $6.88(6.50-7.24)$ | $6.88(6.50-7.24)$ | 0.036 |
| TNFRSF14 | $5.41(5.07-5.60)$ | $5.27(5.012-5.52)$ | $5.27(5.01-5.52)$ | 0.204 |
| TNFSF13B | $7.595(7.35-7.88)$ | $7.58(7.34-7.83)$ | $7.58(7.34-7.83)$ | 0.820 |
| t-PA | $7.77(7.40-8.36)$ | $7.84(7.26-8.74)$ | $7.84(7.26-8.74)$ | 0.790 |
| TR | $5.54(5.02-5.80)$ | $5.29(4.88-5.74)$ | $5.29(4.88-5.74)$ | 0.115 |
| TR-AP | $4.73(4.46-4.97)$ | $4.81(4.55-5.03)$ | $4.79(4.54-5.02)$ | 0.136 |
| uPA | $6.33(6.07-6.59)$ | $6.30(6.09-6.57)$ | $6.31(6.08-6.57)$ | 0.873 |
| U-PAR | $5.91(5.66-6.16)$ | $5.81(5.55-6.05)$ | $5.81(5.55-6.05)$ | 0.061 |
| vWF | $7.85(7.05-9.10)$ | $7.91(7.05-9.09)$ | $7.91(7.05-9.09)$ | 0.965 |

Data is presented in a $\log 2$ scale in median (interquartile range).

## Supplementary Table S6. Coagulation markers at baseline

| Coagulation markers | Total (N=417) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Factor XIIa:C1inh $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $862.64(747.28-989.81)$ |
| Factor XIIa:antithrombin $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $11.28(11.28-36.06)$ |
| Plasma Kallikrein:C1inh $(\mathrm{nM})$ | $0.30(0.3-1.45)$ |
| Factor XIa:C1inh $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $72.04(72.04-197.22)$ |
| Factor XIa:AT $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $7.90(7.90-7.90)$ |
| Factor XIa:a1AT $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $56.17(56.17-92.04)$ |
| Factor Xa:AT $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $421.62(348.16-497.15)$ |
| Factor IXa:AT $(\mathrm{pM})$ | $170.20(170.20-170.20)$ |
| Thrombin:AT (ug/L) | $2.04(2.04-3.54)$ |

Data is presented in median (interquartile range). $\mathrm{AT}=$ antithrombin; a1AT= alpha-1-antitrypsin; C1inh=C1-Esterase inhibitor; $\mathrm{nM}=$ nanomolar; $\mathrm{pM}=$ picomolar

Supplementary Table S7. Age and sex adjusted of clinical factors related to AF progression

|  | OR | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | $P$-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Female sex | 0.48 | $0.25-0.91$ | 0.024 |
| $\mathbf{C H A}_{2} \mathbf{D S}_{2}$-VASc score $>\mathbf{1}$ | 3.73 | $1.40-9.95$ | 0.009 |
| Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction | 4.31 | $1.12-16.58$ | 0.034 |
| Plaques $>\mathbf{3}$ | 5.54 | $1.59-19.36$ | 0.008 |
| Peripheral artery disease | 11.98 | $1.05-136.78$ | 0.046 |
| Waist circumference (per SD) | 1.46 | $1.06-2.02$ | 0.022 |
| PR interval (per SD) | 1.45 | $1.10-1.92$ | 0.009 |
| Left atrial contractile function (per SD) | 0.60 | $0.39-0.92$ | 0.019 |
| Left atrium end diastolic volume (per SD) | 1.46 | $1.08-1.97$ | 0.014 |
| Left atrium end diastolic volume indexed for BSA (per SD) | 1.44 | $1.07-1.95$ | 0.017 |
| Right atrium end systolic volume (per SD) | 1.53 | $1.05-2.23$ | 0.026 |
| Right atrium end systolic volume indexed for BSA (per SD) | 1.44 | $1.01-2.04$ | 0.044 |
| Logit |  |  |  |

Logistic regression adjusted for age and sex. $\mathrm{BSA}=$ body surface area; $\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{OR}=$ odds ratio. $\mathrm{CHA}_{2} \mathrm{DS}_{2}$-VASc score assesses thromboembolic risk. $\mathrm{C}=$ congestive heart failure/LV dysfunction, $\mathrm{H}=$ hypertension; $\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ age $\geq 75$ years; $\mathrm{D}=$ diabetes mellitus; $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ stroke/transient ischemic attack/systemic embolism; $\mathrm{V}=$ vascular disease; $A=$ age 65-74 years; $S c=$ sex category (female sex).

Supplementary Table S8. Age and sex adjusted analysis including biomarkers

|  | OR | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Female sex | 0.48 | $0.25-0.91$ | 0.024 |
| CHA $_{2}$ DS 2 -VASc score $>\mathbf{1}$ | 3.73 | $1.40-9.95$ | 0.009 |
| Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction | 4.31 | $1.12-16.58$ | 0.034 |
| Plaques $>\mathbf{3}$ | 5.54 | $1.59-19.36$ | 0.008 |
| Peripheral artery disease | 11.98 | $1.05-136.78$ | 0.046 |
| Waist circumference (per SD) | 1.46 | $1.06-2.02$ | 0.022 |
| PR interval (per SD) | 1.45 | $1.10-1.92$ | 0.009 |
| Left atrial contractile function (per SD) | 0.60 | $0.39-0.92$ | 0.019 |
| Left atrial end diastolic volume (per SD) | 1.46 | $1.08-1.97$ | 0.014 |
| Left atrium end diastolic volume indexed for BSA (per SD) | 1.44 | $1.07-1.95$ | 0.017 |
| Right atrium end systolic volume (per SD) | 1.53 | $1.05-2.23$ | 0.026 |
| Right atrium end systolic volume indexed for BSA(per SD) | 1.44 | $1.01-2.04$ | 0.044 |
| CTSD (per SD) | 1.32 | $1.01-1.73$ | 0.043 |
| FABP4 (per SD) | 1.47 | $1.06-2.05$ | 0.021 |
| NTproBNP (per SD) | 2.05 | $1.43-2.94$ | $<0.001$ |
| PCSK9 (per SD) | 1.37 | $1.03-1.81$ | 0.030 |
| PGLYRP1(per SD) | 1.44 | $1.09-1.91$ | 0.011 |
| PON3 (per SD) | 0.72 | $0.54-0.96$ | 0.027 |
| PRTN3 (per SD) | 1.29 | $1.00-1.66$ | 0.046 |
| RETN (per SD) | 1.34 | $1.01-1.76$ | 0.041 |
| TFPI (per SD) | 0.72 | $0.53-0.97$ | 0.030 |
| TNF-R2 (per SD) | 1.49 | $1.11-2.01$ | 0.009 |
| TNFRSF10C (per SD) | 1.43 | $1.03-1.98$ | 0.033 |
| Factor XIIa:antithrombin $P$ median) | 0.39 | $0.17-0.91$ | 0.030 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Factor XIIa:C1-esterase inhibitor (> median) } & 0.38 & 0.20-0.75 & 0.005\end{array}$
Logistic regression adjusted for age and sex, with imputation. $\mathrm{BSA}=$ body surface area; $\mathrm{CI}=$ confidence interval; CTSD=capthesin D; FABP4=fatty acid binding protein 4; NTproBNP=N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; OR=odds ratio; PCSK9= Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; PGLYRP1 = peptidoglycan recognition protein 1; PON3= paraoxonase 3; PRTN3=myeloblastin; RETN=resistin; TFPI= tissue factor pathway inhibitor; TNF-R2=tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 ; TNFRSF10C=tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 10C. $\mathrm{CHA}_{2} \mathrm{DS}_{2}$-VASc score assesses thromboembolic risk. $\mathrm{C}=$ congestive heart failure $/ \mathrm{LV}$ dysfunction, $\mathrm{H}=$ hypertension; $\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ age $\geq 75$ years; $\mathrm{D}=$ diabetes mellitus; $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ stroke/transient ischemic attack/systemic embolism; $\mathrm{V}=$ vascular disease; $A=$ age 65-74 years; $S c=$ sex category (female sex).

