



OPEN ACCESS

Original research

Lower birth weight is linked to poorer cardiovascular health in middle-aged population-based adults

Zahra Raisi-Estabragh ^{1,2}, Jackie Cooper,² Mae S Bethell,³ Celeste McCracken,⁴ Adam J Lewandowski,⁴ Paul Leeson,⁴ Stefan Neubauer,⁴ Nicholas C Harvey,^{5,6} Steffen E Petersen ^{1,2,7,8}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2022-321733>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Zahra Raisi-Estabragh, William Harvey Research Institute, NIHR Barts Biomedical Research Centre, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK; zahraraisi@doctors.org.uk

NCH and SEP are joint senior authors.

Received 4 August 2022
Accepted 3 November 2022
Published Online First
16 November 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective To examine associations of birth weight with clinical and imaging indicators of cardiovascular health and evaluate mechanistic pathways in the UK Biobank.

Methods Competing risk regression was used to estimate associations of birth weight with incident myocardial infarction (MI) and mortality (all-cause, cardiovascular disease, ischaemic heart disease, MI), over 7–12 years of longitudinal follow-up, adjusting for age, sex, deprivation, maternal smoking/hypertension and maternal/paternal diabetes. Mediation analysis was used to evaluate the role of childhood growth, adulthood obesity, cardiometabolic diseases and blood biomarkers in mediating the birth weight–MI relationship. Linear regression was used to estimate associations of birth weight with left ventricular (LV) mass-to-volume ratio, LV stroke volume, global longitudinal strain, LV global function index and left atrial ejection fraction.

Results 258 787 participants from white ethnicities (61% women, median age 56 (49, 62) years) were studied. Birth weight had a non-linear relationship with incident MI, with a significant inverse association below an optimal threshold of 3.2 kg (subdistribution HR: 1.15 (1.08 to 1.22), $p=6.0\times 10^{-5}$) and attenuation to the null above this threshold. The birth weight–MI effect was mediated through hypertension (8.4%), glycated haemoglobin (7.0%), C reactive protein (6.4%), high-density lipoprotein (5.2%) and high cholesterol (4.1%). Birth weight–mortality associations were statistically non-significant after Bonferroni correction. In participants with cardiovascular magnetic resonance ($n=19\,314$), lower birth weight was associated with adverse LV remodelling (greater concentricity, poorer function).

Conclusions Lower birth weight was associated with greater risk of incident MI and unhealthy LV phenotypes; effects were partially mediated through cardiometabolic disease and systemic inflammation. These findings support consideration of birth weight in risk prediction and highlight actionable areas for disease prevention.

INTRODUCTION

The fetal origins hypothesis proposes intrauterine undernutrition as a key driver of increased susceptibility to later ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and associated mortality.¹ Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated association of low birth weight with prevalent and incident IHD, and with mortality outcomes.^{1–5} Others, however, have questioned the public health importance of the relationship.⁶

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Previous studies have linked prematurity and small-for-gestational age (SGA) with increased lifetime cardiovascular risk. It is unclear whether similar risks are associated with low birth weight outside of these specific contexts.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ We demonstrate association of lower birth weight with higher risk of incident myocardial infarction and adverse left ventricular remodelling in a large population-based cohort of middle-aged adults. Associations were partially mediated through cardiometabolic diseases and systemic inflammation.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ Our findings indicate that the adverse cardiovascular effects of low birth weight described in preterm/SGA cohorts may extend significantly outside of these contexts. Further research is required to determine whether inclusion of birth weight may improve existing risk stratification tools and if preventative strategies targeted at individuals with lower birth weight have a role in improving clinical outcomes.

Many older studies do not account for key potential confounding or mediating factors, with more recent evidence suggesting that the range of cardiovascular outcomes convincingly associated with birth weight is more limited than originally described.⁷ Importantly, it is not clear whether the adverse cardiovascular impact of low birth weight described in the settings of preterm birth or small-for-gestational age (SGA) extends to a wider population effect outside of these specific contexts.

While several studies have reported the association between low birth weight and a range of intermediary cardiometabolic factors, including insulin resistance,⁵ type 2 diabetes (T2D)⁸ and high blood pressure,⁹ the formal role of these conditions in mediating the relationships between birth weight and clinical cardiovascular outcomes has not been examined.

Cardiovascular imaging phenotypes reflect organ-level remodelling in response to a wide



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Raisi-Estabragh Z, Cooper J, Bethell MS, *et al.* *Heart* 2023;**109**:535–541.

range of exposures and provide reliable indicators of cardiovascular health. The links between birth weight and cardiovascular image-derived phenotypes in large population-based cohorts have not been previously reported. Such analyses may provide new insights into the cardiovascular impact of birth weight, allowing capture of effects both independent of, and acting through, potential cardiometabolic mediators (eg, hypertension, diabetes).

We examined the association of birth weight with disease-specific mortality outcomes and incident acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in 258 787 UK Biobank participants. We accounted for potential confounders and evaluated the mediating role of childhood growth, cardiometabolic diseases, and blood markers of lipid profile, glycaemic control, and systemic inflammation. Finally, we assessed the association of birth weight with cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) measures of cardiovascular structure and function.

METHODS

Setting and study population

The UK Biobank comprises half a million participants recruited aged 40–69 years between 2006 and 2010. The research protocol is publicly available.¹⁰ Health record linkage permits prospective tracking of health events for all participants. Adjudicated algorithmically defined outcomes are produced for key illnesses. The UK Biobank Imaging Study includes CMR and aims to scan 20% of the original participants (2015–ongoing).

Ascertainment of birth weight

Birth weight was self-reported at baseline assessment. We included singleton births with birth weights between 1 and 5 kg. Given known ethnic variations in birth weight,¹¹ we limited to white ethnicities, which comprise >97% of the cohort.

Ascertainment of outcomes

The following mortality outcomes were extracted from death register records documented per International Classification of Disease codes: any cardiovascular disease (CVD) (IX), IHD (I20–I25). Fatal AMI comprised of cases with AMI as the primary cause of death. Incident AMI was obtained from algorithmically defined outcome data; participants with a record of AMI at baseline were excluded from the analysis for this outcome. Outcomes occurring from baseline to the latest available censor dates were included (mortality: 31 January 2018, AMI: 31 March 2017) giving 7–12 years of follow-up.

Cardiovascular structure and function metrics

CMR was performed according to a predefined protocol and analysed using an automated pipeline.¹² The following measures were included: left ventricular (LV) mass to LV end-diastolic volume ratio (LVM/LVEDV), LV stroke volume (LVS), global longitudinal strain (GLS), LV global function index (LVGFI), left atrial ejection fraction (LAEF).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R V.4.1.0 (R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>) and Stata V.17 (StataCorp. 2021. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, Texas, USA: StataCorp). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean (SD) or median (25th percentile, 75th percentile) depending on skew for continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical

data. We first estimated the association of birth weight with the incident outcomes. All-cause mortality models were fitted using Cox regression. Other outcomes were analysed using competing risk regression with proportional subdistribution hazards fitted as per Fine and Gray. Any mortality outcome occurring in patients without the outcome of interest was treated as a competing event. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using cause-specific Cox regression. Models were adjusted for age, sex, deprivation, maternal smoking, maternal diabetes, maternal hypertension and paternal diabetes (online supplemental table 1). We report HRs for all-cause mortality, and subdistribution HRs (SHRs) for all other outcomes, with corresponding 95% CIs per 1 kg increase in birth weight. Plots of martingale residuals were used to check functional form. A key assumption of survival models is a linear association between log-hazard and continuous covariates, and failure to assess non-linearity may result in loss of information and incorrect interpretation of results. We therefore characterised possible non-linearity using restricted cubic spline models, which provide a flexible way to model more complex relationships between birth weight and outcome. The number of knots was selected by model fit and optimal cut-offs were identified using the Youden index. Statistically significant results are thus also presented in categories above and below the identified birth weight cut-off.

We selected potential biological mediators of the birth weight–outcome relationships based on knowledge of potential causal pathways. A directed acyclic graph sets out the causal framework assumed by our models (online supplemental figure 1). The following mediating variables were included: diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia, obesity, hypertension, comparative height/weight aged 10 years old, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), glucose, low-density lipoprotein, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglyceride, apolipoprotein A and C reactive protein (CRP). Mediation analysis was performed based on an accelerated failure time model as per Fulcher *et al*¹³ using a regression-based approach, which extended to allow for multiple mediators.¹⁴ Two models were fitted: (1) a model for outcome including all mediators, covariates (age, sex, deprivation, maternal smoking, maternal diabetes, maternal hypertension, paternal diabetes), and birth weight as predictors; (2) models for each mediator including covariates and birth weight. No significant exposure–mediator interactions were observed. The direct effect was given by the coefficient for birth weight in the outcome model. The indirect effect was calculated by the product of the coefficient for birth weight in the mediator model and the coefficient for the mediator in the outcome model. For binary mediators, a logistic mediator model was fitted, and the expression for the indirect effect was modified to ensure appropriate scaling.¹⁴ CIs were constructed using bootstrap resampling. We thus calculated the indirect effect of each mediator, the overall direct and total effect. We present the proportion of effect independently mediated by each variable as a percentage of the total effect. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the impact of missing data. Imputation was conducted using stochastic regression imputation in the MICE package in R, and the mediation analysis was repeated.

We estimated the association of birth weight with CMR metrics using linear regression, adjusting for confounders as before. We report change in CMR measure per 1 kg increase in birth weight with corresponding 95% CIs and p values. We used Bonferroni correction for multiple testing based on number of outcomes with significance thresholds indicated in table footnotes.

Table 1 Baseline participants' characteristics

	Baseline cohort (n=258 787)	CMR subset (n=19 314)
Age	56 (49, 62)	62 (56, 68)
Men	100 540 (38.9%)	8074 (41.8%)
Birth weight (kg)	3.34 (\pm 0.60)	3.37 (\pm 0.57)
Diabetes	10 760 (4.2%)	445 (2.3%)
Hypertension	64 724 (25.0%)	3489 (18.1%)
Hypercholesterolaemia	40 297 (15.6%)	2141 (11.1%)
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.3 (\pm 4.9)	25.9 (23.5, 28.8)
Smoking (current)	25 388 (9.8%)	1154 (6.0%)
Townsend deprivation score	-2.33 (-3.74, 0.10)	-2.69 (-3.9, 0.71)
Maternal smoking	68 654 (29.6%)	5193 (26.9%)
Paternal diabetes	23 566 (9.1%)	1882 (9.7%)
Maternal diabetes	23 889 (9.2%)	1788 (9.3%)
Maternal hypertension	85 355 (33.0%)	6577 (34.1%)
LVM/LVEDV (g/mL)	-	0.58 (\pm 0.09)
LVSVi (mL/m ²)	-	46.9 (\pm 8.2)
LVGFI (%)	-	0.48 (\pm 0.07)
GLS (%)	-	-18.6 (\pm 2.7)
LAEF (%)	-	61.6 (\pm 8.8)

BMI, body mass index; CMR, cardiovascular magnetic resonance; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LAEF, left atrial ejection fraction; LVGFI, left ventricular global function index; LVM/LVEDV, left ventricular mass to left ventricular end-diastolic volume ratio; LVSVi, left ventricular stroke volume index.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The analysis sample comprised 258 787 individuals (100 540 men and 158 247 women) with median age of 56 (49, 62) years (online supplemental figure 2). The mean birth weight was 3.34 (\pm 0.60) kg. The rates of cardiac risk factors were as follows: diabetes 4.2%, hypertension 25.0%, hypercholesterolaemia 15.6%, smoking 9.8%. CMR was available for 19 314 participants; this subset had slightly healthier cardiometabolic profile than the baseline cohort (table 1).

Duration of follow-up from baseline to the censor dates gave total person time of 2 304 749 years (median 9.0 (8.3, 9.7) years) for mortality outcomes, and 2 069 086 years (median 8.2 (7.5, 8.8) years) for incident AMI. The absolute number of outcomes and the rate of outcomes per 1000 person-years are presented in online supplemental table 2.

Association of birth weight with incident outcomes

In fully adjusted models testing the linear association, higher birth weight was associated with reduction in hazard of all outcomes tested. After multiple testing correction, only association with




Figure 1 Three-knot cubic spline model demonstrating relationship between birth weight and hazard of incident acute myocardial infarction (AMI) fully adjusted models. Blue line gives estimated curve with 95% CI shown by grey-shaded area. SHR, subdistribution HR.

incident MI remained statistically significant (table 2). There was evidence of significant non-linearity in birth weight-AMI association (online supplemental table 3). The best model fit for this relationship was a cubic spline model with three knots (online supplemental table 4). Plotting this model (fully adjusted) revealed a non-linear relationship with a significant inverse association below an optimal threshold of 3.2 kg and attenuation to the null above this threshold (figure 1). Among individuals with birth weight less than 3.2 kg, each 1 kg increase in birth weight was associated with approximately 15% reduction in hazard of incident AMI (SHR 0.85 (0.76 to 0.95), $p=0.005$) (table 3). Sensitivity analysis using cause-specific Cox regression gave near identical results.

Mediation analysis

We considered mediation of the birth weight-AMI relationship. Multiple mediation analysis was used to calculate independent indirect effects for each mediator; this represents the effect of birth weight on incident AMI acting through individual mediators expressed as HRs and also, for ease of interpretation, as percentages (online supplemental table 5). We detected significant mediating effect through hypertension (8.4%), HbA1c (7.0%), CRP (6.4%), HDL (5.2%) and high cholesterol (4.1%). The proportion of the total effect mediated through all statistically significant mediators was 31.1% (figure 2). Sensitivity analysis with imputed mediator variables showed similar results (online supplemental table 6).

Table 2 Association of birth weight with incident outcomes (baseline cohort)

	Univariate	Age and sex adjusted	Fully adjusted
All-cause mortality	1.02 (0.98 to 1.05) $p=0.41$	0.97 (0.94 to 1.00) $p=0.073$	0.98 (0.95 to 1.01) $p=0.210$
CVD death	1.01 (0.92 to 1.10) $p=0.88$	0.90 (0.83 to 0.97) $p=0.010$	0.91 (0.84 to 0.99) $p=0.028$
IHD death	1.02 (0.91 to 1.16) $p=0.70$	0.86 (0.77 to 0.96) $p=0.009$	0.88 (0.79 to 0.98) $p=0.020$
Fatal AMI	1.04 (0.86 to 1.26) $p=0.67$	0.89 (0.75 to 1.05) $p=0.16$	0.90 (0.76 to 1.06) $p=0.216$
Incident AMI	1.00 (0.94 to 1.06) $p=0.90$	0.89 (0.84 to 0.93) $p=1.0\times 10^{-5}$	0.90 (0.85 to 0.95) $p=7.0\times 10^{-5}$

All cause-mortality associations are estimated using Cox proportional hazard models, results are expressed as HR (95% CI) and p value. For all other outcomes, competing risk regression models are used with results expressed as subdistribution HRs (95% CI) and p values. For all outcomes, results are per 1 kg increase in birth weight. Fully adjusted models include adjustment for age, sex, social deprivation, maternal diabetes, maternal hypertension, maternal smoking and paternal diabetes. Statistical significance level is $p<0.01$, corrected for five outcomes.

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CVD, cardiovascular disease; IHD, ischaemic heart disease.

Table 3 Subdistribution HRs for the within-group and between-group effect of birth weight on incident acute myocardial infarction (AMI)

Outcomes	Birth weight <3.2 kg n=104 890 (1554 events)	Birth weight ≥3.2 kg n=149 280 (2083 events)	P value between group difference
Incident AMI, within-group effects	0.85 (0.76 to 0.95) p=0.005	1.01 (0.91 to 1.13) p=0.80	p=0.02
Incident AMI, between-group effects	1.15 (1.08 to 1.22)	1.00	p=6.0×10 ⁻⁵

Models adjusted for age, sex, social deprivation, maternal diabetes, maternal hypertension, maternal smoking and paternal diabetes. Results are subdistribution HRs per 1 kg increase in birth weight with corresponding 95% CIs. Significance level is set at p<0.025 for within-group and p<0.05 for between-group effects.

Association of birth weight with CMR indices

In fully adjusted linear regression models, lower birth weight was associated with more concentric pattern of LV remodelling (higher LVM/LVEDV) and poorer LV function (lower LVSV index). Associations with LVGFI, GLS and LAEF were not statistically significant in fully adjusted models (table 4).

DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

In this population-based study of 258 787 middle-aged and older-aged adults, birth weight had a non-linear relationship with incident AMI, with a significant inverse association below an optimal threshold of 3.2 kg and attenuation to the null above this threshold. Mediation analysis identified five independent mediators of the birth weight–AMI relationship, which together explained around one-third of the total effect. These comprised indicators of poor glycaemic control (HbA1c 7.0%), adverse lipid profile (HDL 5.2%, high cholesterol 4.1%), hypertension (8.4%) and systemic inflammation (CRP 6.4%). Among 19 314 participants with CMR performed as part of the UK Biobank Imaging Study, lower birth weight was linked to adverse LV structure (higher LVM/LVEDV) and function (lower LVSV).

Associations with incident events

Inverse associations between birth weight and prevalent and incident IHD, and IHD mortality have been widely reported. In a study of 1394 post-menopausal women, Lawlor *et al*⁵ report a significant association between low birth weight and prevalent IHD, as identified from primary care records. In a large national Swedish cohort of 1.98 million young adults, Zoller *et al*³ report

significant association of low birth weight with incident IHD, defined as secondary or primary diagnosis on hospitalisation records. This association appeared stronger when the disease outcome was set more specifically to AMI.³ These relationships appeared independent of socioeconomic status, cardiometabolic disease and pregnancy-related factors. A study of 10 803 individuals from Scotland² reports significant association of lower birth weight with greater risk of incident IHD, defined as a composite of IHD hospitalisation and deaths. As in our study, the authors report no modifying effect of childhood height or weight on the effect of birth weight.² However, the authors were unable to consider the potential mediating role of cardiometabolic factors. In a large population-based cohort study of 15 000 individuals, Leon *et al*⁴ report inverse association of low birth weight with IHD death; however, several key confounders were overlooked in this study.

Our analysis demonstrates a convincing significant inverse relationship between birth weight and incident AMI. Characterisation of the non-linearity of the birth weight–AMI relationship in our study demonstrated a negative linear association for birth weights below 3.2 kg and no clear association with risk for birth weights above this threshold. The cut-off identified by our model approximates the population average birth weight,¹⁵ suggesting that the adverse cardiovascular effects observed are not restricted to low birthweight (<2.5 kg) individuals,¹⁶ but rather impacting a much larger proportion of the population. We observed significant associations of birth weight with IHD death and CVD death, but these relationships were statistically non-significant after application of a Bonferroni correction. It is possible that previous reports of birth weight–mortality




Figure 2 Summary of the adverse cardiovascular imaging and clinical associations of birth weight and key mediating variables. *Includes significant independent mediator variables. AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CMR, cardiovascular magnetic resonance; CRP, C reactive protein; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LV, left ventricle; LVSV, left ventricular stroke volume.

Table 4 Associations of birth weight with CMR measures of cardiovascular structure and function

	Unadjusted	Age and sex adjusted	Fully adjusted
	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)	β (95% CI)
LVM/LVEDV (g/mL)	0.005 (0.002 to 0.007) p=3.3×10 ⁻⁵	-0.004 (-0.006 to 0.002) p=0.0003	-0.004 (-0.006 to 0.002) p=0.0005*
LVSVi (mL/m ²)	1.16 (0.96 to 1.36) p=2.65×10 ⁻²⁹	0.70 (0.50 to 0.90) 4.18×10 ⁻¹²	0.70 (0.51 to 0.90) 3.96×10 ^{-12*}
LVGFI (%)	-0.007 (-0.008 to 0.005) p=1.29×10 ⁻¹⁴	0.001 (-0.001 to 0.002) p=0.31	0.001 (-0.001 to 0.002) p=0.30
GLS (%)	0.11 (0.03 to 0.18) p=0.005	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.01) p=0.08	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.01) p=0.07
LAEF (%)	0.11 (-0.13 to 0.35) p=0.36	0.27 (0.03 to 0.51) p=0.03	0.25 (0.01 to 0.49) p=0.04

Results are from linear regression models with CMR metrics set as model outcome (response variable) and birth weight set as the exposure of interest; covariate adjustment is as indicated in column heads; fully adjusted models include age, sex, social deprivation, maternal diabetes, maternal hypertension, maternal smoking and paternal diabetes. Reported betas are change in CMR metric per 1 kg increase in birth weight. Statistical significance level is p<0.01, corrected for five outcomes.
*Statistically significant results for fully adjusted results.
CMR, cardiovascular magnetic resonance; GLS, global longitudinal strain; LAEF, left atrial ejection fraction; LVGFI, left ventricular global function index; LVM/LVEDV, left ventricular mass to left ventricular end-diastolic volume ratio; LVSVi, left ventricular stroke volume index.

associations may be influenced by residual confounding. The other consideration is possible lack of power in our study. In another analysis from the UK Biobank, as with our findings, Liang *et al*¹⁷ report non-linear inverse association of birth weight with incident IHD. Our results reproduce these findings with a more disease-specific outcome (AMI), and extend the work with inclusion of mortality outcomes, consideration of mechanisms through mediation analysis and evaluation of relationships with CMR phenotypes.

Biological mechanisms

Previous studies have linked low birth weight to adverse cardiometabolic disease. In a study of 72 healthy children, Hofman *et al*¹⁸ report reduction in insulin sensitivity, a risk factor for type 2 diabetes (T2D), in children who were born at term but were SGA. Indeed, in a large meta-analysis of 135 studies, Knop *et al*¹⁹ conclude that birth weight is linked to T2D in a J-shaped manner, somewhat mirroring the characterisation of the birth weight-AMI association in our study. In an analysis of the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, Wang *et al*⁸ report significant association of genetically lowered birth weight with increased susceptibility to T2D. In a Mendelian randomisation study of the UK Biobank, Zanetti *et al*²⁰ similarly report a causal relationship between genetically determined lower birth weight and T2D. Our findings, using multiple mediation analysis, identified serum HbA1c as one of the most important mediators in the birth weight-AMI relationship. Our study corroborates existing evidence using a novel approach and adds new information by formally demonstrating and quantifying the mediating role of glycaemic control in driving associations of birth weight with incident AMI.

Several large cohort studies from the UK⁹ and the USA²¹ have linked lower birth weight with greater likelihood of hypertension in adulthood. Consistently, we identified hypertension as an important mediator of the birth weight-AMI relationship. We observed a more modest role for high cholesterol and serum HDL, which is consistent with previous observations of the association of lower birth weight with adverse serum lipids.²²

We identified a significant mediating effect from higher CRP levels. Previous work has demonstrated inverse association of birth weight and serum CRP in both children²³ and adults.²⁴ Our study is the first to formally demonstrate the potential role of systemic inflammation in mediating the relationships between birth weight and AMI.

Finally, although birth weight is the exposure of interest in our analysis, birth weight is a proxy indicator for intrauterine exposures that affect growth. That is, birth weight is a downstream manifestation of other 'root' exposures (eg, genetics, maternal pregnancy exposures). Evaluation of such factors may provide new perspectives into the underlying causal mechanisms of the associations observed between birth weight and cardiovascular health.

Associations with CMR metrics

Previous studies of the association between birth weight and cardiovascular imaging phenotypes are limited to preterm birth or SGA individuals. There is heterogeneity within and across these study samples with multiple factors potentially influencing birth weight and subsequent cardiovascular risk. As such, direct comparison across cohorts is not possible.

In an analysis of the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study participants, Arnott *et al*²⁵ compared echocardiography findings of 157 SGA adults with 627 individuals born average size for gestational age, reporting larger LV volumes and lower LVSVi in the preterm cohort. Lewandowski *et al*²⁶ present a CMR study of 102 adults born preterm and 132 born at term. They reported greater LV mass, smaller internal diameters and poorer LV strain metrics in those with a history of preterm birth compared with those born at term. In a similar study, Goss *et al*²⁷ compare CMR metrics in 58 adolescents and adults born preterm with those of 52 age-matched participants born at term; the preterm cohort had significantly lower LV volumes compared with matched controls, as in the study by Lewandowski *et al*.²⁶ These observations are corroborated by Mohamed *et al*²⁸ who similarly report higher CMR-derived LV mass index, reduced LV function and smaller LV volumes among 200 preterm individuals compared with 268 individuals born at term. The reduced volumes in preterm-born adults have been shown to relate to an impaired myocardial functional reserve,²⁹ with functional impairments related to an increase in diffuse myocardial fibrosis.³⁰

In our study of 19 314 population-based participants, we demonstrate a similar pattern of associations of lower birth weight with adverse CMR phenotypes. Specifically, we note association of lower birth weight with greater concentric LV remodelling and lower LVSVi. These findings suggest that the adverse cardiovascular remodelling patterns related to lower birth weight are not limited to individuals with a history of preterm birth or SGA, but rather extend to a much larger proportion of

the general population who have lower birth weight outside of these specific contexts.

Limitations

The birth weight variable was based on self-report and may be subject to recall bias, which may add noise or bias to observed associations. Some of the other covariates were also ascertained from self-report. Misclassification in these variables may be non-differential with individuals with higher education and health status more likely to accurately recall their birth weight and parental medical history. The unavailability of gestational age precludes definitive distinction between preterm, SGA and small but term births. We were also unable to consider the impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as pre-eclampsia or gestational diabetes. We were underpowered to study ethnicities other than white. There is an interval of several years between baseline recruitment and the imaging visit, which may have led to survival bias in the associations with CMR metrics. The nature of the study precludes causal inference, and we cannot exclude residual confounding.

CONCLUSIONS

In this large population-based cohort of middle-aged adults, lower birth weight was associated with significantly increased risk of incident MI below a threshold of 3.2 kg (approximating population average birth weight), independent of socioeconomic, parental and childhood factors. Poor glycaemic control, adverse lipid profile, hypertension and systemic inflammation were significant independent mediators of this relationship. However, these factors explained less than one-third of the birth weight–AMI effect, indicating important influence of other biological pathways. Lower birth weight was linked to an unhealthy pattern of cardiovascular remodelling indicating greater concentricity and poorer LV function.

Our findings indicate that the adverse cardiovascular effects of low birth weight described in preterm/SGA cohorts may extend significantly into the general population. Further research is required to determine whether inclusion of birth weight may improve risk stratification and if preventative strategies targeted at individuals with lower birth weight have a role in improving clinical outcomes.

Author affiliations

¹Barts Heart Centre, Saint Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK

²William Harvey Research Institute, NIHR Barts Biomedical Research Centre, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

³Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK

⁴Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

⁵MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Centre, Southampton, UK

⁶NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, Southampton, UK

⁷Health Data Research UK, London, UK

⁸Alan Turing Institute, London, UK

Twitter Zahra Raisi-Estabragh @zahra_raisi and Adam J Lewandowski @DrAJLewandowski

Acknowledgements This study was conducted using the UK Biobank resource under access application 2964. We would like to thank all the UK Biobank participants, and staff involved with planning, collection and analysis, including core lab analysis of the cardiovascular MRI data. Figure 2 created in BioRender.com.

Contributors ZR-E, SEP and NH conceived the idea and developed the analysis plan. JC provided critical input into the statistical analysis and performed the data analysis. ZR-E wrote the manuscript. MSB assisted in writing the manuscript. SEP and NH provided overall supervision for the work. All coauthors provided critical

review of the work and approved the final version. SEP and ZR-E are guarantors of the work; they accept full responsibility for the work and the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding ZR-E recognises the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Integrated Academic Training Programme which supports her Academic Clinical Lectureship post and was supported by British Heart Foundation (BHF) Clinical Research Training Fellowship (FS/17/81/33318). SEP acknowledges support from the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Barts. SEP has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 825903 (euCanSHare Project). SEP acknowledges support from the 'SmartHeart' EPSRC Programme grant (www.nihr.ac.uk; EP/P001009/1). SN, CM and PL are supported by the Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and the Oxford BHF Centre of Research Excellence. SEP and SN acknowledge the BHF for funding the manual image analysis underpinning the creation of a cardiovascular MRI reference standard for the UK Biobank imaging resource in 5000 scans (www.bhf.org.uk; PG/14/89/31194). This project was enabled through access to the Medical Research Council (MRC) eMedLab Medical Bioinformatics infrastructure (www.mrc.ac.uk; MR/L016311/1). NH acknowledges support from MRC (MC_UU_12011/1) and NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre. This work was supported by Health Data Research UK, an initiative funded by UK Research and Innovation, Department of Health and Social Care (England) and the devolved administrations, and leading medical research charities.

Disclaimer The funders provided support in the form of salaries for authors as detailed above but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests SEP provides consultancy to Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki; the work was covered by the ethical approval for UK Biobank studies from the National Health Service (NHS) National Research Ethics Service on 17 June 2011 (Ref 11/NW/0382) and extended on 18 June 2021 (Ref 21/NW/0157) with written informed consent obtained from all participants.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. This research was conducted using the UK Biobank resource under access application 2964. UK Biobank will make the data available to all bona fide researchers for all types of health-related research that is in the public interest, without preferential or exclusive access for any persons. All researchers will be subject to the same application process and approval criteria as specified by UK Biobank. For more details on the access procedure, see the UK Biobank website: <http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply>.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Zahra Raisi-Estabragh <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7757-5465>
Steffen E Petersen <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4622-5160>

REFERENCES

- 1 Barker DJP, Osmond C, Winter PD, *et al*. Weight in infancy and death from ischaemic heart disease. *Lancet* 1989;334:577–80.
- 2 Lawlor DA, Ronalds G, Clark H, *et al*. Birth weight is inversely associated with incident coronary heart disease and stroke among individuals born in the 1950s: findings from the Aberdeen children of the 1950s prospective cohort study. *Circulation* 2005;112:1414–8.
- 3 Zöller B, Sundquist J, Sundquist K, *et al*. Perinatal risk factors for premature ischaemic heart disease in a Swedish national cohort. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007308.

- 4 Leon DA, Lithell HO, Vågerö D, *et al*. Reduced fetal growth rate and increased risk of death from ischaemic heart disease: cohort study of 15 000 Swedish men and women born 1915-29. *BMJ* 1998;317:241-5.
- 5 Lawlor DA, Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. Birth weight is inversely associated with coronary heart disease in post-menopausal women: findings from the British women's heart and health study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2004;58:120-5.
- 6 Eriksson M, Wallander M-A, Krakau I, *et al*. The impact of birth weight on coronary heart disease morbidity and mortality in a birth cohort followed up for 85 years: a population-based study of men born in 1913. *J Intern Med* 2004;256:472-81.
- 7 Belbasis L, Savvidou MD, Kanu C, *et al*. Birth weight in relation to health and disease in later life: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *BMC Med* 2016;14:1-15.
- 8 Wang T, Huang T, Li Y, *et al*. Low birthweight and risk of type 2 diabetes: a Mendelian randomisation study. *Diabetologia* 2016;59:1920-7.
- 9 Barker DJ, Osmond C, Golding J, *et al*. Growth in utero, blood pressure in childhood and adult life, and mortality from cardiovascular disease. *BMJ* 1989;298:564-7.
- 10 UK Biobank Coordinating Centre. UK Biobank: protocol for a large-scale prospective epidemiological resource. UKBB-PROT-09-06 (main phase); 2007. <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/media/gnkeyh2q/study-rationale.pdf> [Accessed 13 Dec 2019].
- 11 Shiono PH, Klebanoff MA, Graubard BI, *et al*. Birth weight among women of different ethnic groups. *JAMA* 1986;255:48-52.
- 12 Bai W, Sinclair M, Tarroni G, *et al*. Automated cardiovascular magnetic resonance image analysis with fully convolutional networks. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2018;20:65.
- 13 Fulcher IR, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Williams PL. Mediation analysis for censored survival data under an accelerated failure time model. *Epidemiology* 2017;28:660-6.
- 14 VanderWeele TJ, Vansteelandt S. Mediation analysis with multiple mediators. *Epidemiol Methods* 2014;2:95-115.
- 15 Office for National Statistics. Birth characteristics. Available: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/datasets/birthcharacteristicsinenglandandwales> [Accessed 04 Feb 2020].
- 16 WHO. Low birth weight. Available: <https://www.who.int/data/nutrition/nlis/info/low-birth-weight> [Accessed 04 Feb 2020].
- 17 Liang J, Xu C, Liu Q, *et al*. Association between birth weight and risk of cardiovascular disease: evidence from UK Biobank. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2021;31:2637-43.
- 18 Hofman PL, Regan F, Jackson WE, *et al*. Premature birth and later insulin resistance. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2179-86.
- 19 Knop MR, Geng T-T, Gorny AW, *et al*. Birth weight and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, and hypertension in adults: a meta-analysis of 7 646 267 participants from 135 studies. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018;7:e008870.
- 20 Zanetti D, Tikkanen E, Gustafsson S, *et al*. Birthweight, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2018;11:e002054.
- 21 Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, *et al*. Birth weight and adult hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity in US men. *Circulation* 1996;94:3246-50.
- 22 Al Salmi I, Hannawi S. Birthweight and lipids in adult life: population-based cross sectional study. *Lipids* 2020;55:365-74.
- 23 Josefina Venero-Fernández S, Fundora-Hernández H, Batista-Gutierrez L. The association of low birth weight with serum C reactive protein in 3-year-old children living in Cuba: a population-based prospective study. *Am J Hum Biol* 2017;29:29.
- 24 Sattar N, McConnachie A, O'Reilly D, *et al*. Inverse association between birth weight and C-reactive protein concentrations in the MIDSPAN family study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2004;24:583-7.
- 25 Arnott C, Skilton MR, Ruohonen S, *et al*. Subtle increases in heart size persist into adulthood in growth restricted babies: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *Open Heart* 2015;2:e000265.
- 26 Lewandowski AJ, Augustine D, Lamata P, *et al*. Preterm heart in adult life: cardiovascular magnetic resonance reveals distinct differences in left ventricular mass, geometry, and function. *Circulation* 2013;127:197-206.
- 27 Goss KN, Haraldsdottir K, Beshish AG, *et al*. Association between preterm birth and arrested cardiac growth in adolescents and young adults. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020;5:910-9.
- 28 Mohamed A, Marciniak M, Williamson W, *et al*. Association of systolic blood pressure elevation with disproportionate left ventricular remodeling in very Preterm-Born young adults: the preterm heart and elevated blood pressure. *JAMA Cardiol* 2021;6:821-9.
- 29 Huckstep OJ, Williamson W, Telles F, *et al*. Physiological stress elicits impaired left ventricular function in preterm-born adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;71:1347-56.
- 30 Lewandowski AJ, Raman B, Bertagnolli M, *et al*. Association of preterm birth with myocardial fibrosis and diastolic dysfunction in young adulthood. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;78:683-92.