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Gross and Hubbard (1939) were the first to report the successful surgical treatment of a patient
with patent ductus arteriosus. Since then there have been several reports of large series so treated
(Gross, 1952; Ellis et al., 1956; Krovetz and Warden, 1962). While there is unanimity that closure
of an uncomplicated ductus should be performed, preferably in early childhood, there is considerable
controversy as to the wisdom of this procedure in patients with either additional cardiac defects or
concomitant pulmonary hypertension. Despite the fact that the pulmonary blood flow may be
augmented to two to three times the systemic level, pulmonary hypertension is relatively uncommon
in patent ductus arteriosus. Kjellberg et al. (1959) found pulmonary hypertension in 19 out of 138
cases (14%), and Wood (1956) quoted a figure of 13 per cent out of 115 cases with the condition.
The presence of severe pulmonary hypertension poses the perennial problem of whether to close the
ductus.

Our experience with 15 such patients treated surgically is presented; the difficulties that may be
encountered are discussed.

PRESENT SERIES

Clinical Features. In the past 12 years, 290 patients with patent ductus arteriosus as the sole
lesion have been operated on successfully in this unit. Of these, 15 (5%) had moderate to severe
pulmonary hypertension, the main pulmonary artery pressure in all measuring 50 mm. Hg systolic
or above. In the great majority of patients with an uncomplicated patent ductus arteriosus the
characteristic physical signs were unmistakable. In those with severe pulmonary hypertension the
clinical picture was often equivocal and could on occasion be easily mistaken for that of a ventricular
septal defect with pulmonary hypertension. Indeed, 5 of the 15 patients under discussion had been
accorded this diagnosis before the correct site of shunt was established at cardiac catheterization.

The Table summarizes the salient features of the 15 patients with moderate to severe pulmonary
hypertension. Dyspnea on exertion was present in 4; a typical continuous murmur was heard in 8,
but in the remainder only a harsh systolic murmur, maximal at the pulmonary area. The second pul-
monary sound was greatly accentuated in all, and right ventricular pulsation was noted in 2. None
of the patients was cyanosed, yet in 2, dye indicator curves (Coomassie Blue) suggested bidirectional
shunts; albeit the right-to-left shunt appeared minimal. Two patients had electrocardiographic
evidence of biventricular hypertrophy, but the pattern of isolated left ventricular hypertrophy was
noted in the remainder.

In all 15 patients cardiac catheterization was carried out before operation, principally to establish
the diagnosis but also to assess the degree of pulmonary hypertension, and to determine whether
**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr.)</th>
<th>Dyspnea</th>
<th>Systolic murmur only</th>
<th>E.C.G.</th>
<th>Systemic blood pressure (mm. Hg)</th>
<th>Arterial O₂ saturation (%)</th>
<th>Systemic flow (l./min.)</th>
<th>Pulm. flow (l./min.)</th>
<th>Volume of left-to-right shunt (l./min.)</th>
<th>Pulmonary arterial pressures (mm. Hg)</th>
<th>Residual systolic murmur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5½</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>98/56</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>†4 4/4</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>90/55</td>
<td>40/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5½</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>93/50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>†4 4/4</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>40/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>110/40</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>†4 3/3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>90/40</td>
<td>60/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Bivent Hyper.</td>
<td>70/30</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>†4 3/3</td>
<td>3-3</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>100/50</td>
<td>100/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>100/30</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>110/50</td>
<td>60/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Bivent Hyper.</td>
<td>95/60</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>†4 3/3</td>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>100/60</td>
<td>100/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>100/65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>†4 3/3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70/60</td>
<td>30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4½</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>95/60</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>†4 3/3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>85/40</td>
<td>40/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>†3 3/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>55/30</td>
<td>30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4½/12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50/30</td>
<td>30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>90/30</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50/30</td>
<td>30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>110/40</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>*3 3/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70/40</td>
<td>50/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1½/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>130/20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>*3 3/3</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>85/50</td>
<td>40/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>110/60</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>*2 3/3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>55/40</td>
<td>42/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2½/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>L.V.H.</td>
<td>120/40</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>†2 3/3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>60/30</td>
<td>40/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Oxygen consumption estimated by Kipp Diaferometer.
† Oxygen consumption assumed from surface area according to formula of Nadas (1963).

shunt reversal had occurred. Moderate to severe cardiomegaly was seen in all 15 patients on cardiac screening, but in only 2 did this involve both ventricles.

**Operative Data**

The technique employed in all was virtually identical. When the chest had been opened and the ductus dissected out, pressures were recorded in the pulmonary artery both before and after clamping of the ductus. In 13 the pressure fell significantly, but in the other 2 it remained unaltered. The ductus was then closed, by ligation in 11 and by division in 4. Had any rise in pressure in the pulmonary artery been discernible after clamping, the ductus would then have been regarded as representing a safety valve and its closure would thus have been contraindicated.

Our custom following closure of an uncomplicated ductus arteriosus is to discharge the patient from hospital within 10 days. However, in those with pulmonary hypertension a strict regimen of bed-rest for two to three weeks was adopted, together with the prophylactic administration of digoxin during this period. These measures entailed a longer stay in hospital, but they seem justified by the absence both of mortality and major complications.

**Follow-up**

The 15 patients have been followed up for periods varying from two months to five years. All are active and symptomless. The second pulmonary sound has reverted to normal intensity in all but the two where the pulmonary hypertension has persisted. The cardiac enlargement has regressed clinically and radiologically (see Fig. 1 and 2). A short soft grade 1 systolic murmur
persists in 5, but this does not resemble either in intensity or duration that present before operation. The significance of this murmur is debatable, but the most likely explanation is that it represents persistent dilatation of the pulmonary artery at the site of the ligated ductus. Cardiac catheterization has been repeated in 6 patients, 3 of whom still have the systolic murmur referred to above. From neither blood oxygen values nor dye indicator curves is there any evidence of a residual shunt. Although Case 6 still has severe pulmonary hypertension two years after operation, she appears to be in robust health and there has been some reduction in cardiac size (Fig. 3). Case 4 was operated
Fig. 3.—Pre- and post-operative chest radiographs of patient with patent ductus arteriosus and irreversible pulmonary hypertension.

on comparatively recently, and catheterization has not yet been repeated. The remainder have shown a substantial fall in pulmonary artery pressure.

DISCUSSION

Dealing with the clinical aspect of patent ductus arteriosus with pulmonary hypertension, Evans and Short (1958) emphasized that cyanosis was a common finding, that the pulse was no longer collapsing, and that a continuous murmur was exceptional. Biventricular hypertrophy was indicated by the electrocardiogram. Fluoroscopy showed cardiomegaly, considerable dilatation of the main pulmonary arteries, and translucency of the lung periphery. Evans and Heath (1961) stressed that the presence of right ventricular hypertrophy shown by electrocardiography and radiography was indicative of pulmonary hypertension in patent ductus arteriosus. The existence of central cyanosis, however, implies shunt reversal and the establishment of the Eisenmenger syndrome (Wood, 1958b).

Taussig (1960) found that pulmonary hypertension was a comparatively infrequent phenomenon in patent ductus arteriosus as an isolated malformation, even when the ductus was large. In cases where it had occurred she distinguished two major categories: the first, which she referred to as primary, was not attributable to the ductus but to increased resistance within the pulmonary vascular bed persisting from fetal life, and surgical closure of the ductus would, she maintained, produce no reduction in the pulmonary hypertension; the other type was secondary to a concomitant severe malformation of the left side of the heart, such as mitral stenosis, and in these circumstances surgical treatment, to be effective, must deal simultaneously with both malformations. Wood (1958a), discussing pulmonary hypertension in general, postulated six types: the second of these, namely hyperkinetic, he considered a response to increased pulmonary blood flow. It is remarkable, however, that pulmonary hypertension should so seldom complicate an isolated patent ductus arteriosus where a high pulmonary flow is the rule. If a ductus remains open indefinitely, permanent pulmonary hypertension, referred to by him as polygenic, may occur due to irreversible changes in the pulmonary arteries.

Nadas (1963) has classified pulmonary hypertension in association with a patent ductus arteriosus
as either primary or secondary; the primary variety he attributed to persistence of the fetal pulmonary vasculature, and the secondary variety to the effect of an excessive pulmonary blood flow.

A distinction could not be drawn between these two varieties from the characteristics of the heart murmur or from the electrocardiographic or radiographic appearances. However, those with primary pulmonary hypertension were usually cyanosed and could be shown to have a high pulmonary vascular resistance. From this group an operative mortality of 50 per cent could be expected, because the pulmonary hypertension remained largely unaffected. In the secondary variety the pulmonary artery pressure reverted to normal after closure.

Anderson and Coles (1955), reporting on 9 children who were operated on for patent ductus arteriosus with pulmonary hypertension, put forward the view that pulmonary hypertension in this condition was basically due to increased pulmonary blood flow. Even where there was raised pulmonary vascular resistance and histological proof of structural changes in the lungs, the pulmonary hypertension might revert to normal following closure of the ductus.

Of the 15 cases comprising the present series 13 would fit the thesis that the pulmonary hypertension was due solely to the augmented pulmonary blood flow, since the pulmonary artery pressure showed a substantial fall either immediately or within a year after closure of the ductus. In the other two the pulmonary hypertension appears to have been primary since the pulmonary artery pressure failed to drop after closure. Although Kjellberg et al. (1959) asserted that several months or even a year might elapse before pulmonary hemodynamics were restored to normal, the two under discussion appear unlikely to show any significant fall in the future.

Campbell (1955) concluded that closure of patent ductus arteriosus in the presence of hypertension might fail to affect this because extensive changes had already taken place in the pulmonary arteries. Ellis et al. (1956) reviewed 30 cases of patent ductus arteriosus complicated by pulmonary hypertension (the pulmonary artery pressure exceeded 60 mm. Hg in all). The over-all mortality rate was 18 per cent, but where shunt reversal had occurred the mortality rate reached the forbidding figure of 56 per cent. Cleland (1958) favoured closure of patent ductus arteriosus with pulmonary hypertension even where the systemic and pulmonary artery pressures were equal, and mentioned 14 patients treated with success. Harris and Heath (1962), in a comprehensive monograph on the human pulmonary circulation, related the reversibility or otherwise of pulmonary hypertension associated with congenital heart disease to structural changes in the media and intima of the small pulmonary arteries. They recognized six grades of severity; when grade 4, 5, or 6 was present, the changes had become so extensive that repair of the cardiac defect or closure of the ductus could not materially influence the pulmonary hypertension. They perform lung biopsy at the time of operation, and maintain that this is of inestimable value in assessing both the extent and severity of the pulmonary arterial changes, and hence is of help in prognosis. They described three principal phases in the development of hypertensive pulmonary vascular disease due to congenital cardiac anomalies. In the first of these, where pulmonary hypertension is due to increased pulmonary blood flow, closure of the defect restores circulatory hemodynamics to normal. In the second phase, termed equal resistance, a high pulmonary vascular resistance has developed and the left-to-right shunt has declined in magnitude; correction of the defect, although perilous, is justified because without treatment the prognosis is grave. In the third phase pulmonary blood flow has diminished because the pulmonary vascular resistance now exceeds the systemic; this corresponds to the pathological grades 5 and 6, and here there is no place for surgical treatment.

One might reasonably inquire whether closure of a ductus accompanied by severe and unremitting pulmonary hypertension is justifiable. There are at least two reasons; first to prevent any further rise in pulmonary hypertension through continuance of the increased pulmonary blood flow, and secondly to prevent subacute bacterial endocarditis (Gross, 1952; Krovetz and Warden, 1962).

Thus in our view the only contraindication to closure is the presence of shunt reversal, which may be indicated either by central cyanosis, by the results of catheter studies, or by a rise in pulmonary artery pressure following a test clamping of the ductus at thoracotomy (Ellis et al., 1956; Kjellberg et al., 1959; Wood, 1956).
PATENT DUCTUS ARTERIOSUS

SUMMARY

In a series of 290 cases of patent ductus arteriosus treated by operation over a period of 12 years, 15 were encountered with a pulmonary artery pressure of 50 mm. Hg or above. In all, closure was effected without mishap, although in 2 the pulmonary hypertension appears irreversible.
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