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One of the effects of injecting radiocontrast material into the coronary circulations (Sones and Shirey, 1962) is a fall in heart rate due to sinus slowing (Benchimol and McNally, 1966; MacAlpin et al., 1966; Guzman and West, 1959). This bradycardia begins within a few beats of injection, quickly reaches a peak, and subsides in less than a minute. Though brief and transient, it is often quite dramatic (Fig. 1 and 2). Its cause is not known, but is more probably ischaemia of the sinus node than vagotonia, for it more often follows right than left coronary injection, and is not, as a rule, associated with depression of atrio-ventricular conduction. Its importance is its relation to several undesirable phenomena which are in part due to it.

The first of these phenomena is a decrease in coronary and aortic pressure which, though in most instances of little importance, is appreciable in a significant number of cases: it exceeded 40 per cent of pre-injection levels in over 30 per cent of 29 cases in which we recently carried out hemodynamic measurements during selective coronary arteriography (Benchimol and McNally, 1966). The pressure decrease proceeds pari passu with the bradycardia and parallels it roughly in degree, and is largely the direct result of the slowing, for it is almost completely abolished by pacing the heart at its pre-injection rate throughout this period (Fig. 2).

Though we have measured neither coronary nor systemic flows during this period, we believe that the fall in perfusing pressures is associated with a decrease in perfusion itself, that is, in coronary and systemic flow. That this is so is suggested by the concurrence of certain subjective phenomena. The first of these is a sense of dissolution or of "falling out" which must be due principally to either the hypotension, the bradycardia, or both, since it, too, is virtually abolished by pacing. The second of the subjective phenomena associated with the bradycardia is angina: if a patient is to experience angina during selective coronary arteriography, it usually begins at the height of the slowing. Angina is less clearly and regularly affected by pacing than the other phenomena, but we have the distinct impression that preventing the bradycardia lowers its incidence and lessens its severity and duration.

The bradycardia and its attendant hemodynamic phenomena occur at a time when the myocardium has been made ischaemic by the displacement of blood from the coronary tree by the contrast material, and when ventricular repolarization, as manifested by marked ST- and T- changes, is at its most abnormal (Benchimol and McNally, 1966) (Fig. 1 and 2).

These events all combine, we feel, to render the heart particularly susceptible during this period to ventricular arrhythmias. While the myocardium is ischaemic and the coronary tree filled with high viscosity contrast material, coronary perfusion pressure falls. Concurrently, the patient experiences subjective sensations which are certainly associated with a considerable sympathetic discharge. In this setting, and in the presence of deranged repolarization, periods of virtual asystole may occur, a circumstance favouring the "unmasking" or "release" of any potential ectopic foci that may be present.

Although we have not yet encountered complications directly traceable to this combination of phenomena, we have had many a harrowing moment during this first minute after injection (Fig. 1), especially when, at the height of the bradycardia, escape beats of aberrant contour and bizarre prematurets have appeared.

Preventing the bradycardia by pacing will not, of course, obviate all the factors that combine to make
this period a dangerous one, but we feel that it can lower the risk enough to justify its routine use during coronary arteriography. For this reason it has become our practice to pace the heart during injection and for the first minute or so thereafter. The rate we chose is one just fast enough to assure continuous capture, that is, one slightly faster than the spontaneous pre-injection rate. We employ the right atrium rather than the right ventricle as the pacing site for two reasons. First, when the pacing
catheter is located in the atrium, it does not interfere with visualization of the coronary tree; when it is in the right ventricle, it usually does. Second, the pacemaker is less likely to precipitate an arrhythmia in the atrium than in the ventricle. Furthermore, pacing the atrium poses no problem. Atrioventricular conduction is rarely affected by coronary injection, and we have had no difficulty in achieving consistent capture. It is, moreover, safe: in the course of various physiological studies we have paced the atria of more than 100 patients, and have encountered no ill effects, immediate or delayed, in any (Benchimol et al., 1965b; Benchimol, Ellis, and Dimond, 1965a). Inserting the pacemaker catheter adds negligibly to the procedure, since a cutdown must in any event be made upon the brachial artery. Finally, a “dividend” of having a catheter in the right heart is that it provides a more favourable site than the aorta for injecting medication should the need arise.

Summary
The minute which follows selective injection of radiocontrast medium into the coronary circulation is one of high risk of major arrhythmias because of a combination of phenomena, several of which are directly due to the bradycardia which follows injection. The latter effects can be abolished by pacing the heart throughout this period, and the risk of arrhythmia thus appreciably reduced. We have, therefore, adopted pacing as a routine practice during selective coronary angiography. For several reasons, we employ the right atrium rather than the right ventricle as the pacing site.
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