Second-degree Wenckebach type AV block due to block within the atrium
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Two patients with a history of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were analysed by His bundle and intra-atrial recordings. The electrocardiogram showed sinus bradycardia in 1 patient and sinus bradycardia with sino-atrial Wenckebach in the other. The conduction times through the AV node and His Purkinje system were normal in both cases. The intra-atrial conduction time was slightly prolonged (55 msec) in one and normal (40 msec) in the other during normal sinus rhythm. In both cases with atrial pacing from high right atrium, the conduction time from the pacing impulse (PI) to atrial activation in the area of the AV junction (PI-A) progressively lengthened with increase in atrial pacing rate and finally classical second-degree Wenckebach type of block was manifested at cycle lengths of 460 and 465 msec. The pacing impulse to QRS interval (PI-R) showed a progressive increase before the blocked stimulus. The shortening of the PI-R interval was due to progressive increase in the intra-atrial (PI-A) conduction time. In the dropped beats, the pacing impulse was not followed by an A deflection. This observation indicated Type I block within the atrium. Similarly, during induced premature atrial beats, the PI-A time progressively lengthened as the coupling interval was shortened. These findings were reproducible and were seen despite a fourfold increase in stimulus strength and changes in electrodes and site of stimulation along the lateral right atrial border. This study (1) shows second-degree Wenckebach block within the atrium; (2) supports the existence of sinoatrial Wenckebach; and (3) suggests the atrium as another possible site for re-entry and a cause for supraventricular tachycardia because of the degree of delay and block exhibited within the atrium.

It is well known that block during impulse transmission may occur at any site where a difference in functional refractory period is present or where decremental conduction occurs (Hoffman and Cranefield, 1960; Cranefield, Hoffman, and de Carvalho, 1959; Cranefield, Klein, and Hoffman, 1971; Singer, Lazzara, and Hoffman, 1967). Mobitz (1924) first defined the electrocardiographic criteria for the two types of second-degree atrioventricular (AV) block (Type I and II). The Type II block, commonly known as Mobitz II, has been clinically and experimentally localized to the His-Purkinje system (Donoso, Adler, and Friedberg, 1964; Kaufman et al., 1961; Watanabe and Dreifus, 1967; Narula and Samet, 1970). In contrast, Wenckebach type (Mobitz I) of AV block is generally considered to occur in the AV node. Recent reports have also shown that the Wenckebach type of block may be observed within the His bundle and bundle-branches (Narula and Samet, 1970; Puech et al., 1970). Intra-atrial conduction defects have been known to cause first-degree AV block (Katz and Pick, 1956; Narula et al., 1971). Though second-degree block within the atrium has been previously proposed, it has not been documented (Phibbs, 1963).

The purpose of this study is to present the electrophysiological data in 2 patients who manifested the typical Wenckebach AV block secondary to blocked P waves within the atrium itself, i.e. proximal to the AV node. It is also shown that in these 2 cases, the intra-atrial conduction time was rate-dependent and progressively increased with increase in rate. The evidence further shows
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some of the potential inherent fallacies of arrhythmia interpretations based solely on the duration of the PR interval in the surface electrocardiogram.

Case reports

Case I A 69-year-old white woman was admitted because of tachycardia. At the age of 17, she was told she had a heart murmur. Episodes of palpitations, first noted at age 52, were controlled by quinidine administration. Digoxin (0.25 mg daily) was started at 61 because of exertional dyspnoea. Three weeks before admission, she stopped digitalis and quinidine therapy, whereupon recurrent tachycardias developed. On examination, she exhibited the typical findings of mitral insufficiency and stenosis. X-ray examination revealed cardiomegaly, mitral stenosis and insufficiency, pulmonary hypertension, and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia, Class II-C.

The electrocardiogram on admission (Fig. 1, 20 April 1971) showed supraventricular tachycardia (probably atrial tachycardia with 2:1 AV block) with a ventricular rate of 115 a minute. The QRS complex was 0.09 sec in duration with a normal axis. The next day, the ventricular rate was 95 a minute after digitalis therapy. Two days after admission, an electrocardiogram revealed sinus bradycardia (45 a minute). The PR interval was 0.18 sec and the QRS complex remained unchanged (Fig. 1, 22 April 1971).

On the sixth hospital day, a cardiac conduction study was performed to help guide future drug or possible pacing therapy. His bundle recordings and sinus node recovery time were obtained as described previously (Narula et al., 1970; Narula, Samet, and Javier, 1972). During the study, the sinus cycle length ranged from 1250 to 1400 msec. The PR interval was 175 msec. The control conduction times through the AV node (A-H) and His Purkinje system were 75 and 45 msec, respectively. The conduction time through the atrium was slightly prolonged (55 msec) and suggested intra-atrial conduction delay. This was further confirmed during induced premature atrial beats and atrial pacing with 2 msec pulse duration. During premature atrial beats, the conduction time from the pacing impulse in the high right atrium to atrial (A2) activation in the area of the AV junction progressively lengthened (from 90 to 160 msec) with increase in prematurity of the premature atrial beats (Fig. 2, Panel A to D). The absolute atrial beats, as measured by induced premature atrial beats at double the diastolic threshold was 425 msec (Fig. 2, Panel E).

Fig. 1 Case I. Rhythm strip (20 April 1971) shows supraventricular tachycardia which is followed by normal sinus rhythm 2 days later (22 April 1971). The 12 standard electrocardiogram leads are shown below.
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During atrial pacing, the PR interval progressively lengthened with increase in rate (cycle length from 1000 to 530 msec) (Fig. 3). The latter PR interval prolongation was caused by a lengthening of the pacing impulse-atrial (PI-A) time (from 110 to 180 msec) in addition to the increase in AH time. With further increase in atrial pacing rate (cycle length of 465 msec), Wenckebach type second-degree AV block was manifested within the atrium with an increase in pacing impulse-atrial time until finally the atrial complex was blocked and the pacing impulse (PI) was not followed by an A wave (Fig. 4). The lengthening of the pacing impulse-atrial time was not progressive though it increased from 105 to 330 msec preceding the dropped beat. The AH interval also exhibited an incomplete atypical Wenckebach phenomenon at the latter atrial pacing cycle length (465 msec). The Wenckebach cycle in the AH interval was not complete (with a blocked P wave in the AV node) because the simultaneous occurrence of Wenckebach
FIG. 3 Case 1. Effect of increase in atrial pacing rate on PI-A time. The right atrium was stimulated from the same site (high RA) as in Fig. 2. (A) Simultaneous recordings of BE(BH)
with 3 standard electrocardiogram leads (I, aVF, V1). The PI-A time was 110 msec. (B–C) With progressive increase in atrial pacing rate (cycle length shortened to 530 msec), the PI-A
time progressively lengthened from 110 msec (Panel A) to 180 msec (Panel C) and contributed to
the lengthening of the PI-R interval. The PI-A time varies slightly in Panel C.

periods within the atrium resulted in a transient
increase in AA interval (slowing of atrial rate),
and hence a transient decrease in AH conduction
time. This case shows simultaneously two sites
of Wenckebach block, i.e. incomplete Wenckebach
in the AV node and complete Wenckebach within
the atrium. It is of interest that the surface
 electrocardiogram does not show obvious dropped
beats but simulates uninterrupted 1:1 AV con-
duction because of these two simultaneous sites
of second-degree Wenckebach type of AV block
(Fig. 4, Panel B). The second-degree block in
this case can only be diagnosed on the basis of
the intracardiac recordings.

The sinus node recovery time was measured
as described previously and was conspicuously
prolonged (2200 msec + sinus cycle length). This
observation suggested a sick sinus node (Narula
et al., 1972). During ventricular pacing, retro-
grade conduction to the atrium was absent.

Case 2 A 73-year-old white woman was ad-
mitted because of a 2-year history of frequent
palpitations despite quinidine administration but
without digitalis. She was a known diabetic for
the last 13 years and was well controlled with 32
units of Lente insulin daily. On examination,
slight cardiomegaly and an atrial gallop sound
were noted. She had discontinued quinidine 10
days before admission.

The electrocardiogram had shown sinus brady-
cardia with rates ranging from 48 to 55 a minute
for the past 18 months. The electrocardiogram
recorded on 28 July 1971 (Fig. 5) was compatible
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FIG. 4 Case 1. During atrial pacing, second-degree Wenckebach type of block was localized in the atrium. (A) Simultaneous recordings of BE(BH) with 3 standard electrocardiogram leads (I, aVF, V1). First 2 beats with normal sinus rhythm show normal PR (175 msec), AH (75 msec), and HV (45 msec) intervals. The PA time (55 msec) during normal sinus rhythm was slightly prolonged. Latter half shows onset of atrial pacing at a cycle length of 545 msec. This is accompanied by an increase in PI-R (230 to 335 msec), PI-A (100 to 155 msec), and A-H (85 to 135 msec) intervals before stabilization of these periods. (B) With increase in atrial pacing rate (cycle length = 465 msec), Wenckebach type of second-degree intra-atrial block was manifested. There was a progressive increase in PI-R from 245 to 645 msec before a beat was dropped (6th PI). The PI-A progressively lengthened from 105 to 330 msec before the 6th pacing impulse is not followed by an A wave indicating intra-atrial block. A new cycle again starts (7th pacing impulse) with a short PI-A (130 msec) time. Simultaneously with an increase in PI-A time, the AH interval also lengthened progressively (95 to 385 msec) in a Wenckebach pattern (1st to 3rd paced beats). In the subsequent beats, the AH interval unexpectedly decreased to 270 msec (5th paced beat) without completing the Wenckebach cycle. This resulted from a sudden decrease in AA interval from 435 msec (in the 4th A) to 615 msec (6th A) despite a constant atrial pacing rate due to an increase in PI-A time or Wenckebach in the atrium. This case represents a complete Wenckebach in the atrium (PI-A interval) and a partial Wenckebach in the AV node (AH interval).

with 4:3 sinoatrial Wenckebach. The PR and QRS intervals were 165 and 80 msec, respectively.

At the time of cardiac conduction study, the sinus cycle length ranged from 1060 to 1140 msec. The PR, PA, AH, and HV times were 165, 40, 80, and 45 msec, respectively. On atrial pacing with increase in rate (cycle length > 480 msec), the pacing impulse-atrial time progressively increased from 80 to 125 msec. With further increase in atrial pacing rate (cycle length > 460 msec), second-degree Wenckebach block was seen (Fig. 6, Panel B). The PI-R interval progressively lengthened from 215 to 335 msec until the atrial pacing impulse was not followed by a QRS complex because of the intra-atrial block. The intracardiac recordings revealed a progressive lengthening of the conduction time from the pacing impulse to the atrial activation recorded from the area of the AV junction (PI-A = 70 to 150 msec) until the pacing impulse was not followed by an A wave indicating second-degree intra-atrial block. Similarly, during induced premature atrial beats, a progressive increase in pacing impulse-atrial time (80–145 msec) was observed as the coupling interval was shortened.
FIG. 5 Case 2. Twelve-lead standard electrocardiogram is shown above. Rhythm strip (V1) recorded on 28 July 1971 is highly suggestive of SA Wenckebach (4:3).

FIG. 6 Case 2. During atrial pacing, second-degree Wenckebach was localized in the atrium. (A) Simultaneous BE recordings from the area of the AV junction (BH) and 3 standard electrocardiogram leads (I, aVF, V1). During normal sinus rhythm, the PR (165 msec), PA (40 msec), AH (80 msec), and HV (45 msec) intervals are normal. (B) Atrial pacing at a cycle length of 460 msec shows Wenckebach second-degree block (4:3) localized within the atrium. The PI-R and PI-A progressively lengthen from 215 to 335 and 70 to 150 msec before a beat is dropped (4th pacing impulse). In the dropped beat, the pacing impulse is not followed by an A wave, indicating block within the atrium proximal to the area of the AV junction. After the dropped beat, the Wenckebach cycle repeats itself.
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from 1010 to 470 msec (Fig. 7). During normal sinus rhythm the right atrium was absolutely refractory to stimulation with induced premature atrial beats at a coupling interval of 385 msec at double the diastolic threshold. During ventricular pacing, retrograde conduction to the atrium was absent. The sinus node recovery time was normal (400 msec + average sinus cycle length).

Discussion

The present study demonstrates a hitherto postulated but unproven site for the exhibition of second-degree Wenckebach block. It has been previously indicated that first-degree AV block may result from intra-atrial conduction delays; this concept was recently confirmed in a study using intracardiac recordings (Katz and Pick, 1956; Narula et al., 1971). The latter study suggested that patients with abnormal intra-atrial (PA) conduction may exhibit progressive increment in PA (PI-A) time as the PP interval is shortened either by atrial pacing or by premature atrial beats. It was also conjectured that PA conduction defects, similar to defects in other segments of the conduction system, may cause higher degrees of AV block (Narula et al., 1971). The two cases in this study developed second-degree Wenckebach type

FIG. 7 Case 2. Effect of premature atrial beats induced from the high RA, in the region of the sinus node, on the PI-A conduction time. A–C. As the coupling interval (P-PI) was progressively shortened from 1010 msec to 470 msec, there was a progressive lengthening of the PI-A interval from 80 to 145 msec. The vertical broken line shows the simultaneous onset of the P wave in the standard electrocardiogram leads and atrial activation in the area of the AV junction ((BE)BH). This raises the possibility of preferential conduction to the AV junction from the stimulation site.
of AV block within the atrium during atrial pacing. The progressive lengthening of the pacing impulse to QRS (PI-R) interval was clearly shown to be caused in part by an increase in conduction time from the pacing site in the high right atrium to low right atrium (the area of the AV junction) until, finally, the pacing stimulus was not followed by an A wave (Fig. 6). This indicates block within the atrium. Case 1 (Fig. 4) is of interest because the study showed simultaneously two different sites of block, i.e. within the atrium and the AV node. The Wenckebach cycle during the AV node (AH interval) was partial or incomplete because of the delay and block in intra-atrial conduction before the impulse arrival at the AV junction. As a result, the AH interval, which at first progressively lengthened, suddenly shortened because the AA interval increased secondary to intra-atrial Wenckebach. These observations again indicate the limitations in the use of the standard electrocardiogram for the localization of the site of conduction defects (Narula et al., 1971).

Localization of the precise site of block within the atrium was not attempted and is beyond the scope of the present study as this would require close bipolar recordings throughout the atrium. It may be argued that the dropped beat is due to a pacing failure, resulting either from poor positioning or movement of the stimulating electrodes or an inadequate stimulus strength. These possibilities can be excluded for the following reasons. (1) To rule out the first possibility, the pacing electrodes were moved to various sites along the entire length of the lateral border of the right atrium; similar phenomena were observed at the varied pacing sites. (2) Catheter movement was excluded by constant fluoroscopic viewing during the sequence of atrial pacing. (3) The Wenckebach phenomenon was seen even at pacing with a stimulus strength of 4 times the threshold value and stimulus pulses of 2 msec duration. (4) As the atrial pacing rate was decreased, 1:1 atrial excitation and AV conduction resumed without other changes. The Wenckebach phenomenon was reproducible at will. With increase in pacing rate, the degree of block disproportionately increased and eventually 2:1 pacer stimulus – atrial depolarization block was manifested. (5) Finally, the progressive and classic increase in conduction time from the pacing impulse at high right atrium to the atrial depolarization in the area of the AV junction (PI-A) indicates that this phenomenon resulted from block within the atrium rather than a fortui-

tous failure in pacing due to any of the above mentioned possibilities.

The underlying mechanism responsible for the development of intra-atrial block is of interest. Various possibilities should be mentioned. (1) In the dropped beat, the pacing stimulus occurred during the absolute atrial refractory period. This is probably not the case because the absolute atrial refractory period as evaluated by induced premature atrial beats during normal sinus beat (despite relatively prolonged sinus cycle lengths) was shorter than the interval at which the dropped beats occurred during pacing. The atrial refractory period during atrial pacing should be less than that during normal sinus rhythm since the pacing cycle length during second-degree block was less than 50 per cent of that during normal sinus rhythm. (2) The atrium was depolarized around the stimulating electrodes but the excitation failed to propagate because of conduction block in the adjacent atrium probably due to a disease process. This concept is supported by the progressive increase in the isoelectric interval from the pacing impulse to the onset of the P wave (Fig. 6). It may be that during the isoelectric period, the number of atrial fibres depolarized was not sufficient enough to produce a P wave on the standard electrocardiogram which requires depolarization of a large segment of atrial muscle. Normally the onset of the surface lead P wave precedes the atrial depolarization recorded from the area of the AV junction (or low right atrium) by 25–45 msec (Narula et al., 1970, Fig. 1). However, in Case 2 (Fig. 7) during the induced premature atrial beats, the onset of the P wave occurred at the same time as the atrial depolarization in the area of the AV junction. This suggests abnormal impulse transmission and possibly segmented or localized atrial depolarization without a P wave in the electrocardiogram. An alternative explanation may be considered in the latter instance, namely that there is preferential conduction through specialized tracts from the point of stimulation to the AV junction rather than conduction through the remainder of the atrium usually responsible for the production of the P wave on the surface electrocardiogram (Sherf and James, 1969; Holsinger, Wallace, and Sealy, 1968). In any case, the atrial stimulus did not result in impulse propagation to the AV junction and the ventricles causing second-degree AV block.

Several reports have described the existence of sinoatrial block (Type I and II) but various explanations proposed regarding its mechanism are controversial and lack experi-
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mental verification (Mackenzie, 1902; Barlow, 1927; Eyster and Meek, 1917; Scherf, 1946, 1969; Greenwood, Finkelstein, and Monheit, 1961). Our study is an experimental model, with the atrial pacing stimulus representing the sinus node, which supports the concept of sinoatrial Wenckebach due to abnormal conduction between the sinus node and atrium. It is of interest that in Case 2, the sinus node recovery time, which is an indication of sinus node function as an impulse generator, was normal (Narula et al., 1972). The bradycardia in this case was probably secondary to sinoatrial block rather than the poor sinus node function, especially in view of the evidence of intra-atrial second-degree block. Also at times, electrocardiographic findings of sinoatrial Wenckebach were observed in this patient (Fig. 5) though other possibilities may be entertained, i.e. increased vagal tone. However, in Case 1, the sinus bradycardia was probably the result of both mechanisms, i.e. sinoatrial block and poor sinus node function because the sinus node recovery time was prolonged.

Previous studies from this laboratory have stressed the role of intra-atrial conduction (PA) delays in the production of first-degree AV block and have recommended division of the measurement of conduction time from the onset of the P wave to the onset of His bundle deflection into intra-atrial (PA or PI-A) and AV nodal (AH) intervals as opposed to the PH, or PI-H intervals used by others (Narula et al., 1970, 1971; Damato et al., 1969). The latter studies have considered PH interval as representative of both atrial and AV nodal conduction times. The present study, by showing first-degree and second-degree block within the atrium, reiterates the importance of dividing PH into PA and AH intervals so as not to overlook the role of intra-atrial conduction delays. The data also show that the PR interval may not provide an accurate measure of total atrioventricular conduction time under special circumstances. Fig. 6 and 7 show that the atrial pacing impulse may be followed by a long isoelectric segment before the inscription of the P wave. This suggests that an impulse may develop and propagate in the atrium for significant periods without producing a deflection in the standard electrocardiogram in specialized circumstances. It is to be emphasized that the PR interval may be normal despite a first-degree block secondary to intra-atrial conduction delay, because the initial segment of the PR, as measured on the surface electrocardiogram, may be isoelectric due to intra-atrial conduction abnormalities. Similar conclusions were made in a recent study during open heart surgery using multiple stimulating and recording sites in the atrium under direct vision (Waldo et al., 1970). In the latter study, the maximum isoelectric segments between the pacing impulse and the onset of the P wave ranged between 10–25 msec, depending upon the site of stimulation and the proximity of specialized intra-atrial tracts. In addition, it was pointed out that the polarity and shape of the P wave could be altered by a few millimetres change in the atrial stimulation site (Waldo et al., 1970). The present study is in agreement with these latter findings and suggests that the shape of the P wave and the PR interval is not a reliable means for localization of the site of impulse origin. In our cases, the prolongation of the PI-A time, despite varying stimulating sites, probably indicates a conspicuous degree of conduction defect.

In Case 2, during induced premature atrial beats, the onset of P wave and atrial depolarization in the area of the AV junction occurred simultaneously with no measurable PA (PI-A) time. This and a previous study (Narula et al., 1971) suggest the following: (1) A short PA time, especially during ectopic atrial arrhythmias, may not delineate the complete intra-atrial conduction time. Under these circumstances, atrial pacing in the area of the sinus node may be needed to evaluate true intraatrial conduction time. (2) In cases with sinus rhythm, the conduction time during atrial pacing from the region of the sinus node to the area of the AV junction may be an additional, clinically useful, parameter for the evaluation of the intra-atrial conduction.

One of the prerequisites for the mechanism of re-entry is a local conduction delay of sufficient magnitude during impulse transmission to enable complete recovery of the tissue at the subsequent time of impulse arrival at the site of its origin enabling a second passage of the impulse. The state for single or multiple re-entry beats is thus provided (Cranefield et al., 1959; Moe, Cohen, and Vick, 1963; Schuilenberg and Durrer, 1968; Wallace and Daggett, 1964; Han, Malozzi, and Moe, 1968). A recent study has indicated that AV nodal delay is usually a prerequisite for supraventricular tachycardias due to re-entry. It was stressed that the AV node and not the atrium or the His Purkinje system is the essential site for re-entry (Goldreyer and Damato, 1971). However, the study merely showed that the re-entry site was proximal to the His bundle. The possibility...
that the atrium and not the AV node was the site of re-entry was not excluded by the experimental data of these investigators. Other experimental studies have, in fact, shown that the sinus node itself may be the site for re-entry (Wallace and Daggett, 1964; Han et al., 1968). Both patients in our study had a history of palpitations, and in Case 1, atrial tachycardia was documented. Furthermore, in Case 1 it was shown that Wenkebach type of block occurred in the atrium without dropped beats at the AH interval, i.e. in the AV node (Fig. 4). If the supraventricular tachycardia in this case was secondary to re-entry, then the probable site for re-entry was within the atrium rather than the AV node. The supraventricular tachycardias in the second case may also be explained on the same basis.
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