Value of routine electrocardiography in hypertensive patients
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Serial electrocardiograms have been compared in a group of 50 surviving patients who have received hypotensive therapy for at least five years and in 20 similar patients who died of myocardial infarction. The incidence of voltage changes suggesting left ventricular hypertrophy was higher in the infarct group, but in both cases there was a significant correlation between the summed voltage of the S wave in V1 and the maximum R wave voltage in V5 or V6 and the level of systolic pressure at presentation. After the introduction of hypotensive therapy, 37 (74%) of the surviving group showed a reduction in praecordial voltage and only 7 (14%) had increased voltage. In the infarct patients, 10 (50%) developed increased voltage before the infarction. This difference is highly significant (P < 0.01) but probably reflects suboptimal pressure regulation in the infarct group. There was a highly significant correlation between praecordial voltage and the average systolic pressure control over the period of treatment (P < 0.001). Abnormalities of repolarization were present in 60 per cent of the initial electrocardiograms in both groups. The depth of ST segment depression in the initial electrocardiogram was related to the level of systolic pressure but no relation could be identified for the height of the T wave or the depth of its inversion and blood pressure.

No electrocardiographic features predictive of future myocardial infarction were identified but it is suggested that the sum of S V1 and R V5/V6 is a useful index of systolic pressure control. Increasing praecordial voltage is found in patients with suboptimal pressure regulation and these patients are particularly likely to suffer vascular complications of hypertension.

Since the introduction of modern hypotensive therapy there has been a conspicuous improvement in the prognosis of hypertensive patients (Harington, Kincaid-Smith, and McMichael, 1959; Smirk and Hodge, 1963; Brekenridge, Dollery, and Parry, 1970). The mortality from renal failure and cerebrovascular accidents in particular has been reduced (Hamilton, Thompson, and Wisniewski, 1964; Brekenridge et al., 1970). In spite of these encouraging trends in overall prognosis the proportion of deaths from myocardial infarction has increased (Smirk and Hodge, 1963; Brekenridge et al., 1970). Since it has been claimed that electrocardiographic changes can identify patients at risk from coronary artery disease (Sokolow and Perloff, 1961; True, Cornfield, and Kannel, 1967), we have examined their predictive value in a group of treated hypertensive patients. All patients attending the hypertension clinic have an electrocardiogram recorded before treatment is begun and this investigation is repeated annually or more frequently as indicated. Thus, we have been able to conduct a retrospective study of electrocardiographic changes occurring in a group of hypertensive patients and compare the findings with those obtained in a group of patients from our clinic who died of myocardial infarction.

Subjects and methods
Fifty patients who have attended the hypertension clinic for at least five years formed the first group (Group 1). These patients were selected by drawing seven case records at six successive clinics and eight records on the seventh week. This was done without earlier knowledge of blood pressure control or electrocardiographic findings. The following information was extracted.

1) Pretreatment blood pressure values and levels recorded at all outpatient attendances since starting treatment Systolic and diastolic pressure control during the period of follow-
up was calculated for each patient by taking the average of all values recorded both lying and standing.

2) Changes in the electrocardiogram An analysis of the electrocardiogram was made for changes in left ventricular hypertrophy by voltage criteria (Sokolow and Lyon, 1949) and measurements of the height of the R and T waves in leads V5 and V6 were recorded. In addition, the depth of the S wave in V1 and of ST segment depression in V5 and V6 were measured. These analyses were performed on both the pretreatment electrocardiogram and on the most recent record.

3) Age, sex, weight, smoking habits, details of chest pain, retinal grading, and levels of blood urea and serum cholesterol These were entered with the previous information on punch cards.

The case notes of the last 20 treated hypertensive patients who had died of myocardial infarction five or more years after their first attendance at Hammersmith Hospital were examined in the same manner (infarct group). Recumbent pressures were not recorded in 6 of these patients so that the pressures taken seated and standing were used for estimating their average systolic and average diastolic pressures.

Numerical data from the two groups were analysed by an unpaired Student's t-test. A χ² analysis was used for comparing other differences between the two groups. Correlations between electrocardiographic findings and blood pressure data were obtained from regressions computed by the least squares method.

Results

The results obtained are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. There was a close similarity in age, smoking habits, and blood pressure at presentation in the two groups. The proportion of males was higher in the infarct group, 15/20 (75%) compared with 26/50 (52%), but this was not statistically significant (P>0.1). Serum cholesterol figures were available in 41 patients in group 1 and 15 patients in the infarct group and were not significantly different. Levels of blood urea were similar in the two groups, but the severity of hypertension as judged by the retinal findings was greater in the infarct group (χ² = 4.134; P < 0.05).

Initial electrocardiographic findings

1) Precordial voltage In group 1, 20 patients (40%) had summed S V1 and R maximum V5/V6 voltages of 35 mm or greater. An additional 5 patients had R waves in AV1 greater than 10 mm. Therefore, 25 (50%) of group 1 patients had voltage changes suggesting left ventricular hypertrophy. In the infarct group there was a higher incidence of
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2) ST segment and T wave abnormalities Abnormalities of repolarization were found in 30 of 50 patients in group 1 (60%). Only 8 of these patients gave a history of chest pain. There was a similar incidence in the infarct group, 12 (60%) of the initial electrocardiograms showing these changes.

There was a significant correlation between the level of systolic pressure and the summed voltage of the S wave in V1 and the maximum R in V5/V6 both for group 1 (P < 0.05) and the infarct group (P < 0.05). Since an analysis of variance showed that the calculated regression lines did not differ significantly, the data for the two groups were pooled (Fig. 1) giving a P value <0.001.

No correlation was shown in either group between the diastolic pressure and the summed S V1 and R V5/V6 voltage.
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There was no relation between the initial diastolic pressure and repolarization changes in either the infarct group or group I (P > 0.10). No correlation was found between the height of the R wave in V5 or V6 and the height of the T wave in these leads.

Changes in electrocardiographs after treatment of hypertension After treatment of hypertension there was a reduction in voltage in the praecordial leads in 74 per cent of patients in group I. Since there was a considerable variation in the initial summed praecordial voltage, the reduction which occurred has been expressed as percentage alteration in voltage. This measurement showed a significant correlation with the extent of systolic pressure reduction (P < 0.05). (Six patients who developed a bundle-branch block or who had voltage loss due to unequivocal myocardial infarction in the most recent electrocardiogram were excluded from the analysis.)

Seven patients (14%) in group I showed an increase in voltage between the pretreatment and latest electrocardiogram. In contrast, 10 patients (50%) in the infarct group showed an increase in voltage on the last electrocardiogram recorded before myocardial infarction. This difference is highly significant (P < 0.01). A further difference between the two groups was that blood pressure control was significantly worse in the infarct group average, where it was 186.5/109.1 than in group I, where it was 163.6/98.1 (P < 0.001 for both systolic and diastolic values). In view of the correlation found between initial praecordial voltage and the systolic pressure at first visit, it seemed possible that increasing voltage on the electrocardiogram was related to poor systolic pressure control. We therefore compared the summed voltage of S V1 and R V5/V6 in the most recent electrocardiogram with the average systolic pressure achieved and for both groups we have shown a highly significant correlation (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2).

In order to test this hypothesis further, a limited study was done on the last 15 patients who died of a cerebrovascular accident five or more years after starting hypotensive therapy. Systolic pressure was poorly controlled relative to patients in group I, mean = 193 ± 27.6 mmHg and the praecordial voltage increased in 5 patients. These findings do not differ significantly from those in the infarct group. An analysis in all three groups by the Mantel-Haenszel formula for combination of relative risk (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) showed that the risk of developing increasing praecordial voltage when the average systolic...
pressure was greater than 180 mmHg was 5.43 times the risk where the average systolic pressure was under 180 mmHg.

In group 1 increase in T wave voltage and a reversal of previously inverted T waves was common after treatment but could not be correlated with the degree of pressure control. The improvement in amplitude of the T wave reflected the degree of initial abnormality, highly abnormal waves showing the greatest change (P < 0.001). There was, however, a correlation between the level of systolic pressure (P < 0.05) but not diastolic pressure and the depth of ST segment depression in the most recent electrocardiogram.

In the infarct group there was also a correlation between the level of systolic pressure and the depth of ST segment depression after treatment (P < 0.05). T wave inversion became more pronounced in several patients but was not related to either systolic or diastolic pressure regulation.

Discussion

The major electrocardiographic abnormalities which arise as a result of hypertension are high voltage, left axis deviation, and changes in the ST segment and T waves. Several workers have defined voltage criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy, including Sokolow and Lyon (1949). Though these criteria lack specificity (Allenstein and Mori, 1960) and may be affected by age, race, posture, and thickness of the chest wall, they are simple to derive and highly sensitive. Despite these limitations, we have shown a striking correlation between praecordial voltage and systolic pressure at the initial examination and, more significantly, after five years of hypotensive therapy.

Without treatment, the majority of hypertensive patients develop increasing voltage on the electrocardiogram (Master, 1930; Cosby, Herman, and Mayo, 1962) and repolarization changes. Canabal, Warneford-Thomson, and White (1945) found that over the course of five years 50 per cent deteriorated, 40 per cent remained unchanged, and 10 per cent showed questionable improvement. In contrast, after lumbodorsal sympathectomy White et al. (1945) found that only 12.7 per cent showed a deterioration, 29.8 per cent remained unchanged, and 57.5 per cent of the cardiograms improved. Subsequently, Doyle (1953) found a reduction in QRS amplitude in 49 of 75 (65%) patients treated with methionium compounds for one year. In our series of 50 patients who currently attend the clinic, only 7 (14%) showed an increase in praecordial voltage over five years and 37 (74%) showed a decrease in voltage. In contrast, in those who developed myocardial infarction there was a higher incidence of voltage changes at presentation, and after treatment 50 per cent had increased QRS amplitude. Systolic pressure control was significantly worse in the infarct group, and there was a good correlation between it and praecordial voltage. In view of this and the high ratio of relative risk shown by the Mantel-Haenszel analysis, poor pressure control was the probable cause of the increasing QRS amplitude. It is also possible that poor pressure control was a major factor in the occurrence of fatal myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents.

ST segment and T wave abnormalities are common in the electrocardiograms of hypertensive patients (Barnes and Whitten, 1929; Evans, Mathews, and White, 1945), but their significance has been debated since Linetzky's (1911) statement that there was a correlation between them and the level of systolic pressure. A recent study by Hamer, Shinebourne, and Fleming (1969) in 17 patients supports this belief. Our observations to some extent confirm Linetzky's findings in that we have shown a correlation between the height of systolic pressure and the depth of ST depression in group 1 patients both before and after treatment and in the infarct group after treatment. However, we failed to show any relation between measurements of the T wave and the level of pressure. An alternative explanation considered by Leishman (1951) is that repolarization changes reflect myocardial ischaemia. Such abnormalities are more common over the age of 50 (Simpson, 1960) and carry a poor prognosis (Sokolow and Perloff, 1961; Blackburn, Taylor, and Keys, 1970). However, in our patients the incidence of repolarization changes was almost identical in those with and without a history of ischaemic cardiac pain in group 1 and those in the infarct group. It therefore appears that hypertension was a more important cause of repolarization changes in these patients than myocardial ischaemia.

Since major electrocardiographic abnormalities are common in hypertensive patients, it has not proved possible to predict a future myocardial infarction on this basis. However, assessment of summed S V1 and R maximum V5/V6 is a useful index of systolic pressure control, an increase in this measurement after several years of hypotensive therapy suggesting suboptimal pressure regulation.
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