Cervical aortic arch and a new type of double aortic arch
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A case of cervical aortic arch is reported. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first to be associated with a serious intracardiac anomaly. In addition, it is part of a new type of double aortic arch, caused by failure of reabsorption of both dorsal aortic roots and persistence of the fourth right and second (or third) left branchial arches.

Cervical aortic arch is a rare anomaly. A review of world publications shows only 17 reported cases (Beavan and Fatti, 1947; Bender, Mengers, and Shulze, 1964; Chang et al., 1971; Defrenne and Verney, 1968; De Jong and Klinkhamer, 1969; Dubrow et al., 1974; Gravier, Vialtel, and Pinet, 1959; Harley, 1959; Hastreiter, D'Cruz, and Cantez 1966; Mahoney and Manning, 1964; Massumi, Wiener, and Charif, 1963; Mullins, Gillette, and McNamara, 1973; Pitzus and Camoglio, 1974; Richie et al., 1972; Shuford, Kerth, and Rosenthal, 1969; Shuford et al., 1972). Right-sided in the majority of instances, it has been found only as an isolated entity. The purpose of this article is to describe a case associated with pseudotruncus and which constitutes a new type of asymptomatic double aortic arch.

Case report

A 33-month-old undernourished male child, cyanotic since the age of 1 month, with a history of several upper respiratory infections was admitted for evaluation. Pertinent physical findings were a systolic thrill over the left supraclavicular region and a superficial venous network over face, neck, and upper trunk. Peripheral pulses were normal. Laboratory studies were within normal limits except for haematocrit (63%) and haemoglobin (17.3 g/100 ml). Electrocardiogram showed obvious right axis deviation, right atrial overload, pronounced right ventricular hypertrophy, and systolic overload. Phonocardiogram showed a modest systolic murmur at the second and third interspaces and an increased second heart sound. On chest x-ray film the heart was slightly enlarged; the apex was rounded and tilted upward. The pulmonary artery segment was concave and the hilar and pulmonary vascular markings were decreased. In the left anterior oblique projection the aortic knob was unusually high and prominent. Lungs were clear. At cardiac catheterization very low oxygen saturation values were found both in the venous (34.8% in right atrium) and arterial systems (38.9% in ascending aorta). The pulmonary artery could not be entered. The right ventricular pressure was 85/3–5 mmHg (11.3/0.4–0.7 kPa).

Cineangiocardiogram

The right infundibulum was atretic. A dilated ascending aorta overrode a large ventricular septal defect. The aortic arch was right-sided. The first aortic branch crossed the midline, ascended to the left side of the neck, then turned abruptly downward and rejoined the aorta at the level of the right-sided arch. The second and third branches were, respectively, the right subclavian and right common carotid arteries. The left brachiocephalic arteries could not be properly assessed, but the left common carotid artery seemed to arise from the descending portion of the left cervical arch. A hypoplastic main pulmonary artery was supplied by a small persistent ductus arteriosus which originated from the
FIG. Artist's representation showing the double aortic arch; left common carotid artery (LCCA); right common carotid artery (RCCA); right subclavian artery (RSA); pulmonary artery (PA); persistent ductus arteriosus (PDA).
posterior portion of the cervical aortic arch. The thoracic aorta descended obliquely to the right of the spine and crossed the midline at the level of the diaphragm. Diagnosis was: pseudotruncus, hypoplastic pulmonary artery and branches supplied by a left persistent ductus arteriosus, right aortic arch, and left cervical aortic arch (Fig.). The child was discharged and no treatment was planned.

**Discussion**

Double aortic arch and cervical aortic arch are developmental defects of the primordial branchial arches.

**Double aortic arch**

As a rule, the double aortic arch results from persistence of both right and left fourth branchial arches. Usually the arches are at the same level, the right one being, at most, slightly higher. In our case the arches are at different levels. According to Edward’s hypothetical double aortic arch (Stewart, Kinkaid, and Edwards, 1964), the malformation can be explained by failure of reabsorption of both dorsal aortic roots and persistence of the fourth right and second (or third) left branchial arches. The vascular ring results from the following structures: on the right, the ascending aorta proper and the right arch; anteriorly, the ascending portion of the cervical arch; on the left and posteriorly, the left cervical arch connecting with the right-sided thoracic aorta. The small persistent ductus arteriosus has no bearing in the formation of the ring.

Double aortic arch is usually suspected because of symptoms related to compression upon trachea and/or oesophagus. Our case had no symptoms because the ring was not encroaching upon these structures. In the majority of cases the diagnosis is easily made with angiography.

**Cervical aortic arch**

The origin of cervical aortic arch is still controversial. One theory (Lewis and Rogers, 1953) holds that it is caused by retention of the fourth branchial arch at the cervical level. According to another theory (Beavan and Fatti, 1947; Harley, 1959; Lewis and Rogers, 1953; Mahoney and Manning, 1964) it derives directly from the third or second branchial arch. The controversy has been extensively explored by Shuford et al. (1972), who have shown that both hypotheses are equally valid. The first theory cannot explain the coexistence of a right thoracic arch with a left cervical arch in our case. One would have to assume a normal descent of the fourth arch on the right and a retention in the cervical region of the same arch on the left. A differential origin of the two arches, the right from the fourth and the left from the third (or second arch) is more likely.

The cervical aortic arch is usually asymptomatic, but symptoms related to compression of vital structures, such as stridor, dysphagia, and frequent upper respiratory infections can be encountered. In a patient with a pulsating cervical mass the correct diagnosis can be suspected clinically and further substantiated by the disappearance of femoral pulses upon compression of the mass. A chest film may show a prominence or an abnormal knob on the left (or right) superior mediastinum. A mediastinal widening can be the only radiological finding. In some cases, however, the trachea is slightly displaced and compressed; in others, a barium study might show a posterolateral filling defect in the oesophagus, very similar to that occurring with an aberrant subclavian artery. Radiologically the differential diagnosis includes aortic diverticulum, aneurysmal dilatation of the aortic arch and/or branches, neurinoma, goitre, pulsion oesophageal diverticulum, buckling of the innominate artery, upper lobe atelectasis, dilatation of the superior vena cava, and aberrant right subclavian artery. Only angiography makes diagnosis possible. The contrast medium should be injected into the left ventricle or above the aortic valve. The ascending aorta, elongated and usually of normal size, reaches the supraclavicular region whence it turns abruptly downward. The aortic branches may be irregular in their distribution, but follow a well-defined embryological pattern. The descending aorta crosses the midline if the thoracic aorta is controlateral to the cervical arch.

Typically, the overall appearance has been compared to a hair-pin loop (Shuford et al., 1972).
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