Effect of additional valve lesions on left ventricular ejection time in aortic stenosis
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Rate-corrected left ventricular ejection time was measured from the aortic pressure tracings of 171 catheterised patients with aortic valve area ≤1.2 cm². In 50 patients with pure aortic stenosis, left ventricular ejection time increased with decreasing valve area and was significantly higher (468 ± 5 ms, mean ± SEM) than in 13 normal subjects (435 ± 5 ms). Additional aortic regurgitation in 72 patients further increased the left ventricular ejection time to 484 ± 4 ms.

Significant mitral stenosis (mitral valve area ≤1.2 cm²) in 6 patients with aortic stenosis and 33 patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation reduced the left ventricular ejection time to normal. Similarly, severe mitral regurgitation in 3 patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation reduced left ventricular ejection time to normal, though slight or moderate mitral regurgitation in 7 of these patients did not.

These data show that the prolonged left ventricular ejection time in aortic valve disease may be restored to normal in the presence of coexisting significant mitral disease.

Prolongation of the rate-corrected left ventricular ejection time is associated with significant aortic stenosis or aortic regurgitation (Katz and Feil, 1925; Benchimol et al., 1960; Parisi et al., 1971; Bonner et al., 1973; Bache et al., 1973). Though depressed left ventricular function of ischaemic or myopathic aetiology generally shortens the left ventricular ejection time (Weissler et al., 1961; Weissler et al., 1968, 1969; Heikkila et al., 1971; Pouget et al., 1971; McConahay et al., 1972), it has recently been shown that congestive failure secondary to aortic stenosis can be distinguished from these by persistence of prolongation of left ventricular ejection time (Bonner and Tavel, 1973). Though it is widely appreciated in clinical practice that mitral valvular disease may alter the pulse contour expected in aortic disease, no quantitative study to emphasise and document this effect is available other than the partial data presented by Epstein and Coulshed (1964). Since additional valve lesions commonly exist in the presence of important aortic stenosis, and since mitral valve lesions may be associated with left ventricular ejection time shortening (Benchimol et al., 1960; Moskowitz and Wechsler, 1965; Elkins et al., 1967; Tavel et al., 1972), the present study was designed to quantify the effect of additional lesions on the prolonged left ventricular ejection time of aortic stenosis.

Methods

Left ventricular ejection time was measured from the central aortic pulse tracing obtained at cardiac catheterisation in 171 patients with calculated aortic valve areas of 1.2 cm² or less, with clear identification of onset of ejection and incisura. Left ventricular ejection time in ms was measured from the onset of ejection to the incisura and an average value was determined for 4 cycles. Rate correction was performed according to the regression data of Weissler et al. (1969) for patients in sinus rhythm; for men, left ventricular ejection time = measured ejection time + 1.7 × heart rate. For patients with atrial fibrillation, an average value was calculated for cycles of similar length and the average heart rate of these cycles was used for rate correction.

Of the 171 patients, 50 had pure aortic stenosis with valve areas calculated from the Gorlin formula (Gorlin and Gorlin, 1951) (constant = 44.5) from 0.3 cm² to 1.2 cm². Rate corrected left ventricular ejection time was compared with calculated orifice size. Mean values of left ventricular ejection time
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for valve areas between 0.3 cm² and 0.8 cm² ('severe' aortic stenosis), between 0.9 cm² and 1.2 cm² ('moderately severe' aortic stenosis), and for the total group were calculated.

Seventy-two patients had varying degrees of additional aortic regurgitation. This was classified as slight if the aortic root injection disclosed regurgitation of contrast that was cleared from the left ventricle during several beats. Moderate regurgitation was defined as progressive opacification of the ventricle following root injection. Severe regurgitation was defined as ventricular opacification during the one or two beats after root injection. Mean left ventricular ejection time values were calculated for the total aortic stenosis and regurgitation group and also for subgroups arranged according to the degree of aortic stenosis and the amount of aortic regurgitation.

Additional mitral stenosis, with valve areas less than 1.2 cm² calculated from the Gorlin formula, was found in 3 patients with pure aortic stenosis and 12 patients with combined aortic stenosis and regurgitation. Three patients with aortic stenosis and mitral stenosis had additional slight or moderate mitral regurgitation, and 21 patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation and mitral stenosis had additional slight mitral regurgitation.

Slight mitral regurgitation was defined as regurgitation of contrast on left ventricular injection that failed to opacify the left atrium. Moderate mitral regurgitation was defined as progressive opacification of the atrium, and severe mitral regurgitation as opacification of the atrium over one or two beats. Seven patients had slight or moderate mitral regurgitation in addition to aortic stenosis and regurgitation. Only 3 patients had severe mitral regurgitation in addition to aortic stenosis and regurgitation, and these had additional slight mitral stenosis, with valve areas greater than 1.2 cm². There were no patients with significant aortic stenosis and additional pure severe mitral regurgitation.

For comparison, mean left ventricular ejection time was calculated for 13 subjects with normal values and ventricular function, 19 patients with pure isolated mitral stenosis, and 9 patients with pure mitral regurgitation.

Results

Patient grouping and results are outlined in the Table.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>LVET (ms ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>435 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis alone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis: total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>468 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately severe aortic stenosis (0.9–1.2)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>447 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic stenosis (≤0.8)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>474 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional aortic regurgitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and regurgitation total:</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>484 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic regurgitation: slight</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>478 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>459 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>435 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic regurgitation: moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>483 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>499 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic regurgitation: severe</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>485 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>487 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic stenosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>484 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional mitral stenosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and mitral stenosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>428 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic and mitral stenosis, slight, moderate mitral regurgitation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>425 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and regurgitation, and mitral stenosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>435 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and regurgitation and mitral stenosis, slight mitral regurgitation</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>437 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure mitral stenosis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>409 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional mitral regurgitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and regurgitation, slight, moderate regurgitation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>481 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic stenosis and regurgitation, severe mitral regurgitation, slight mitral stenosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>432 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure severe mitral regurgitation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>383 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AORTIC STENOSIS

In the group of patients with pure aortic stenosis, left ventricular ejection time tended to increase with decreasing valve area, as seen in Fig. 1. Regression data relating the variables, left ventricular ejection time, and valve area are shown in the graph. The line of best fit is given by the formula:

\[
LVET = 510 - 0.54 \times AVA + 0.32
\]

where AVA is the area of the aortic valve in cm². The correlation coefficient is 0.8, indicating a strong linear relationship. The equation is represented graphically in Fig. 1.
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Ejection time in aortic valve area + 510, showed wide scatter (r = 0.45). Patients with moderately severe aortic stenosis (valve area 0.9-1.2 cm²) had a mean left ventricular ejection time of 447 ± 6 ms, higher than mean normal, but not significantly (P < 0.10). Patients with severe aortic stenosis (valve area 0-8 cm²) had a mean left ventricular ejection time of 474 ± 6 ms, significantly higher than both the normal group and less severe aortic stenosis subgroup (P < 0.001). The mean left ventricular ejection time for the entire aortic stenosis group of 468 ± 5 ms was significantly higher than normal (P < 0.005) (Fig. 2).

**ADDITIONAL AORTIC REGURGITATION**

The effect of varying degrees of aortic regurgitation on the left ventricular ejection time in 72 patients with aortic stenosis is shown in Fig. 3. For any calculated valve area, additional aortic regurgitation further increased the left ventricular ejection time. With slight aortic regurgitation, left ventricular ejection time for patients with aortic valve area ≤1-2 cm² rose to 478 ± 6 ms (P < 0.05). The increase with moderate aortic regurgitation was more pronounced, with a mean left ventricular ejection time of 493 ± 7 ms (P < 0.005). With severe aortic regurgitation, the mean left ventricular ejection time of 485 ± 13 ms was not significantly higher than the pure aortic stenosis group (P < 0.10), and was slightly lower than the moderate aortic regurgitation subgroup. For all patients with aortic regurgitation in addition to aortic stenosis, the left ventricular ejection time of 484 ± 4 ms was significantly greater than both the normal (P < 0.001) and pure aortic stenosis (P < 0.01) groups.

**ADDITIONAL MITRAL STENOSIS**

The effect of mitr al stenosis on the prolongation of left ventricular ejection time in aortic valve disease is shown in Fig. 4. In 19 patients with pure mitral stenosis (valve area <1-2 cm²) and no aortic disease, the left ventricular ejection time of 409 ± 17 ms was significantly lower than the normal, pure aortic stenosis, and aortic stenosis and regurgitation group means (P < 0.001). With additional important
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**Fig. 2** Mean left ventricular ejection time (LVET) ± SEM for subgroups of patients with varying severity of pure aortic stenosis (AS). The mean value for the total group is significantly higher than normal.
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**Fig. 3** Effect of varying additional degrees of aortic regurgitation (AR) on the left ventricular ejection time in aortic stenosis (AS). The mean value for the total aortic stenosis-aortic regurgitation (AS-AR) group is significantly higher than both the normal and total aortic stenosis groups.
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**Fig. 4** Effect of additional significant mitral stenosis (MS) on the left ventricular ejection time (LVET). Normalisation of left ventricular ejection time results when mitral stenosis coincides with aortic stenosis (AS) or with combined aortic stenosis and regurgitation (AS-AR). Sl = slight; Mod = moderate.
mitral stenosis, the mean left ventricular ejection time in 3 patients with aortic stenosis of 428 ± 8 ms was significantly lower than the left ventricular ejection time in the pure aortic stenosis group (P < 0.05), and not significantly different from normal. In 3 patients with slight or moderate mitral regurgitation in addition to mitral stenosis and aortic stenosis, the mean left ventricular ejection time of 425 ± 11 ms was no different from the mitral stenosis and aortic stenosis group and again not different from normal. Similarly, in 12 patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation additional important mitral stenosis lowered the mean left ventricular ejection time to 435 ± 7 ms, a value significantly different from the pure aortic stenosis and mitral regurgitation group (P < 0.001) and identical to normal. In 21 patients with slight mitral regurgitation in addition to mitral stenosis, aortic stenosis, and regurgitation, the mean left ventricular ejection time of 437 ± 10 ms was not significantly different from the mean left ventricular ejection time value of the important lesions together or from normal.

ADDITIONAL MITRAL REGURGITATION

The effect of additional mitral regurgitation on left ventricular ejection time prolongation in aortic valve disease is shown in Fig. 5. In 9 patients with pure severe mitral regurgitation and no aortic valve disease, the mean left ventricular ejection time of 383 ± 10 ms was significantly lower than normal, pure aortic stenosis, and aortic stenosis and regurgitation group means (P < 0.001). Additional slight or moderate mitral regurgitation in 7 patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation insignificantly lowered the mean left ventricular ejection time to 481 ± 8 ms compared with the pure aortic stenosis and regurgitation group, and this value remained significantly higher than normal (P < 0.001). In 3 patients with severe mitral regurgitation, however, who had additional slight mitral stenosis (valve area > 1.2 cm²) and aortic stenosis and regurgitation, the mean left ventricular ejection time of 432 ± 10 ms was significantly lower than the pure aortic stenosis and regurgitation group (P < 0.01) but not significantly different from normal.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that significant mitral stenosis and severe mitral regurgitation may return the left ventricular ejection time to normal in patients with aortic stenosis. Previous work has emphasized the clinical usefulness of left ventricular ejection time prolongation caused by outflow obstruction or high stroke volume in identifying patients with aortic stenosis or aortic regurgitation (Katz and Feil, 1925; Benchimol et al., 1960; Parisi et al., 1971; Bache et al., 1973; Bonner et al., 1973). It has also been shown that this prolongation is maintained in the presence of left ventricular failure caused by aortic stenosis (Bonner and Tavel, 1973), allowing separation of this important group to be made from patients with ventricular dysfunction of ischaemic, myopathic, and hypertensive aetiologies in which left ventricular ejection time is shortened (Weissler et al., 1961; Weissler et al., 1968, 1969; Heikkilä et al., 1971; Pouget et al., 1971; McConahay et al., 1972).

Pure mitral stenosis is usually associated with a shortened left ventricular ejection time (Benchimol et al., 1960; Moskowitz and Wechsler, 1965; Tavel et al., 1972), depending in part on preceding cycle length for patients in atrial fibrillation (Kligfield, 1974), since left ventricular filling and subsequent ejection are limited by inflow obstruction and diastolic filling time. This was seen in our 19 patients with pure mitral stenosis.

In patients with both aortic and mitral lesions, our data confirm and extend the work of Epstein and Coulshed (1964), who showed progressive shortening of ejection time in aortic stenosis with increasing severity of mitral stenosis. However, the degree of aortic stenosis in their patients was not specified, and ejection times were corrected for heart rate by the Bazett formula rather than from regression data. In our patients, significant mitral stenosis...
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reduced the left ventricular ejection time to normal in patients with aortic stenosis or combined aortic stenosis and regurgitation.

Pure severe mitral regurgitation is generally associated with a shortened left ventricular ejection time (Moskowitz and Wechsler, 1965; Elkins et al., 1967), as seen in our 9 cases. With this lesion, abbreviation of ejection results from failure of the left ventricle to maintain forward flow during late systole (Elkins et al., 1967). Other studies have reported normal duration of ejection in mitral regurgitation (Wiggers and Feil, 1921–1922; Nixon and Wagner, 1962), or were not rate corrected (Nixon and Wagner, 1962). It would be expected that lesser degrees of regurgitation would have less shortening effect on the left ventricular ejection time. This would account for the persistence of left ventricular ejection time prolongation in patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation with only slight or moderate mitral regurgitation in this series compared with shortening to normal with additional severe regurgitation. In patients with combined aortic and mitral lesions (both mitral stenosis and regurgitation) the reduction of left ventricular ejection time to normal was not the result of left ventricular failure; there was no correlation between left ventricular ejection time and left ventricular end-diastolic pressure in patients with combined valvular lesions.

Use of the Gorlin formula tends to underestimate aortic valve area in the presence of aortic regurgitation, since calculated forward flow is less than the true cardiac output. Because of this, the degree of aortic stenosis in the patient groups with increasing regurgitation is correspondingly less than calculated. Milder stenosis may, therefore, account for the slight decrease in mean left ventricular ejection time in the aortic stenosis–severe aortic regurgitation group compared with the aortic stenosis–moderate regurgitation group. Alternatively, depressed ventricular function in the patients with severe aortic regurgitation may shorten the left ventricular ejection time, though there was no correlation between left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and left ventricular ejection time or between left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and aortic valve area in the 10 patients with aortic stenosis and severe aortic regurgitation. Whatever the mechanism, however, the difference from normal left ventricular ejection time at all levels of additional aortic regurgitation remains highly significant.

The effect of additional valve lesions on the duration of ejection in aortic stenosis therefore appears to be additive. Since mitral and aortic valve lesions frequently coexist, it is important to recognise that significant mitral disease can mask left ventricular ejection time prolongation, and conversely, that a normal left ventricular ejection time does not exclude severe mixed aortic and mitral disease. This is consistent with the well-recognised obscuring of physical signs that occurs in combined valvular disease (Katznelson et al., 1960; Honey, 1961; Morrow et al., 1962; Reid et al., 1962; Zitnik et al., 1965).

The duration of ejection measured from the central aortic pressure pulse has been shown to correlate closely with the left ventricular ejection time obtained non-invasively from external carotid pulse recording (Weissler et al., 1961; Martin et al., 1971). These results are, therefore, applicable to interpretation of standard systolic time intervals as popularised by Weissler et al. (1961, 1968). The regression data of Weissler et al. (1969) were used for rate correction in our patients, since these equations have become the standard for most investigators. Independently derived regression data for rate correction in our patients and in other series differ slightly from the equation used, but use of such data did not change the significance of the results presented. Though the direction of changes reported in this study is valid, each laboratory must establish its own range of normal before comparisons can be made.

It must also be appreciated that while valvular dysfunction affects the duration of ejection, independent variations of preload, contractile state, and afterload may alter left ventricular ejection time (Braunwald et al., 1958; Weissler et al., 1961; Heikkilä et al., 1971; Martin et al., 1971). This probably accounts for the wide range of values seen within each subgroup studied, so that even where mean values between groups are highly significantly different, some overlap of individual patient values generally occurs. This effect is well seen in the comparison of left ventricular ejection time and calculated valve area for patients with pure aortic stenosis. Only at valve areas below 0.6 cm² do the majority of left ventricular ejection time values not overlap the normal range, even though the mean left ventricular ejection time associated with valve areas below 0.9 cm² is highly significantly different from normal.

The poor correlation (r = 0.45) between left ventricular ejection time and calculated aortic valve orifice area is similar to that found by Bache et al. (1973), though these workers were able to improve prediction of valve area with prolongation of ejection above a value obtained from stroke volume regression data. In the past, accurate stroke volume determination generally required intravascular measurement, so this correlation was of limited clinical usefulness. As noninvasive determination of
stroke volume improves, for instance using ultrasound or radionuclide imaging, addition of stroke volume regression equations to carotid pulse left ventricular ejection time measurements may greatly improve indirect estimation of aortic valve orifice area.
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