The normal electrocardiogram as a predictor of left ventricular function in patients with coronary artery disease
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Fifty-five consecutive patients with a normal resting electrocardiogram and coronary artery disease were examined to determine left ventricular function. Fifty-two (95%) had no evidence of left ventricular asynergy; 3 patients had only mild hypokinesis. Of this group of patients, 25 (47%) had one vessel disease, 17 (30%) had two vessel disease, and 13 (23%) had three vessel disease.

Significant left ventricular asynergy was not found in patients with coronary artery disease and normal electrocardiograms. In addition, a normal electrocardiogram was not related to the number of coronary arteries involved.

Left ventricular function is one of the important factors in the prognosis of patients with coronary artery disease. Patients with normal haemodynamic states and normal ventriculograms have a far better prognosis than those with abnormal haemodynamic states and abnormal ventriculograms (Bruschke et al., 1973; Burggraf and Parker, 1975). The assessment of left ventricular function is often made from non-invasive methods such as the chest x-ray, electrocardiogram, vectorcardiogram, systolic time intervals (Weissler et al., 1972), echocardiogram (Jacobs et al., 1973), and B-ultrasonography of the heart (Teichholz et al., 1973).

The value of pathological Q waves in the electrocardiogram as predictors of the presence, site, and severity of left ventricular asynergy in the majority of patients is accepted by most investigators (Proudfoot et al., 1966; Borda et al., 1970; Welch et al., 1970; Hilsenrath et al., 1972; Williams et al., 1973; Miller et al., 1974; Bodenheimer et al., 1975). Furthermore, the presence of Q waves makes reversibility of left ventricular asynergy unlikely by nitroglycerin administration (Helfant et al., 1974; Banka et al., 1974) or by myocardial revascularization (Chatterjee et al., 1973).

However, the value of a normal electrocardiogram in predicting normal left ventricular function in patients with coronary artery disease particularly has not been evaluated. Because of its pronounced clinical and epidemiological significance, a study was designed to test its validity.

Subjects and methods

A consecutive retrospective review of patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease and normal resting electrocardiograms was made in 55 subjects. A normal resting 12 lead electrocardiogram was defined by the conventional criteria (Simonson, 1961), in the absence of pathological Q waves, hypertrophy patterns, conduction abnormalities or abnormalities of repolarisation (e.g. non-specific ST-T wave changes). The electrocardiograms were analysed by three different cardiologists and accepted only if they were considered normal by all observers. Patients with valvular heart disease and cardiomyopathies were excluded.

Selective left and right coronary angiography was accomplished by the Sones or Judkins technique. Coronary artery disease was defined as the presence of one, two, or three coronary arteries with at least 70% per cent obstruction of their lumen.

Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was recorded in the resting state. Left ventriculography was performed with the patient in a 30° right anterior oblique projection. A frame-by-frame motion analysis (Herman et al., 1967) was carried out, and the ventricle was divided into inferior, apical, and anterior segments. The size, shape, and
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Fig. 1  Left ventricular function in patients with coronary artery disease and normal electrocardiogram. n = number of patients.

contractility pattern was noted, and the presence or absence of asynergy was identified. Ejection fractions were calculated from the Dodge formula (Sandler and Dodge, 1968).

Results

Fifty-five consecutive patients with normal electrocardiograms and angiographically-proved coronary artery disease constitute the group of this analysis. There were 52 men, and 3 women with a mean age of 49 years (range: 34 to 63). Of this group of patients, all were symptomatic of angina pectoris for periods of 6 months to 12 years. Twenty-nine were classified by cardiac status in class II by the criteria of the New York Heart Association, 20 in class III, and 6 in class IV.

Fifty-two patients (95%) with normal resting electrocardiograms and significant coronary artery disease had no evidence of left ventricular asynergy and their ventriculogram was considered normal (Fig. 1). One patient had mild hypokinesis involving the apical segment, and 2 patients had mild hypokinesis of the inferior aspect of the left ventricle. No patient had severe hypokinesis, akinosis, or dyskinesis.

The ejection fractions were normal for the entire group. Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure was above 12 mmHg in 12 of 55 patients. It was normal in the 3 patients with mild asynergy.

In this group of patients with coronary artery disease and normal electrocardiograms, 25 (47%) had single vessel disease and 17 (30%) had double vessel disease (Fig. 2). Though 47 per cent had single vessel disease, 53 per cent had significant double and triple vessel disease in the presence of a normal electrocardiogram. Of the 3 patients with mild hypokinesis, 2 had triple vessel disease and 1 had double vessel disease.

Discussion

Our data indicate that a normal electrocardiogram in the evaluation of the patient with angina pectoris predicts with a high degree of reliability normal left ventricular contractility without asynergic segments. Significant left ventricular asynergy was not found in this group of 55 patients. Ninety-five per cent of these patients had normal ventricular contraction, while 5 per cent showed only mild hypokinesis. At the same time, a normal electrocardiogram had no correlation with the presence or degree of coronary artery disease present. Other investigators (Likoff et al., 1975; Bjork et al., 1967; Martinez-Rios et al., 1970) have clearly shown that significant coronary artery disease may exist in the presence of a normal resting electrocardiogram. Even patients with significant left main coronary artery stenosis may have a normal resting electrocardiogram (Cohen et al., 1972). The absence of electrocardiographic signs of ischaemia could be related to the presence of adequate blood supply to the affected myocardium at rest (Martinez-Rios, et al., 1970) or to inadequate sensitivity of the standard electrocardiogram to detect early stages of myocardial ischaemia.

Recently, other groups (Hilsenrath et al., 1975; Gottlieb et al., 1975) have evaluated the relation of left ventricular contraction pattern in patients with coronary artery disease with the electrocardiogram and vectorcardiogram. Of 230 patients (Hilsenrath et al., 1975), 53 had normal electrocardiograms and
vectorcardiograms. Of these, 38 (72%) had normal left ventricular contraction. Nine patients (17%) had anteroinferoposterior asynergy and 4 (7%) had isolated inferoposterior asynergy. In 2 patients, both infero-posterior as well as anteroinferoasymmetry was shown. In another study (Gottlieb et al., 1975), 71 to 78 percent of patients with equal or greater than 75 percent coronary occlusion and normal electrocardiograms had normal ventriculograms. The difference between these studies and ours can be attributed to the difference in the definition of a normal electrocardiogram. Our study excluded all those with ST-T wave changes, among which asynergy is a prevalent finding.

It is of note that a raised left ventricular end diastolic pressure was noted in 12 of the 55 patients having normal electrocardiograms and normal ventriculograms. This finding may best be explained by a decrease in the left ventricular diastolic compliance in these patients with coronary artery disease which may occur earlier in some patients than the wall motion abnormalities.

The presence of a normal electrocardiogram, and its correlation with a normal left ventriculogram is of special relevance in the clinical evaluation of the patient with coronary artery disease. Medical therapy of angina in these patients is associated with a better prognosis as compared with those patients with abnormal left ventriculogram (Bruschke, et al., 1973; Burggraf and Parker, 1975). This prognosis will depend mainly on the number of coronary arteries involved (Friesinger et al., 1970; Bruschke et al., 1973). Furthermore, if revascularization surgery proves to be effective in the preservation of jeopardized myocardium, this objective will be best accomplished in the patient with a normal left ventricle.

In conclusion, a normal electrocardiogram in patients with coronary artery disease is a most valuable predictor of a normal left ventricle just as an abnormal electrocardiogram is a reliable predictor of left ventricular asynergy.
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International Society of Cardiology

The Council on Epidemiology and Prevention of the International Society of Cardiology announces its 10th Ten Day International Teaching Seminar on Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Prevention, to be held in Ghana, 21 August to 2 September, 1977. Approximately 30 Fellows can be accepted. Final selection will be made by the Council's Seminar Committee. Nominees should be at the postdoctoral level, with some residency training or its equivalent, and be interested in cardiovascular epidemiology. Except in unusual circumstances, preference will be given to younger candidates, with little or no formal training in epidemiology. Limited funds may be available to give partial assistance with travel costs for accepted Fellows. Room and board are provided, without cost, to Fellows. Fluency in English is essential.

Three documents are required for application and should be sent at time of application: A letter of nomination submitted by chief of department or institution, a personal letter of application from the nominee, and the applicant's curriculum vitae. These should be received before the deadline for application, 1 May, 1977.

They should be sent to:
Jeremiah Stamler, M.D., Chairman, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, ISC, Northwestern University Medical School, Ward Building—Room 9–105 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611, U.S.A.