Eosinophilia and heart disease
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A wealth of literature testifies to the existence of an association between blood eosinophilia and cardiac disorder. Ever since Löffler described his two cases of ‘endocarditis parietalis fibroplastica’ with blood eosinophilia in 1936, this rare and dramatic clinical syndrome has excited attention (Brink and Weber, 1963; Jennings and Pengelly, 1968; Nagy et al., 1969; Cline, 1969; Gardner-Thorpe et al., 1971; Raizner et al., 1972; Rasche et al., 1973; Blair et al., 1974; Bell et al., 1976). Löffler’s eponym is much used, especially when the eosinophilia is prominent and its cause obscure but other names abound to describe both overtly similar and seemingly adjacent disorders (Smith and Furth, 1943; Ware and Chapman, 1947; Becker et al., 1953; Engfeldt and Zetterström, 1956; Odeberg, 1965)—are they really different or are they merely variants of the same basic disorder?

Pathological observations of the hearts of patients with prolonged eosinophilia have shown that both ventricles may be affected by a thrombotic endocarditis associated with eosinophilic infiltration, vasculitis, and myocardial necrosis (Brockington and Olsen, 1972; Olsen, 1975). Death may occur at this stage but more prolonged survival is followed by fibrous scarring and thickening of the endocardium, including the atrioventricular valves, and fibrous replacement of the inner myocardium. In severe cases organising mural thrombi may fill most of the cavities of the ventricles and embolism is common (Davies, 1960). The cardiac involvement may be associated with generalised eosinophilic infiltration involving other major organs (Hardy and Anderson, 1968; Shepherd et al., 1971; Zucker-Franklin, 1971). Some of these cases have had a pseudo-leukaemia without abnormal myelopoiesis (Bousser, 1957) and others a genuine leukaemia, either eosinophilic or associated with eosinophilia (Bentley et al., 1961; Yam et al., 1972; Blatt et al., 1974). Cardiac disorders may also develop with eosinophilia in status asthmaticus (Lennox, 1948), polyarteritis nodosa (Goodwin and Oakley, 1969), sensitivity to antituberculous drugs (Gardiol and Picht, 1957), and tropical eosinophilia associated with chronic infestations including filariasis (Vakil, 1961; Johny and Ananthachari, 1965). Chusid and colleagues (1975) found that at least 95 per cent of patients with a hypereosinophilic syndrome recorded in the published reports had clinical or necropsy evidence of myocardial disease.

Tropical endomyocardial fibrosis was first described from Uganda by Davies in 1948 after a clinical report by Bedford and Konstam (1946), and numerous further reports soon followed both from Davies and others in Uganda (Ball et al., 1954; Davies, 1960) and also from West Africa (Abrahams, 1962). The characteristic sites of the endomyocardial lesions were well emphasised in these early studies. In the left ventricle the lesion, which is a scar, is strictly confined to the inflow tract. It may run from the posterior mitral leaflet to the apex where it ends abruptly in a distinctive ridge a short way up the septal wall (Davies, 1968) so sparing the anterior mitral leaflet, vestibule, and aortic valve entirely. The damage is often less extensive than this and may pick out either the apex or the region of the posterior leaflet. On the right side the process may be more massive and obliterate most of the right ventricle, but it still usually spares the infundibulum and pulmonary valve. The peculiar limitation of the left ventricular lesion may reflect the sites of maximum vortex impact on the walls of the filling ventricle (Bellhouse and Bellhouse, 1969) and suggests that the damaging agent is carried in the blood stream. The earliest manifestations of the pathological process within the heart are not well known. In Ibadan, Parry and Abrahams (1965) stressed the general constitutional illness which seemed to initiate the clinical cardiac disorder, and in the early fatal cases they found a
freshly fibrosing endocardium, active fibroblasts, engorged small blood vessels, and chronic inflammatory cells in the myocardium with areas of myofibre necrosis. Eosinophils were not mentioned but, as they noted in their paper, this initial illness had been spoken of also by French, Belgian, and Nigerian workers who had observed similar types of heart disease in West Africa and the Congo, which they had referred to as Löffler's disease or identified as a fibrilar cardiomyopathy. These patients had had an eosinophilia at some stage. While in tropical Africa an early constitutional illness with fever had been prominent in the Englishman with typical endomyocardial fibrosis reported by Brockington et al. in 1967.

In 1967, Iye et al. linked the geographical incidence of endomyocardial fibrosis in Nigeria with filariasis (and consequent eosinophilia) in an epidemiological study which showed that most patients came from forest areas where filariasis was common, and fell ill during the rainy season. Though their survey showed these similarities it failed to establish a cause and effect relation in Nigeria, and in Uganda the theory failed because in Uganda filariasis and endomyocardial fibrosis were not regionally associated at all.

The idea that a cardiac eosinophilia might have a pathogenetic role in African endomyocardial fibrosis came with the publication by Roberts of two cases of 'eosinophilic leukaemia' with mural endocardial sclerosis and superimposed thrombus, thrombotic valvular endocarditis, myocardial scarring, and generalised organ involvement. They predicated a spectrum of disease running from tropical eosinophilia to Löffler's disease with survival to develop Ugandan-type endomyocardial fibrosis as the end result of the endomyocardial eosinophilia (Roberts et al., 1969, 1970). The next year, Brockington and associates (1970) described a further case of 'eosinophilic leukaemia' with endomyocardial fibrosis and thrombosis of the left ventricle. They considered that the cardiac lesion might have matured to typical endomyocardial fibrosis had the patient survived longer. Subsequently, fully developed typical endomyocardial fibrosis either with coexisting eosinophilia or in its absence has been described both from the U.S.A. (Libanoff and McMahon, 1976) and from this country (Faruque, 1963; Chew et al., 1977). In this issue the Ugandan school report that their patients with established endomyocardial fibrosis have not shown abnormally high eosinophil counts but this observation surely cannot help elucidate whether or not the cardiac damage had been associated with an eosinophilia at its onset (Patel et al., 1977).

In 1973, Brockington and Olsen compared 30 cases of Löffler's endocarditis with 6 non-tropical cases without eosinophilia and 26 cases of endomyocardial fibrosis from Nigeria, Uganda, Brazil, and Europe. They showed that the fibrous stage of Löffler's disease and endomyocardial fibrosis were indistinguishable on histological grounds.

In South Africa, Becker and his colleagues (1953) recognised that a similar clinicopathological picture to Löffler's disease could exist in the absence of eosinophilia and that if this feature were regarded as a variable phenomenon then many of the cases published under a variety of terms might all belong in the same category.

As would be necessary for a unitarian theory, endomyocardial fibrosis is now being recognised in Europeans who have never visited the tropics. Eosinophilia may be transient in Löffler's disease (Weiss-Carmine, 1957) and is inconstant in African patients with developed endomyocardial fibrosis. Since infestation with eosinophilia is much commoner in Africans than in Europeans this could account for the apparent differences in incidence between endomyocardial fibrosis, a rare disorder of the tropics, and Löffler's disease, an exceedingly rare disorder in Europe. Important circumstantial evidence is provided by non-tropical patients such as Libanoff and McMahon's and Chew et al.'s cases who had both typical endomyocardial fibrosis and persisting eosinophilia.

Löffler's disease with eosinophilia may present with a grave constitutional illness and often the severe right-sided failure conceals the signs of left-sided involvement until embolism occurs. If constrictive pericarditis is suspected the presence of a murmur of mitral regurgitation (which is often early to mid-systolic rather than pansystolic) should betray the endocardial site of the disorder. Apical systolic murmurs were present in 20 of 40 cases reviewed by Brink and Weber (1963).

The unitarian theory remains unproven. In endomyocardial fibrosis the scarring is usually limited to the left ventricular inflow tract while in many cases of Löffler's disease, the whole cavity may be involved and there may be a valvulitis with vegetations. But if endomyocardial fibrosis represents a milder form of Löffler's disease because it has permitted survival to the stage of scarring, surely a more restricted lesion is not unexpected? Another difficulty which was re-emphasised recently by Bell et al. (1976) is the generalised arteritis which effects many organs in Löffler's disease while endomyocardial fibrosis primarily affects the heart, but since the eosinophilia may no longer persist at the stage of endomyocardial fibrosis, the general arteritis may disappear too if they had, as we suppose, been connected. A generalised arteritis may indeed never
have existed in the milder forms recognised by Hardy and Anderson (1968) and only those are likely to live to become chronic survivors. A further point in favour of the unitarian view is the high incidence of intracardiac thrombosis and embolism both in Löffler’s disease with eosinophilia and in the disease which Becker described without eosinophilia. While embolism does occur in endomyocardial fibrosis (Shaper and Wright, 1963; Owor, 1973) it is fairly rare perhaps because the earlier stages of the disease are not recognised (Patel et al., 1977). The apparent difference may be related to the lesser aggressiveness and greater chronicity of the process at the stage of endomyocardial fibrosis. Against the unitarian view, on the other hand, it is possible, or indeed likely, that endomyocardial fibrosis represents an end stage of any process which damages the endomyocardium, and that the eosinophil is only one of these. Carcinoid heart disease and methysergide heart disease also attack the endocardium, though neither are identical with endomyocardial fibrosis. A recent case report described endomyocardial fibrosis in a 21-year-old with acute myeloblastic leukaemia unassociated with eosinophilia but treated with daunorubicin (Wilcox et al., 1976), a well-established cardiotoxic agent.

The clinical presentations of endomyocardial fibrosis are well known as a result of the comprehensive descriptions from Uganda (Shillingford and Somers, 1961; Connor et al., 1967) and from Nigeria (Parry and Abrahams, 1963). The features vary greatly with the sites of the intracardiac lesions. Chronic right-sided disease may obliterate the cavity of the right ventricle almost completely without killing the patient who classically presents with proptosis and tense ascites. The condition may mimic constrictive pericarditis (Somers et al., 1968) or present with pericardial effusion. Left-sided disease may simulate other cardiomyopathies and present with heart failure (Falase et al., 1976). Tricuspid and/or mitral regurgitation (Clark et al., 1956; Fowler and Somers, 1968) may be dominant and scar excision with valve replacement has recently been employed successfully (Cachera et al., 1976). Rarely, the condition simulates primary pulmonary hypertension (Davies et al., 1965) or an intracardiac tumour (Van der Hauwaert et al., 1965). Clubbing and cyanosis are common with severe right-sided disease and secondary infective endocarditis is not unknown on the mitral valve (Falase et al., 1976).

The main haemodynamic effect of the cardiac disorder (with or without persisting eosinophilia) is to restrict the filling and output of the ventricles, but the effect of this restriction is only felt in advanced cases and asymptomatic milder cases who do not seek treatment would evade recognition in societies whose patients are medically less sophisticated than our own. This could, perhaps, explain the absence of descriptions of mild endomyocardial fibrosis from those centres in tropical Africa from which the ‘classical’ forms were described and the late appearance of endomyocardial fibrosis in temperate climates where severe forms of the disorder are rare.

The European patients with endomyocardial fibrosis have started to emerge pari passu with the more thorough diagnostic investigations of asymptomatic patients which are now usual. The name ‘primary restrictive cardiomyopathy’ was recently coined to describe British patients believed to have a mild burned out form of Löffler’s disease (Oakley, 1974), but, in such cases, proof of this is dependent on cardiac biopsy or accidental death from some non-cardiac cause.

The balance of the argument suggests that endomyocardial fibrosis represents both a later evolutionary phase and a milder burned out form of the disease which Löffler described. It is probable that the eosinophil is usually though not invariably involved; the underlying pathological processes are still unknown and further study and analysis of these conditions remain to be done.
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