Two unusual cases of intra-atrial gap
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Two cases are described in which, during investigation of rhythm disturbances, it was shown that, by delivering atrial stimuli of increasing prematurity, a point was reached where the artificial stimulus failed to induce an atrial response. However, with even more premature stimulations, atrial responses were again observed. These observations are best explained by a gap phenomenon in which very early stimuli delivered during the effective refractory period of the atria were delayed long enough in the exit area around the pacing electrode to allow atrial recovery.

The phenomenon of ‘gap’ in atrioventricular conduction was first described by Moe et al. (1965). During experiments on dogs, they observed a zone in the cardiac cycle when atrial premature beats were not transmitted to the ventricles whereas atrial beats of greater or lesser prematurity were normally conducted to the ventricles. The atrioventricular gap phenomenon occurs when the effective refractory period of a distal site (for example, the His-Purkinje system) is longer than the functional refractory period of a proximal site (for example the AV node), and when closely coupled stimuli are delayed long enough at the proximal site to allow recovery at the distal end (Wu et al., 1974).

At present, at least 6 types of gap phenomenon in atroventricular conduction have been recognized; they differ from one another in the sites of proximal delay and distal block (Damato et al., 1976).

In this paper, we report on two patients in whom the electrophysiological findings strongly suggested an unusual gap phenomenon where the exit area around a pacing electrode was the site of proximal delay and the atria the distal site with relatively long effective refractory period.

Methods

Electrophysiological investigations were carried out, after informed consent, on 2 patients with rhythm disturbances. The studies were performed in the supine, non-sedated, post-absorptive state. His bundle recordings were obtained by the technique described by Scherlag et al. (1969), using a bipolar electrode catheter introduced percutaneously into the femoral vein. Two other bipolar electrode catheters were employed, the first to stimulate the high lateral wall of the right atrium and the second to record the high right atrial electrogram.

The His bundle electrogram, high right atrial electrogram, and 2 surface leads were recorded simultaneously on a 4-channel Elema Mingograf 81 at a paper speed of 100 mm/s. The stimuli were 2 ms square waves approximately equal to twice diastolic threshold and were delivered using a JS-U2 multipurpose programmable stimulator.

During programmed stimulation, the test stimuli were given after each eighth driving stimulus or spontaneous beat, the coupling interval being decreased by decrements of 5 ms.

Results

Case 1

The patient was a 56-year-old man who had had an acute anterolateral myocardial infarct in September 1975. He was admitted in January 1976 for treatment of cardiac failure with digitalis and diuretics. Investigations at that time showed a large parietal left ventricular aneurysm, a totally occluded left anterior descending artery with poor distal perfusion, and significantly stenosed left circumflex and right coronary arteries. He had recurrent episodes of ventricular tachycardia for which an electrophysiological study was undertaken about one month later.

During sinus rhythm at 100/min the AH and HV intervals were 130 ms and 40 ms, respectively.
The so-called sinoatrial conduction time was 62.5 ms.

Atrial premature stimuli were introduced at a cycle length of 400 ms (Fig. 1 and 2). As the S1-S2 interval was decreased from 360 to 290 ms, the HRA1–HRA2 decreased similarly. When the S1-S2 interval was further shortened, the HRA1-HRA2 intervals were seen to leave the line of identity, thus indicating increased S2-HRA2 delay. At S1-S2 intervals of 260, 255, 250, and 245 ms, S2 was followed by no atrial response though the driving stimulus (S3) falling immediately after S2, did induce an atrial depolarisation. Surprisingly, the S2 stimuli placed 240 and 235 ms after S1 were followed by atrial contractions, but the S2-HRA2 intervals were prolonged and the two corresponding HRA1-HRA2 intervals were approximately equal to those recorded immediately before the gap.

CASE 2

Case 2 was a 58-year-old woman who had had an asymptomatic bradycardia for several years. In 1975, her electrocardiogram showed a junctional rhythm at 53/min with ventricular ectopic beats. Isoprenaline, administered orally, produced a slight increase in heart rate, but was poorly tolerated. In March 1976, she complained of dyspnoea and was found to be hypertensive (220/100 mmHg). The electrocardiogram still showed sinus bradycardia alternating with junctional rhythm.

The electrophysiological investigation yielded the following results. At rest, at a basic cycle length of 960 ms, the AH and HV intervals were 90 and 45 ms, respectively. The sinoatrial conduction time could not be measured, no plateau zone being found on the curve plotting the return cycles against the test cycles. Atrial pacing at 120/min and 140/min was followed by cardiac pauses longer than 2000 ms. A diagnosis of sinoatrial disease was thus made.

Atrial premature stimuli were introduced at a cycle length of 545 ms (Fig. 3 and 4). The curve obtained by plotting the S1-S2 intervals against the HRA1-HRA2 intervals followed the line of identity
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**Fig. 1** Records of leads I and III of the standard electrocardiogram, and of the His bundle (HBE) and high right atrial (HRAE) electrograms. Paper speed 100 mm/s. Basic driving cycle length 400 ms. (A) At an S1-S2 interval of 320 ms, the interval between the atrial responses of the HRAE was also 320 ms. (B) At an S1-S2 of 270 ms, the corresponding A1-A2 interval was slightly longer (275 ms) indicating a small prolongation of the latency time between stimulus artefact and atrial deflection. (C) The stimulus falling at 250 ms evoked no atrial response, though the next stimulus (S3) was conducted. (D) Following S2 placed 235 ms after S1, an atrial response was again observed. (See text for further description.)
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from 420 to 305 ms. For S1-S2 times of 300 ms or shorter, lengthening of the S2-HRA2 delay was seen to shift the curve upward. The S2 stimuli falling 255 and 250 ms after S1 did not evoke atrial responses though the pacing stimulus (S3) falling immediately after S2 induced an atrial depolarisa-

Fig. 2 Case 1. S1-S2 intervals plotted against HRA1-HRA2 intervals. Basic cycle length 400 ms (150/min). The line of identity was followed for S1-S2 intervals of 360 to 290 ms. A gap in atrial responses was observed at S1-S2 intervals of 260, 250, and 245 ms. Atrial excitation resumed at S1-S2 intervals of 240 and 235 ms. Beyond this point, no further atrial response could be evoked. Note that the last two HRA1-HRA2 intervals were approximately as long as those seen before the gap in atrial responses.

Fig. 3 Case 2. Leads I and II are shown with the HBE and HRAE. Paper speed is 100 mm/s. The basic driving length is 545 ms. At an S1-S2 interval of 360 ms (A), the distance between the atrial responses of the HRAE was also 360 ms. At an S1-S2 of 260 ms (B), the corresponding A1-A2 interval was 280 ms indicating a prolongation of latency time between stimulus artefact and atrial deflection. The atrial stimulus falling 250 ms after S2 (C) failed to evoke any atrial response but the next extrastimulus succeeded in stimulating the atrial chambers (D).
Fig. 4 Case 2. S1-S2 intervals plotted against HRA1-HRA2 intervals. Basic cycle length 545 ms (110/min). The line of identity was followed from 420 to 305 ms. A gap in atrial responses was observed at S1-S2 intervals of 255 and 250 ms. Atrial excitation reappeared at 245 ms, but with a HRA1-HRA2 interval greater than those seen before the interruption in atrial responses.

Discussion

These two cases were thought to deserve discussion because of the unusual response to programmed atrial pacing. Premature atrial stimuli were delivered progressively earlier in the cardiac cycle. With increasing prematurity, a zone was reached in which premature atrial responses could no longer be induced. However, if the stimuli were made even more premature, atrial excitation resumed.

There are several possible explanations for this phenomenon. The first to be considered is that small displacements of the catheter tip might be responsible for the fact that some stimuli were not followed by atrial excitation. This explanation is unlikely: in these two cases the phenomenon under discussion occurred only at a critical moment of the cardiac cycle close to the end of the atrial effective refractory period; moreover, the driving stimulus, which directly followed the impulse which was not conducted, did induce a normal atrial response.

Another possible explanation is a phase of supernormal excitability. Though supernormal excitability and conduction has been documented in animal and human experiments, intracellular stimulation methods indicate that not all cardiac cells can exhibit this property (Spear and Moore, 1976). Supernormal excitability has been observed in the His-Purkinje system (Spear and Moore, 1974) and in specialised atrial fibres (Childers, 1974) and in specialised atrial fibres (Childers et al., 1968). It has not been documented in the AV node (Merideth et al., 1968), the bundle of His (Spear and Moore, 1974), or in atrial or ventricular muscle (Childers et al., 1968; Spear and Moore, 1974). In our 2 cases, one cannot rule out the possibility of direct stimulation of specialised atrial fibres by the spacing electrode. In addition to the classical supernormal phase, minimal changes of excitability are known to occur during the relative refractory period. They have been described as ‘dips’ in the strength-interval curve (Castellanos and Lemberg, 1969) and could account for the behaviour of the atrial responses in our two patients, especially if one considers the fact that the intervals of non-responsive-ness were indeed very narrow. Nevertheless, the break in the J-shaped curve obtained by plotting the S1-S2 intervals against the HRA1-HRA2 strongly suggests an unusual form of gap phenomenon.

In reports on intraventricular and atrioventricular conduction, the conduction system has been conceived as a linear structure consisting of multiple components showing differences in their electrophysiological properties. Two conditions were said to be necessary for the development of the gap phenomenon (Wu et al., 1974). These are (a) a distal site with an effective refractory period longer than the functional refractory period of proximal tissues and (b) a proximal site with a functional refractory period which allows enough delay between impulses to ensure that the effective refractory period of the distal site is exceeded.

In our cases, we are not actually dealing with the conduction of an impulse but with a response of the atrial myocardium to an activation stimulus. Though it may appear difficult to relate these atrial activation gap observations to the model used to explain the mechanisms of atrioventricular gap phenomena, one can, in the present situation, describe the atrial musculature as made up of 2 components in series: the exit area around the catheter tip (proxi- mal site) and the rest of the atrial myocardium (distal site).

The exit area from a pacing electrode is known to share some properties with conducting tissues. Exit
block around a pacing electrode has been recognised (Preston et al., 1966), and may be manifested as a Wenckebach phenomenon (Preston et al., 1976), or as a gradual prolongation of exit time from a pacemaker potential (Pick, 1973). Increase in latency time between the stimulus artefact and the high right atrial deflection has also been reported, during programmed atrial stimulation, when the coupling intervals are sufficiently short (Wu et al., 1974).

In our cases, as the S1-S2 interval was decreased the HRA1-HRA2 intervals followed the line of identity along its upper portion. With further decrease in S1-S2 interval, the HRA1-HRA2 interval continued to decrease but to a lesser extent, indicating an increase of latency time (S2-HRA2). This feature can be interpreted as showing increasing first degree exit block around the pacing electrode. To account for the reappearance of atrial responses after the interruption of the J-shaped curve, one can reasonably hypothesise that at a critical S1-S2 interval, the proximal delay in the exit area could be sufficiently long for some stimuli apparently falling within the atrial effective refractory period to activate the atra.

If this interpretation is correct the two reported cases would represent unusual examples of an intra-atrial gap which to the best of our knowledge, has not hitherto been described.
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