Effects of amiodarone on sinus node in man
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SUMMARY The effects of amiodarone on the sinuatrial node were studied in 24 patients after an intravenous injection of the drug (5 mg/kg). Sinuatrial function was assessed by rapid atrial pacing and premature atrial stimulation. Sinus cycle length did not change significantly, but the corrected sinus node recovery time was prolonged. While there was no significant change in sinuatrial conduction time, prolongation of the non-reset zone in 14 cases, as well as the abolition of the plateau in 2/24 patients, suggested that conduction of the atrial responses to the sinus node might have been depressed.

Amiodarone was initially used as an antianginal drug (Vastesaeger et al., 1967), but was later found to possess antiarrhythmic properties. Many clinical studies have confirmed the efficacy of this drug against both supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias (Coumel and Bouvrain, 1973; Fauchier et al., 1973; Rosenbaum et al., 1976). Amiodarone was found especially effective in controlling refractory atrial arrhythmias associated with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (Rosenbaum et al., 1974). Though amiodarone was increasingly used for clinical purposes, its therapeutic advantages were somewhat counteracted by various side-effects such as cutaneous disorders (Wanet et al., 1971; Morand et al., 1972), corneal deposits (Francois, 1968; Verin et al., 1971), and hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism because of the presence of iodine in the compound (Barrillon and Himbert, 1971; Grand, 1975; Pritchard et al., 1975). As severe bradycardia was also observed (Van Schepdael and Solvay, 1970), we carried out the present study so as to elucidate the effects of amiodarone on sinus node function.

Patients and methods

Twenty-four patients were studied, 13 men and 11 women, aged from 51 to 77 years (mean: 64). None of the patients was suffering from sinuatrial disorder as defined by severe bradycardia of less than 50/min or episodes of sinus arrest. However, during the study, two subjects exhibited heart rates of 52 and 55/min, respectively, so that some degree of sinuatrial involvement could not be excluded. In two others, sinus tachycardia was noted, presumably caused by anxiety. Atrioventricular conduction abnormalities were present in 16 cases (long PR interval in one and bundle-branch block or fascicular block in 15). Despite theoretical reservations, these patients were included in the study, since there was no evidence that they would be more prone to sinuatrial alteration than the others.

Electrophysiological studies were performed in the postabsorptive, non-sedated state, after informed consent had been obtained. Of the 24 patients, 22 complained of syncope or dizziness (Table). The remaining two (cases 1 and 21) had latent electrocardiographic abnormalities. All medication with cardiac effects was stopped at least eight days before the study. The procedure was similar to that previously reported (Touboul et al., 1975). Four electrode catheters were introduced percutaneously through the right and left femoral veins. Of these, a triplex catheter was used for recording His bundle activity according to Scherlag (Scherlag et al., 1969), and another was positioned at the apex of the right ventricle for electrical pacing. The remaining two catheters were inserted into the right atrium. A bipolar electrogram was recorded at the junction of the superior vena cava and the external border of the atrium. In addition, atrial stimulation was performed in the region of the sinus node. A modular programmable stimulator was used. Electric impulses were rectangular of 1-5 ms duration, and were delivered at twice diastolic threshold intensity. We used an eight channel direct ink jet recorder. Paper speed during the study was 100 mm/s. Intracardiac electrograms were transmitted through amplifiers. The potentials in the His
bundle lead were filtered (recording frequencies: 50 to 700 Hz). Five external leads were recorded simultaneously: I, II, III, V1, and V6. Either lead I or II, as well as one selected intracardiac lead, were visualised on an oscilloscope and all data were stored on magnetic tape.

Sinus node function was assessed using two methods. Sinus node recovery time was measured by rapid atrial pacing at successive rates of 110, 130, 150, and 170 a minute (Mandel et al., 1971). Each rate was maintained for 30 s. The pause between the pacing sequences was about one minute. Sinus node recovery time corresponds to the interval between the last paced P wave and the first sinus P wave. In this study we took account of the two relevant electrograms recorded in the intra-atrial lead. Times measured were corrected as a function of basic sinus cycle length, in accordance with the formula of Narula et al. (1972):

Corrected sinus node recovery time = sinus node recovery interval – sinus cycle length.

The sinus cycle length was an average value, calculated from the five sinus cycles preceding each pacing sequence. Whatever the pacing rate, only the longest corrected sinus node recovery time was taken into account.

Sinus atrial conduction time was determined according to the technique of Strauss et al. (1973). Premature atrial depolarisation was induced during sinus rhythm at every eighth or tenth beat. The capturing interval was long at first but was subsequently reduced by periods of 20 ms until the effective refractory period of the right atrium was reached. A curve was constructed by plotting the A1-A2 coupling intervals against the abscissa and the A2-A3 return cycles along the ordinate. Normally, this curve comprises two parts. At long coupling intervals, an ascending limb called the non-reset zone (zone 1) follows the corrective atrial conduction time. The return cycles then become constant, and form a horizontal segment or plateau zone, as atrial depolarisations reach and discharge the pacemaker cells (as noted in isolated preparations). Sinus node activity was then reset and, after a new cycle, induced an earlier P wave (Miller and Strauss,
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1974). Consequently the relevant A2-A3 interval, as determined in clinical studies, is presumed to include a sinus cycle and, in addition, the conduction time taken by the retrograde impulse to reach the sinus node, as well as the sinuatrial conduction time of the sinus beat after the pause, hence the following formula:

\[
\text{Sinuatrial conduction time} = \frac{\text{A2-A3 interval} - \text{sinus cycle length}}{2}
\]

In this study, we used the estimated atrio-sinuatrial conduction time, as follows:

Estimated atrio-sinuatrial conduction time = A2-A3 interval – sinus cycle

The A2-A3 intervals were measured from the first third of the plateau. The sinus cycle length was an average value, calculated from all the cycles immediately preceding the premature atrial stimulation. The graphs were examined independently by two physicians from our laboratory and satisfactory concordance was obtained.

We carried out repeated studies of sinus node function after slow intravenous administration (five minutes) of 5 mg/kg amiodarone hydrochloride. Premature atrial stimulation and overdrive tests were carried out successively. The fresh evaluation was started five minutes after termination of the amiodarone injection. Statistical analysis of the results was made using Student’s t test for paired data.

Results

The data on sinus node function are given in the Table.

After amiodarone administration, sinus cycle length increased in 12 cases by a mean of 55 ms, decreased in 11 by 58 ms, and remained unchanged in one (NS).

Corrected sinus node recovery time was longer in 17 subjects (mean: 110 ms) and shorter in the remaining seven (mean: 60 ms). These changes are statistically significant (P < 0.02).

Estimated atrio-sinuatrial conduction time was determined before and after amiodarone in 22 patients. It lengthened in 10 cases by a mean of 38 ms, diminished in 10 (mean 33 ms), and did not change in two (NS). In the remaining two patients, the plateau zone was abolished after amiodarone administration (Fig. 1).

In addition, certain changes in the A1A2/A2A3 curve occurred after injection of the drug. Thus, the duration of the non-reset zone, expressed as a percentage of the mean sinus cycle, increased in 14/22 patients by 11 per cent, decreased in seven by 2 per cent, and did not change in one (P < 0.005). Sometimes a smooth transition developed between the compensatory line and the plateau (Fig. 2). After early atrial depolarisations (coupling interval of less than 50 per cent of the sinus cycle), the return cycles showed a gradual increase in 10 patients, causing the latter part of the plateau to ascend (Fig. 3).

There were no untoward effects after the injection of amiodarone.

Discussion

The electrophysiological properties of amiodarone have been defined in previous studies. In isolated rabbit heart preparations, amiodarone prolonged the duration of the action potential in atrial and ventricular muscle without changing the resting potential
or the maximum rate of rise (Singh and Vaughan Williams, 1970). In man, atrioventricular nodal conduction was depressed after intravenous injection of 5 mg/kg, whereas the His-Purkinje conduction time remained unchanged. The refractory periods of the atrioventricular conducting tissue (AV node and His-Purkinje system) and of the atrial and ventricular myocardium increased (Cabasson et al., 1976; Touboul et al., 1976a). The drug also lengthened the effective refractory period of the accessory pathway in patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (Touboul et al., 1976b; Wellens et al., 1976).

As regards the effect of amiodarone on sinuatrial activity, slowing of the sinus node discharge was reported in the anaesthetised dog even after pre-treatment with propranolol and atropine (Charlier, 1970). In rabbit sinus node preparations, amiodarone prolonged action potential duration and reduced the slope of spontaneous diastolic depolarisation (Goupil and Lenfant, 1976). Furthermore, recent studies using epicardial recording of sinus node activity have suggested that amiodarone might induce sinuatrial block (Castillo-Fenoy et al., 1978).

In man our results show an increase in sinus node recovery time after amiodarone administration. Surprisingly, sinus node cycle length did not alter significantly, a finding which contrasts with the well-known possibility that clinical use of the drug can induce bradycardia. Several checks of our batch of amiodarone by the manufacturer failed to disclose any abnormality which might have accounted for this finding. An explanation was also sought in the mode of intravenous administration, despite the fact that a slowing down of the sinus rate has been reported in similar studies (Touboul et al., 1976a). In this connection, the part played by autonomic changes should be mentioned, with special reference to the opposite effects of vasodilatation and partial adrenergic blockade on cycle length. In addition, it is conceivable that, after the doses of amiodarone used here, myocardial depression could have occurred, favoured in some of our patients by latent abnormalities (Sicart et al., 1977). The sympathetic
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