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SUMMARY In 75 (0.9%) of 8235 patients without associated congenital heart disease studied by arteriography, a vessel was missing from its normal location, and this was the result of an anomalous origin. Symptoms attributable to these aberrant vessels were present in 19 of the 75 patients. Though rare, these anomalies can produce specific clinicopathological entities which can be diagnosed angiographically and treated surgically. Failure to recognise these anomalies of coronary anatomy prolonged arteriographic procedures and led to repeated catheterisations in 28 of the 75 cases (37%).

Coronary arteriography is routinely used as a diagnostic measure or as a prelude to surgical treatment. Failure to visualise a coronary artery division is relatively frequent and generally attributable to a complete proximal occlusion, even if no stump of blocked artery is demonstrated. Failure to intubate a coronary artery is usually the result of inadequate technique. Both of these failures may be caused by congenital variants of anatomy with which the investigator should be familiar.

In this paper we survey and illustrate the congenital variations of the coronary arteries which were documented in 75 out of 8235 patients without associated congenital heart disease, discuss their incidence in the routine investigation of patients with suspected ischaemic heart disease, and suggest a methodical approach to their recognition.

Patients and methods

Major anatomical variations of the coronary circulation were documented in 75 of 8235 patients (0.9%) who underwent coronary arteriography for suspicion or exclusion of coronary artery disease at the National Heart and Chest Hospitals and Middlesex Hospital over a seven year period ending December 1980. Patients' data are shown in Table 1; those with associated congenital heart disease were excluded.

Their ages were 16 to 66 years (mean 44) at the time of angiography; 51 were male and 24 female. Symptoms attributable to the aberrant vessel were present in 19 of the 75 (25%). Sixteen patients had angina of eight of them with dyspnoea on exertion; in addition two presented with episodes of palpitation caused by ventricular tachyarrhythmias and one further patient was investigated because of unexplained episodes of exertional dyspnoea and syncope. The aberrant origin and course of the coronary arteries was diagnosed by angiography alone in 63 patients. A clinical diagnosis

Table 1  Patient data (75 patients out of 8235 undergoing coronary angiography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms attributable to aberrant vessel</th>
<th>Angina</th>
<th>Angina and dyspnoea</th>
<th>Palpitation</th>
<th>Unexplained exertional dyspnoea and syncope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormalities leading to symptoms</td>
<td>Left anterior descending from pulmonary artery</td>
<td>Left coronary artery from anterior sinus</td>
<td>Left coronary artery from pulmonary artery</td>
<td>Stenosis in aberrant vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrocardiogram</td>
<td>Ischaemic ST-T changes</td>
<td>Infarction (Q waves)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated findings at catheterisation</td>
<td>Atherosclerotic occlusive disease</td>
<td>(Stenosis in aberrant vessel</td>
<td>Valvular disease</td>
<td>Akinetic or aneurysmal wall motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congenital absence of left pericardium</td>
<td>Coarctation</td>
<td>No evidence of heart disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of anomalous origin of the left coronary artery from the pulmonary artery was made in the remaining 12 patients who presented in adult life with the classical features of this anomaly. Electrocardiography suggested myocardial ischaemia in 28 patients and transmural myocardial infarction in 15; in 10 of the latter the necrosis was caused by the retrograde flow in the left coronary artery.

Investigations showed no other evidence of heart disease in 16 patients, atherosclerotic coronary occlusive disease in 33, and valvular heart disease in 12 (congenital bicuspid aortic valve in three, rheumatic carditis in nine). Twelve additional patients had angiographic evidence of infarction (akinetic or aneurysmal wall motion) and two more had associated congenital abnormalities (coarctation of the aorta and congenital absence of the left pericardium). Coronary arteriography was performed in all cases using either the Sones or the Judkins technique. In 28 of the 75 cases (37%) the procedure was repeated because of inadequate visualisation of the aberrant vessel. Fifteen patients were re-investigated once, nine twice, three three times, and one on four occasions before a correct diagnosis could be made.

**Arteriographic findings**

The apparently missing coronary artery at arteriography could be analysed under four groups (Table 2).

1. **MISSING ANTERIOR DESCENDING CORONARY ARTERY**

Failure to visualise the anterior descending branch of the left coronary artery in the normal location usually occurred when the anterior descending and circumflex divisions had independent aortic origins in the left sinus of Valsalva, an anomaly encountered in eight patients.

More rarely the anterior descending coronary artery emerged from the right sinus of Valsalva, either independently or jointly with the right coronary artery (Fig. 1); this variant was found in four patients.

Finally, in two patients the anterior descending coronary artery originated from the pulmonary artery. The angiographic appearances were characteristic, the left main stem leading directly to a dilated circumflex division only and after a short delay, and a very large vessel in the position of the anterior descending opacifying, from which contrast streamed into the main pulmonary artery (Fig. 2). This aberrant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Angiographic findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variants of coronary anatomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) <strong>Missing left anterior descending</strong> (LAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Separate origin LAD and LCx in left sinus of Valsalva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Origin LAD from right sinus of Valsalva or from RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) LAD from PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) <strong>Missing left circumflex artery</strong> (LCx)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Separate origin LAD and LCx in left sinus of Valsalva (as 1a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Origin LCx from right sinus of Valsalva or RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) <strong>Missing right coronary artery</strong> (RCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) RCA and LCA from left sinus of Valsalva (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Extreme left dominance (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Separate origin RCA and long conus artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) <strong>Missing left coronary artery</strong> (LCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) LCA from right sinus of Valsalva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) LCA from RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) LCA from PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1** Origin of the left anterior descending from the right sinus of Valsalva (joint ostium with right coronary artery). (a) Anteroposterior view; (b) left anterior oblique projection. Arrow indicates the aberrant left anterior descending coronary artery.
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Fig. 2 Abnormal origin of the left anterior descending coronary artery from the pulmonary artery. (a) and (b) Left coronary injection, left anterior oblique projection. Early arterial phase, showing a large circumflex coronary artery. Note the left anterior descending coronary artery fails to opacify. (c) Late phase, showing delayed filling of the dilated left anterior descending coronary artery (large arrow) and diagonal (small arrow) through collaterals. (d) Contrast medium streaming into the main pulmonary artery.

The commonest variant leading to an apparent absence of the circumflex was when this vessel originated from the right coronary artery either as a branch of the latter or independently from a separate ostium in the right coronary sinus (Fig. 3 and 4). This variation was present in 26 of the 75 patients (35%). The abnormal circumflex usually travelled around the back of the aorta to the left ativoventricular groove and then pursued its normal course over the free wall of the left ventricle. Apparent absence of the left circumflex artery was also noted in the eight patients with separate origins of the anterior descending and circumflex arteries in the left sinus of Valsalva.

(2) MISSING LEFT CIRCUMFLEX ARTERY

(3) MISSING RIGHT CORONARY ARTERY

In seven cases no right coronary ostium or artery was present. In two of these the ostium originated from the left coronary sinus (Fig. 5) and in a third the right coronary was a proximal branch of the left. In these cases the right coronary travelled to the right and anteriorly, between the aorta and right ventricular infundibulum, to reach its usual anatomical location.
In the other four patients the right coronary artery was entirely replaced by a large circumflex which continued round the heart to reach the right atrioventricular groove to supply the right ventricular branches (extreme left dominance) (Fig. 6).

A right ventricular branch or the conus artery may be selectively catheterised either as a proximal branch of the right coronary or when either of these have an independent ostium (Fig. 7). There were 12 patients who had a separate origin of a long, low branching conus artery which followed an anterior course in front of the right ventricular outflow tract to reach the lateral surface of the heart.

(4) **MISSING LEFT CORONARY ARTERY**

There were three patients in whom the left coronary artery originated in the right aortic sinus (Fig. 8); one of these had a common ostium for both the left and right coronary arteries. From this abnormal ostium, the left main coronary artery then curved to the left and posteriorly, running intramurally inside the aortic wall, between the aorta and the right ventricular infundibulum to reach the left coronary sinus. From that point onwards the left coronary artery had a normal course and distribution behind the pulmonary artery. These patients all had ischaemic symptoms though their coronary arteries were free of atheroma.

In 13 cases the left coronary artery was a branch of the pulmonary artery. The right coronary artery filled from the aorta and was grossly dilated; after a shorter delay, the left coronary artery opacified via extensive collaterals and the contrast was seen streaming into the main pulmonary artery. Selective right coronary arteriography indicated associated stenosis in two
patients with this anomaly who had undergone previous surgical attempted ligation of the aberrant vessel.

Surgery

Surgical confirmation of the angiographic diagnosis was obtained in 41 patients; 14 of these underwent surgery for an anomalous vessel which produced significant abnormalities of myocardial perfusion, with relief of the presenting symptoms. These patients can be divided into three major groups: (1) two had the anterior descending branch of the left coronary artery arising from the pulmonary artery treated by ligation and vein grafting; (2) two had the left coronary artery arising from the anterior sinus and both underwent successful anatomical correction; (3) ten had the left coronary artery arising from the pulmonary artery, all with reversal of flow and left to...
right shunting; anatomical correction was performed in five and other methods of revascularisation with either vein grafts or an autologous left subclavian artery segment were used in the other five patients.

A further five patients underwent coronary surgery for narrowing or occlusion of a major aberrant vessel.

**Discussion**

The demonstration of anatomical changes in the coronary arteries has become important in patient management but visualisation of all coronary arteries is not always achieved. This, however, is usually because of imperfect technique. In a small proportion of patients one or more arteries have an atypical origin and these morphological variants may lead to difficulties in the performance or interpretation of the coronary arteriograms. It is possible that these aberrant vessels are not as rare as published reviews suggest.1-3 As most of them are not associated with physiological upset, they show no typical clinical picture and are detected only by careful arteriographic technique. The incidence in our series was only 0-9%, but in this retrospective study cases were included only if the anomalous vessel was adequately filled on the arteriogram. In many cases with suspected aberrant vessels the examination was inadequate and these patients were excluded from further analysis.

It has become increasingly evident that some of these aberrant vessels can be associated with a variety of syndromes, from angina pectoris to sudden death.4-7 Symptoms predominantly of exertional angina and attributable to the aberrant vessel were present in 19 (25%) of the 75 cases in our series (0-2% of the whole). A further 33 (44%) of the 75 patients had angina attributable to significant coronary artery
disease; of these, eight had narrowing or occlusion in the aberrant vessel (Fig. 4).

Failure to visualise a coronary artery should alert the operator to the possibility of an aberrant vessel, as coronary arteries rarely disappear when diseased and a blocked vessel almost invariably fills distally by collateral flow, except in the presence of aneurysm. The first problem is to recognise that opacification is incomplete and most difficulty occurs with the branches of the left coronary artery. It is important to check that the left coronary artery gives both anterior descending and circumflex branches before accepting that opacification is complete. The true lateral view is important where there is doubt.

(1) ABSENT LEFT CORONARY BRANCHES
If only the anterior descending fills when the catheter enters an artery in the left sinus of Valsalva, the most frequent origin of the circumflex is either as a proximal branch of the right coronary artery or from a separate ostium in the right sinus of Valsalva (Fig. 3) and this area should be explored. If, however, the catheter enters only the circumflex from the left sinus of Valsalva the most likely site of origin of the anterior descending is from the same (left) sinus and its ostium is usually near to that of the circumflex. Only rarely does the anterior descending originate from the right coronary artery or right sinus of Valsalva (Fig. 1). These anatomical variants can lead to technically difficult studies but they generally have little importance in the absence of atherosclerotic narrowing in the aberrant vessel. When the abnormal circumflex originates from the right sinus of Valsalva, however, and follows a retroaortic course, it may inadvertently be damaged during mitral valve surgery, with consequent myocardial infarction.

With a short left main stem the catheter may go selectively into one or other division; this should be apparent if the contrast is injected fast enough to reflux into the sinus of Valsalva, when both branches will be visualised.

In two patients who presented with angina in adult
life the anterior descending originated from the pulmonary artery (Fig. 2). The diagnosis could easily be missed as the demonstration of two coronary ostia arising from the aorta, with very large and tortuous arteries, may suggest a form of coronary fistula, rather than the possibility of an anomalous artery with a third ostium in the pulmonary trunk. This anomaly, though rare, is important as symptoms may be relieved by ligation or grafting of the aberrant vessel.

(2) ABSENT RIGHT CORONARY ARTERY
Although difficulty in intubating the right coronary artery is not rare, probing the right sinus of Valsalva with test injections will usually succeed in locating the ostium. If this fails, the investigator should review the appearances of the left coronary artery to check that the right is not a branch of the left, either proximal (from the main stem or left coronary sinus) or terminal (Fig. 5 and 6). This condition, though of morphological interest, appears to have a fairly benign clinical course.

The origin of the right coronary artery from the pulmonary artery is rare and relatively benign, and we did not encounter this anomaly.

The conus branch of the right coronary artery can be a source of technical difficulty during attempts to visualise the right coronary artery if the catheter goes selectively into it. The investigator must be alert to this possibility by keeping in mind the relative balance between the circumflex and the right coronary arteries. The clinical importance of this lies in the fact that the main right coronary may not be opacified and contrast injection into the conus branch may precipitate arrhythmias. Furthermore, the conus branch may be a major source of collateral circulation in the presence of occlusive disease.

(3) ABSENT LEFT CORONARY ARTERY
Even in adult patients, the commonest reason for failing to find the ostium of the left main coronary artery in its usual site in the left sinus of Valsalva was when it arose from the pulmonary artery (13 of the 16 cases). This did not present a problem as in the majority the diagnosis was obvious clinically. The origin of the left coronary artery from the pulmonary artery is rare but of great physiological and clinical importance since it produces symptoms, is diagnosable during life, and is surgically treatable. The few patients who survive infancy and later in life present with angina and evidence of ischaemia but no signs of necrosis of the left ventricle have a better prognosis than those showing the classical clinical picture.

In the remaining three patients in whom the left coronary ostium was not in its normal position, the left coronary artery originated from the right (anterior) aortic sinus (jointly with the right coronary artery in one case), just in front of the intercoronary commissure (Fig. 8). This sinus should therefore be carefully explored when there is difficulty in finding the left coronary artery in its normal location, as this anomalous origin may cause symptoms resulting from myocardial ischaemia and may even lead to sudden death at a young age. In most of the patients reported there has been a history of exertional angina or syncope (as in the three patients in our series), together with anatomical or functional obstruction of this aberrant left coronary, most probably caused by acute angulation of the ostium and compression of the intramural segment. Because of the grave prognosis, coronary surgery should be considered in young asymptomatic patients with this anomalous origin of the left coronary artery.

That a coronary artery is apparently missing is of considerable importance to both patient and investigator. Some anomalies may produce specific clinicopathological entities; equally as important, failure to recognise them may lead to inadequate and prolonged procedures. In 38% of our patients with coronary anomalies catheterisation had to be repeated, in one patient four times, to elucidate the problem before a correct diagnosis and curative surgery could be performed. Similarly, several patients had protracted catheterisation for apparent failure to intubate arteries which had already been opacified in an unexpected way.
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