Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy without asymmetric hypertrophy
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SUMMARY  A 49-year-old woman with congestive heart failure and heart block died of cerebral embolism. Clinical and echocardiographic findings suggested a diagnosis of atypical dilated cardiomyopathy with predominantly right ventricular involvement. At necropsy, all the cardiac chambers were slightly dilated and the interventricular septum and the left ventricular wall were of normal thickness and symmetry. Histological examination, however, disclosed extensive disarray of abnormal myocardial tissue, especially in the interventricular septum. Her father had similar clinical and echocardiographic findings, while one of her brothers had typical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy at necropsy. It is likely that the patient actually had inherited hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. The case illustrates the difficulty in diagnosing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy when based solely on the left ventricular gross anatomy.

It has been generally believed that the characteristic gross anatomical features of the left ventricle in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy are preserved until death, though clinical manifestations terminally may masquerade as dilated cardiomyopathy. The case report had findings suggestive of dilated cardiomyopathy on gross anatomy, while histological findings and the findings in her family members suggested a diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

Case report

A 39-year-old housewife was admitted on 9 July 1969, because of exertional dyspnoea. Cardiomegaly had been recognised since the age of 34, when she had acute renal disease. Otherwise, her past history was non-contributory. Her father and four of the eight sibs were affected by cardiomyopathy, and several family members had raised circulating heart antibody titres and type-specific streptococcal antigens. Details of this family have been published previously and the present case was then case 2. Subsequent echocardiographic examinations in her father (case 1) disclosed normal left ventricular dimensions, no left ventricular hypertrophy, posterior wall hypokinesia, and paradoxical septal motion (Fig. 1b). Necropsy findings in her brother (case 7) have been reported as those of symmetric left ventricular hypertrophy and myocardial fibrosis. Haematoxylin-eosin stained sections of the interventricular septum and the left ventricular free wall of this case were reviewed by us. We found myocardial disarray occupying extensive areas of the interventricular septum (70%) and left ventricular free wall (50%) (Fig. 2c). A few foci of small round cell infiltration were seen in the endocardium and the myocardium (Fig. 2d).

The present case was a small woman (148 cm tall, body weight 53 kg). Her heart rate was 68 beats/min, and her blood pressure 130/80 mmHg. There was evidence of cardiomegaly on physical examination. Loud third and fourth heart sounds and a grade 2/6 systolic murmur were heard at the apex. The response of the murmur to amyl nitrite inhalation did not suggest the presence of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. Routine laboratory examinations disclosed a moderate iron deficiency anaemia, but were otherwise normal. There was a global cardiomegaly on the chest x-ray film. Electrocardiographic findings were sinus rhythm, left atrial enlargement, left ventricular hypertrophy (SV1: 0.9 mV, RV5: 2.8 mV), and slight ST depression in leads I, II, III, aVF, and V3–V6. There were no abnormal Q waves. Circulating antihemolytic and type-specific anti-beta-haemolytic streptococcal antibody was positive at a titre of 1 in 160 and 18, respectively. There was rarely abnormal haematocrit and haemoglobin levels. Dyspnoea diminished with correction of anaemia, but the x-ray film and electrocardiogram were unchanged. She was discharged on 9 August with the diagnosis of non-
obstructive cardiomyopathy and iron deficiency anaemia.

On 17 January 1972, atrial fibrillation developed and persisted thereafter. Her subsequent course was that of progressive congestive heart failure with cardiomegaly, despite digitalis and diuretics. An echocardiogram was taken in June 1976. The left ventricular dimensions were 54 mm at end-diastole and 48 mm at end-systole. Both the interventricular septum and left ventricular free wall were 12 mm thick. All the other cardiac chambers were moderately dilated and there was a moderate pericardial effusion. A pansystolic murmur with Rivero-Carvallo's sign was heard along the left sternal border. The patient was considered to have a dilated cardiomyopathy with predominant right ventricular involvement and functional tricuspid regurgitation. Circulating antihemolytic antibody was present at a titre of 1 in 40 which was the last positive value obtained.

A permanent pacemaker was implanted on 25 January 1978, because of complete heart block, which subsequently altered to persistent atrial flutter with variable atrioventricular block. She was now confined to bed because of her low output state.

Bilateral leg thrombophlebitis developed in August 1978. A lung scan disclosed a few small perfusion defects, but there was no infarction shadow on the chest x-ray film. Follow-up echocardiograms showed a decrease in left ventricular dimensions to normal values, but otherwise there was no change compared with the 1976 findings (Fig. 1a). She died of cerebral embolism on 6 October 1979.

At necropsy, heart weight was 330 g. The left ventricle was slightly dilated, the major and the minor axes of the cavity being 7 and 4.5 cm, respectively, and the thickness of the wall being 10 to 12 mm. There was no asymmetry of the left ventricular wall, as shown in Fig. 2a. The other cardiac chambers were dilated, and there were jet lesions in the mitral suggesting atrioventricular valve regurgitation. The valves were normal. A screw electrode was fixed on the right ventricular wall, around which there was fibrotic adhesion of the pericardium. Otherwise, there was no evidence of pericarditis. The aorta and the coronary arteries were normal. The lungs were also normal. In the brain, a large infarct in and around the internal capsule and a few haemorrhagic foci in the pons were seen. Old and fresh infarcts were seen in the right kidney and the spleen. The source of the systemic embolus was obscure, since there was no thrombus in the cardiac chambers or the pulmonary veins. Systemic veins were examined to the level of the femoral and subclavian, and no thrombus found. The liver showed evidence of cardiac cirrhosis.

On histology, myocardial disarray was seen throughout the left ventricular wall, and was extensive particularly in the interventricular septum, occupying about 70% of the equatorial transsectional area (Fig. 2b). Disarray was prominent also in the anterolateral left ventricular wall and the anterior right ventricular wall. Many whorls were seen. Interstitial fibrosis was also prominent. Myocardial fibres in the disorganised tissue were branched in peculiar forms and were variable in size. Many fibres were thick, some being 90 μm, but were short and interrupted by fibrous tissue. There were areas of myocytolysis, leaving only the sarcolemmal sheath. Nuclei were frequently hypertrophied and of bizarre shape. Nuclear halo was present, but was not extensive. The intramyocardial small coronary arteries
were occasionally narrowed with medial hypertrophy. There was no inflammatory cell infiltration.

Discussion

Myocardial disarray in the interventricular septum, when extensive as in our patient, has been considered a specific finding of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Extreme thickness of myocardial fibres, extensive fibrosis, bizarre muscle nuclei, disappearing myocardial fibres with nuclear halos, whorls, and short runs of fibres, in combination, are highly suggestive of the diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. The findings of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in her brother at necropsy, and the overall family history suggesting autosomal dominant inheritance of the cardiomyopathy were also consistent with this diagnosis.

On the other hand, asymmetric hypertrophy was not seen on echocardiograms, and the necropsy findings were those (macroscopically) of dilated cardiomyopathy. The clinical diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is based on the recognition of undue left ventricular hypertrophy, particularly in the form of asymmetric septal hypertrophy. Asymmetric septal hypertrophy has been seen even terminally in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with clinical manifestations masquerading as dilated cardiomyopathy, and even after the development of left ventricular dilatation. Asymmetric hypertrophy, however, is not strictly a sine qua non for the diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, since there are occasional cases with left ventricular hypertrophy which are symmetrical. If terminal ventricular dilatation occurs in such hearts, the gross anatomical findings may mimic those of dilated cardiomyopathy. Olsen has described five cases resembling dilated cardiomyopathy, but indistinguishable from hypertrophic cardiomyopathy histologically. None of the five had a definite family history of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. In the present

Fig. 2 Necropsy findings in the present case (a, b) and in her brother (c, d). In (a), sequential cross-sections of the heart after fixation are shown. There is no asymmetric septal hypertrophy. The interventricular septum, however, contains large amounts of disarrayed myocardial fibres as in (b) (haematoxylin-eosin stain). Many myocardial fibres are hypertrophied and nuclei are bizarre in shape. Prominent myocardial disarray is also seen in her brother's interventricular septum (c) (haematoxylin-eosin stain). A few foci of small round cell infiltration are seen in the endocardium (d) (haematoxylin-eosin stain) and the myocardium in the latter, but not in the present case. The bars in the figure show 500 μm in (b) and (c), and 200 μm in (d).
case, the existence of necropsy-proven hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the family members suggests that the patient's cardiomyopathy is within the spectrum of this disease.

The relation between the immunological and cardiological abnormalities is obscure. According to Das et al.,13 antiheart antibody is frequently positive in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and the titre is high especially in the stage of progression. In the present case, however, antiheart antibody was positive only in the early stages and did not correlate with the clinical course. Immunological studies were not carried out in her brother, though the focal cell infiltration in his heart may suggest a possible link between the immunological abnormalities and the cardiomyopathy in the family.
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