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SUMMARY One hundred and six patients with ischaemic heart disease on chronic treatment with sotalol or placebo were asked to discontinue the drugs gradually or abruptly. Even though the patients were fully active at home there was a very low incidence of major cardiac events but a significant worsening of anginal symptoms in patients previously taking sotalol. The rate at which the sotalol was discontinued did not appear to be important.

Concern about a beta blocker withdrawal rebound phenomenon continues. Numerous case reports1-9 of major cardiac events occurring after the sudden discontinuation of these drugs in patients with ischaemic heart disease seem to support the idea of rebound, but in a condition as unpredictable as coronary heart disease the value of this evidence is open to question. Four retrospective studies10-13 failed to confirm clinically significant rebound and a recent prospective study of patients with angina admitted to hospital14 showed a low incidence of cardiac events after the sudden discontinuation of propranolol.

In this study an attempt has been made to evaluate the risk of sudden withdrawal of sotalol in patients with coronary heart disease who were all fully ambulant at home.

Subjects and method

The patients formed part of a larger sotalol secondary prevention trial, the details of which can be found elsewhere.15 All had had a definite acute myocardial infarction and had been randomly allocated on a 3:2 basis within 14 days of infarction to sotalol (320 mg daily) or placebo. The first 106 patients to complete 12 months follow-up were enrolled in this study, and were randomly allocated on a 1:1 basis to either gradual (over two weeks) or abrupt (immediate discontinuation) withdrawal of the trial drug. The groups were well matched with regard to age, sex, pre-existing hypertension, pre-existing angina, smoking habits, and site of infarction. All patients were fully ambulant at home and 38 were working. On entry into this study renal function (as reflected by blood urea) and nitrate therapy were recorded. Four weeks after stopping the trial drug the patients were assessed by a doctor blind to both randomisations. During the 12 month period of treatment and the one month period after drug withdrawal all antianginal agents except nitrates were prohibited.

Results

The distribution of patients was: 44 on placebo; 31 gradual withdrawal from sotalol, and 31 abrupt withdrawal from sotalol.

MAJOR CARDIAC EVENTS
This heading includes sudden death, major arrhythmias, fatal and non-fatal myocardial infarction, and severe angina requiring hospital admission.

The only major cardiac event was a non-fatal myocardial infarction complicated by ventricular fibrillation in a patient who had stopped sotalol after gradual withdrawal.

ANGINA (Table)
In the placebo group 17 patients experienced angina while taking the trial drug. Three felt the angina to be worse after stopping the drug while one felt improved. None developed new onset angina or had a recurrence of previous angina. In the group who gradually stopped sotalol 12 had angina while taking the drug and all continued to have it afterwards. Six felt unchanged after stopping but six felt worsening of the angina though none required admission to hospital. One developed new onset angina and two had a recurrence of previous angina.

In the group who suddenly stopped sotalol 16 had angina while taking the drug and all experienced...
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Table  Effect of withdrawing treatment on angina

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (44)</th>
<th>Sotalol (gradual withdrawal) (31)</th>
<th>Sotalol (abrupt withdrawal) (31)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angina during treatment</td>
<td>17 (39%)</td>
<td>12 (39%)</td>
<td>16 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina worse after stopping treatment</td>
<td>3 (7%)</td>
<td>6 (19%)</td>
<td>7 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina unchanged after stopping treatment</td>
<td>13 (30%)</td>
<td>6 (19%)</td>
<td>8 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina improved after stopping treatment</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New onset angina after stopping treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence of angina after stopping treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

angina after stopping. Eight felt unchanged, seven had worsening of the angina, and one felt improved. Two patients developed new onset angina but none had a recurrence of previous angina. None required hospital admission.

PALPITATION

Several patients reported an unusual awareness of their heart beat during the study. In the placebo group three had palpitation while on treatment and all continued to experience it after stopping the drug. One developed palpitation for the first time after discontinuation of the trial drug. In the sotalol group on gradual withdrawal none had palpitation on treatment but four developed it after stopping, while in the abrupt withdrawal group one had palpitation on treatment and continued to suffer it after stopping, while four developed new onset palpitation after stopping.

GENERAL WELL-BEING

In the placebo group, three patients felt better and four felt worse after stopping treatment, while in the sotalol groups two patients felt better and two felt worse after gradual withdrawal and one felt worse after abrupt withdrawal.

HEART RATE

The mean heart rate of the placebo group on treatment was 69 beats/minute and after stopping 72 beats/minute. In the sotalol group on treatment the mean heart rate was 57 beats/minute which was significantly slower than the placebo group (p<0.01). The mean heart rate after stopping sotalol rose to 81 beats/minute in the gradual withdrawal group and to 82 beats/minute in the abrupt withdrawal group which was significantly faster than while on sotalol (p<0.01) and compared with patients who discontinued placebo (p<0.01).

BLOOD PRESSURE

The mean blood pressure in the placebo group was 150/90 mmHg on treatment and 152/92 mmHg after stopping. The mean blood pressure in the sotalol group was 145/89 mmHg, and after stopping the drug 145/89 mmHg after gradual withdrawal and 146/89 mmHg following abrupt withdrawal. Eleven patients in the sotalol group had a history of hypertension and of these only two showed a large rise in blood pressure after stopping the drug. The patient who had the largest rise from 140/100 to 180/120 mmHg suffered the only myocardial infarction in the series.

RENAL FUNCTION

There was no difference in renal function as judged by blood urea measured immediately before discontinuing the trial drug.

Mean Urea. (a) Placebo group 6·1 mmol/l (range 2·6–8·6); (b) sotalol (gradual withdrawal) 5·4 mmol/l (range 3·1–9·4); (c) sotalol (abrupt withdrawal) 5·4 mmol/l (range 2·8–9·5).

NITRATE TREATMENT

Patients were allowed glyceryl trinitrate as required. At the start of this study only six were using long acting nitrates: one in the placebo group, two gradually stopping sotalol, and three abruptly stopping sotalol. None was using any other antianginal drug.

Discussion

It is important to distinguish between the effects of sotalol withdrawal compared with placebo from the effects of withdrawing sotalol gradually or suddenly. As sotalol is an effective antianginal drug, one might anticipate worsening of angina after its withdrawal. This is the case in our study where only 7% of the placebo group experienced worsening of angina after withdrawal. This is in line with the findings of a previous study where 7% of patients who stopped sotalol (SE 6·4). There was no difference in the incidence of worsening angina between the patients suddenly stopping (23%) and those gradually stopping sotalol (19%). New onset angina was uncommon but was confined to patients discontinuing sotalol. Though this might be a rebound phenomenon a likely explanation is progression of the coronary heart disease, the clinical expression of which had been prevented by sotalol. Similarly the reappearance of previous angina may reflect simply the removal of effective suppressive treatment. The rate of sotalol withdrawal did not influence the incidence of new onset or recurrent angina.

The incidence of major cardiac events was very low even in patients who were fully active at home or at work. The single non-fatal myocardial infarction occurred in a patient who had stopped sotalol after gradual withdrawal. This individual was previously...
hypertensive and showed a large increase in blood pressure after stopping sotalol. This reaction, however, appeared to be uncommon and occurred in only two of the 11 hypertensive patients treated with sotalol.

Patients taking sotalol had a significant bradycardia, and interestingly after stopping the drug appeared to have a slight overshoot in their mean heart rate which exceeded that of the placebo group (82 beats/minute and 72 beats/minute, respectively). The rate of sotalol withdrawal did not appear to influence the mean heart rate. Whether this represents a change in catecholamine levels or increased sensitivity of the beta receptors as suggested by Boudoulas et al. is not clear. Whatever the mechanism underlying the overswing, it appears to be of no clinical significance. With regard to minor symptoms there was no real difference in the general well-being between patients in any of the groups after withdrawal of treatment except for palpitation which was experienced more frequently by those discontinuing sotalol.

This study shows that sotalol can be discontinued in patients with ischaemic heart disease who are fully active at home without major risk of a cardiac catastrophe. It is however important to anticipate worsening of angina in some patients simply on the basis of withdrawing an effective antianginal agent. Whether sotalol was discontinued gradually or suddenly appeared unimportant. Before concluding that beta blockers in general can be safely stopped in a sudden fashion, however, one must consider the half life of the particular drug. Sotalol has a long half life (seven to 18 hours) so even sudden discontinuation of treatment may still allow a relatively gradual reduction in beta blockade compared, for example, with the discontinuation of a short acting drug such as propranolol. Though the results of this study must be interpreted in the context of a long half life beta blocker the precise clinical importance of half life duration remains unclear. The study of Myers et al. of propranolol withdrawal in patients in hospital suggests even short half life beta blockers can be suddenly stopped without major risk.
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