Closed chest modification of atrioventricular conduction system in man for treatment of refractory supraventricular tachycardia
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SUMMARY We describe a technique for interruption/modification of atrioventricular conduction using a direct current shock delivered from a defibrillator to the atrioventricular junctional tissue by means of a conventional electrode wire. The method was used in three patients with refractory supraventricular tachycardia. After the procedure two patients received an atrioventricular sequential pacemaker.

The first patient had a cardiomyopathy and intermittent Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome resulting from a septal accessory atrioventricular pathway associated with a two year history of recurrent reciprocating tachycardia and occasional episodes of atrial flutter/fibrillation. Delivery of a direct current shock resulted in first degree atrioventricular block resulting from conduction delay proximal to the recorded His bundle deflection. Atrial pacing at a cycle length of 580 ms resulted in 2:1 block and ventricular pacing showed no retrograde conduction. The patient has done well without pacemaker implantation and remains free from reciprocating tachycardia. During atrial flutter/fibrillation, the ventricular rate ranged from 62 to 75 beats/minute. These results are consistent with modification of the atrioventricular node-His bundle, and complete ablation of conduction over the septal accessory pathway in both the anterograde and retrograde direction.

The second patient had coronary artery disease with a history of recurrent episodes of atrial fibrillation for two years. Delivery of a direct current shock resulted in persistent complete atrioventricular block and neither anterograde nor retrograde conduction was present during atrial and ventricular pacing, respectively. During a sustained episode of atrial flutter, complete atrioventricular block with a ventricular rate between 40 and 48 beats/minute was present. A permanent pacemaker was implanted.

The third patient had a 14 year history of incessant junctional reciprocating tachycardia. After the shock, sinus rhythm appeared with first degree block and anterograde conduction was evident for the first time over a posterior septal pathway which exhibited decremental behaviour.

The follow-up is 15 months in the first two patients and 11 months in the third. We conclude that the technique used, which does not require open heart surgery, can provide effective treatment in patients with this disabling supraventricular arrhythmia.

Despite the availability of numerous antiarrhythmic drugs, supraventricular arrhythmias resistant to pharmacological treatment are not rare. Some of these can be managed by pacemaker techniques. Nevertheless, when arrhythmias become disabling and unresponsive to the above mentioned approaches, surgical division of atrioventricular conduction with implantation of a pacemaker should be considered. This approach, however, requires open heart surgery greatly limiting its use.
Recently, a technique for closed chest interruption/modification of atrioventricular conduction was described. In this communication we describe our experience with this technique in three illustrative cases.

Subjects and methods

The methods have been previously described. A 6 French bipolar electrode wire is passed transvenously with its tip positioned in the right ventricle and connected to a demand pacemaker. A second wire, a 6 French tripolar electrode wire (United States Catheter and Instrument Corporation), is advanced via the femoral vein to the region of the His bundle. Three unipolar electrograms are recorded simultaneously. The His bundle catheter is connected by means of a switching device to the cathodal output of a standard cardioversion (PhysioControl Lifepak 6) device. A back paddle, placed adjacent to the left scapula, is connected to the anodal output of the defibrillator. The switching device permits rapid shifting from recording to discharging. Thus, an attempt is made to record a His bundle electrogram which shows atrial and His bundle deflections of large amplitude. This is most commonly achieved on the distal unipolar electrode. The patient is then sedated with intravenous diazepam and preparations are made to discharge the defibrillator. A synchronised direct current shock is delivered through the appropriate electrode on the His bundle catheter. Demand ventricular pacing is instituted. The region of the His bundle is periodically scanned with the pacemaker off in an attempt to record the electrical activity of the His bundle or the right bundle branch. To confirm the persistence of complete block, atropine (2 mg IV) and isoprenaline (3 \( \mu \)g/min IV) are administered during atrial pacing.

After the procedure, demand ventricular stimulation is maintained at 70 beats/min with continuous electrocardiographic monitoring. Serum cardiac isoenzymes of lactic dehydrogenase and creatine kinase are determined for 48 hours after the shock. After 24 to 72 hours, His bundle recordings and atrial pacing are repeated. An atrioventricular sequential pacemaker is implanted if persistent block is observed for at least 72 hours.

CASE 1

The first patient was a 61 year old man with cardiomegaly and intermittent pre-excitation syndrome caused by a posterior septal accessory pathway. He had a two year history of recurrent reciprocating tachycardia. Sporadic episodes of atrial flutter/fibrillation had been documented as well. During an episode of atrial fibrillation, a pseudoventricular tachycardia resulting from maximal pre-excitation was documented. Amiodarone prevented a life threatening ventricular response during induced atrial fibrillation, but it failed to prevent the arrhythmia (Fig. 1). The ventricular rate during arrhythmic episodes averaged 150 to 160 beats/min and further pharmacological regimens with common antiarrhythmic drugs did not yield useful results. An automatic radiofrequency device also failed to provide

---

Fig. 1  Case 1. Amiodarone effects (2000 mg weekly for four weeks) on the pre-excitation pattern during both sinus rhythm and induced atrial flutter/fibrillation. After treatment pre-excitation signs disappear. Ventricular response during atrial tachyarrhythmia shows maximal pre-excitation in all beats but one, with a heart rate of 160 bpm, minimal RR interval of subsequent pre-excited beats of 240 ms. During amiodarone treatment no pre-excited beat is present, heart rate being 96 bpm with a right bundle-branch block pattern. Carotid sinus massage induces an increase in atrioventricular node refractoriness which results in a transient slowing of ventricular response and in the appearance of three pre-excited beats, probably caused by the shift of anterograde conduction from the nodal-His to the accessory pathway. Alternatively this event might be explained in terms of complete atrioventricular block followed by three escape beats.
control of reciprocating tachycardia.

CASE 2
The second patient was a 60 year old woman with ischaemic heart disease and a history of recurrent episodes of atrial flutter/fibrillation; long term treatment with antiarrhythmic drugs was ineffective and the patient had undergone cardioversion on one occasion. Cardiomegaly and signs of congestive heart failure were present.

CASE 3
The third patient was a 36 year old woman who had suffered for 14 years from incessant junctional reciprocating tachycardia, unresponsive to pharmacological treatment. During tachycardia, heart rate ranged from 130 to 180 beats/min and the surface electrocardiograms showed a retrograde P wave, with a PR interval shorter than RP interval (Fig. 2). Sinus rhythm showed a normal PR interval and no evidence of a delta wave. Neither critical cycle length nor critical atrioventricular conduction was found to be necessary for tachycardia initiation. During electrophysiological investigation, anterograde and retrograde curves could not be analysed because of the presence of incessant tachycardia. Endocardial mapping of the right atrium and coronary sinus showed (Fig. 3) that the earliest retrograde atrial electrogram was recorded in the region of the coronary sinus orifice. Premature ventricular stimulation at coupling intervals ranging from 390 to 325 ms interrupted tachycardia without resulting in retrograde atrial activation. Ventricular premature beats delivered at a time when the His bundle was refractory could also interrupt tachycardia.

Results
Two shocks were necessary in all patients to obtain useful results. A first shock of 200 joules resulted in transient block of conduction in the atrioventricular node-His bundle in all cases, with restoration of conduction after 30 minutes, three hours, and 20 days, respectively. Electrode wire positioning, however, was impaired in each of these cases by the presence of atrial flutter/fibrillation, atrial flutter, and reciproc-
Closed chest modification of atrioventricular conduction system in man

Closed chest modification of atrioventricular conduction system in man

In case 1, a second shock of 280 joules delivered during sinus rhythm produced complete atrioventricular block with junctional escape rhythm; three days later a second degree block of the type I variety appeared, followed by 1:1 conduction with delay proximal to the recorded His deflection (AH interval 240 ms). Atrial pacing at a cycle length of 580 ms induced 2:1 atrioventricular block and ventricular pacing showed the absence of retrograde conduction (Fig. 4). After the shock (Fig. 5), the ventricular rate during atrial flutter/fibrillation ranged from 62 to 75 beats/min in contrast with the fast rate observed before the shock. These results have been confirmed during repetitive tests of anterograde and retrograde conduction during the follow-up period.

In case 2, the second shock (280 joules) delivered during sinus rhythm resulted in persistent complete atrioventricular block with an escape rhythm of 50 beats/min; right bundle-branch block was present as a result of the first shock. Atrial and ventricular pacing after atropine documented the absence of anterograde and retrograde conduction. The ventricular response during a sustained episode of atrial flutter ranged from 40 to 48 beats/min. These results were reproducible in the follow-up period.

In case 3, the first shock of 200 joules had resulted in disappearance of reciprocating tachycardia for three weeks. During that time, the surface electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm with first degree atrioventricular block (PR interval 0.36 s). The QRS morphology was anomalous. There was extreme left axis deviation and the precordial forces showed a transition complex in V1 with positive complexes noted in V2 to V6. An electrophysiological study performed 20 days after the first shock (Fig. 6) showed the absence of anterograde conduction over the His
bundle when anomalous QRS complexes were evident. During the study, however, a spontaneous atrioventricular Wenckebach phenomenon was observed. This was followed by a sudden change in the atrioventricular conduction pattern, characterised by evidence of His bundle activation with normal atrioventricular conduction intervals. The same transition to normal conduction could be elicited by atrial pacing at a cycle length of 560 ms. Subsequently, tachycardia recurred. A second shock of 280 joules delivered during sinus rhythm resulted in first degree atrioventricular block (PR 0-36 s). The AH interval measured 90 ms. All conducted atrial impulses were now associated with anomalous QRS responses which were not preceded by His bundle deflections. A repeat electrophysiological study was performed 30 days later. Spontaneous atrioventricular Wenckebach was again observed. Administration of atropine as well as atrial pacing at a cycle length of 580 ms resulted in 2:1 atrioventricular conduction. Once again, all conducted atrial impulses manifested an anomalous QRS complex. There was no evidence of conduction over the atrioventricular node-His bundle. Ventricular pacing at a cycle length of 480 ms resulted in earliest retrograde atrial activation in the region of the orifice of the coronary sinus. A retrograde Wenckebach phenomenon followed by 2:1 ventriculotriatrial conduction appeared at a cycle length of 380 ms. Since the second shock, the patient has remained free of tachycardia. The above observations were felt to be consistent with anterograde (and retrograde) conduction over a septal accessory pathway exhibiting decremental behaviour.

Discussion

The various techniques previously used in attempts to interrupt atrioventricular conduction have yielded inconsistent results, with a variable incidence of tricuspid regurgitation and membranous septal defect noted. Such methods include ligation of tissue near the atrioventricular node, electrocautery of the nodal-His region, mechanical crushing, surgical dissection, septal infarction, and formalin injection in the region of the His bundle. Recently a new technique of ablating atrioventricular conduction using a cryoprobe has been introduced. In this communication, the possibility of obtaining closed chest interruption/modification of atrioventricular conduction without septal or tricuspid valvular damage while preserving a subsidiary escape rhythm is shown. Furthermore, as documented by the study of cardiac enzyme release, no relevant myocardial damage results from the use of this method.

As to the energy level, a 200 joules discharge should suffice in the presence of a correct position of the electrode. Nevertheless, in our patients a second shock of 280 joules was required. Correct catheter positioning during the first attempt was probably affected by the presence of atrial tachyarrhythmia in all three patients such that a large atrial electrogram was not observed at that time.

In the first patient, clinical results were considered optimal for the following reasons: (a) the appearance of 2:1 atrioventricular block during atrial pacing at a cycle length of 580 ms as well as the absence of retrograde conduction during ventricular pacing showed that a reciprocating tachycardia cannot occur (Fig. 4). Indeed, since the second shock this patient has been free from such episodes of tachycardia; (b) furthermore, the absence of anomalous anterograde conduction as well as the absence of any retrograde conduction suggests that the accessory pathway responsible for pre-excitation was ablated. The damage provoked by the shock is responsible for a high degree of atrioventricular block during atrial tachyarrhythmias resulting in only a moderate ventricular response. Though the method described in this paper...
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usually requires pacemaker implantation, the results obtained in this first patient suggest the theoretical possibility of modifying atrioventricular conduction without interrupting it. Proper positioning of the catheter as well as appropriate energy levels might permit limited damage of atrioventricular conduction.

The results obtained in the third patient are probably the result of interruption of the His bundle with anterograde conduction now occurring over a slow conducting accessory pathway. Before the shock, this accessory pathway was evidenced only by retrograde conduction. Observations made after the two shocks in this patient show that an “unusual” form of intranodal re-entry was not responsible for tachycardia in this case and suggest instead that the tachycardia circuit incorporated a slowly conducting accessory ventriculoatrial pathway. The presence of intact anterograde conduction over this accessory pathway at present avoids pacemaker dependence in this patient.

Although the application of the method was confined in this communication to the atrioventricular junction, the potential clearly exists in the future for use of this technique as a means for treatment of tachycardias arising in the atrium, other portions of the atrioventricular junction, or the ventricle.
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