Single lead atrial synchronised pacing in patients with cardiogenic shock after acute myocardial infarction

M B FOWLER, J C P CRICK, D I TAYLER, M J ENGLISH, D J WOOLLONS, A D TIMMIS, R VINCENT, D A CHAMBERLAIN

From the Department of Cardiology, Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton; and the Division of Biomedical Engineering, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton

SUMMARY A pacing system requiring only a single lead was used to establish atrial synchronised pacing in eight patients with complete atrioventricular block and cardiogenic shock following acute myocardial infarction. Spontaneous atrial activity was sensed through electrodes positioned on the pacing lead and used to trigger ventricular demand pacing. A normal atrioventricular relation could be established in each of these critically ill patients without the complexity of inserting and finding a stable position for an additional atrial sensing lead.

Atrial synchronised pacing at the spontaneous sinus rate had distinct haemodynamic advantages compared with conventional ventricular pacing at 100 beats/min. Mean cardiac output for the group was 3.3 l/min with atrial synchronised pacing compared with 2.6 l/min with conventional pacing, a significant difference of 27%. Peak systolic pressure averaged respectively 91 and 73 mm Hg in the two pacing modes. With conventional ventricular pacing a pronounced phasic alteration in blood pressure was observed, dependent on the altering relation of the paced beats to spontaneous atrial activity. Atrial synchronised pacing abolished this effect and resulted in a stable blood pressure at or above the peak pressure achieved with conventional pacing.

Atrial synchronised pacing with a single lead system can be established rapidly. This mode of pacing has appreciable and significant haemodynamic superiority over conventional ventricular pacing in patients with cardiogenic shock and atrioventricular block following acute myocardial infarction.

Most patients who develop complete atrioventricular block following prolonged myocardial ischaemia have inferior infarction associated with failure of conduction at the level of the atrioventricular node. The escape rhythm, commonly of junctional origin, is reliable, and ventricular pacing is not usually required. In contrast, the majority of patients with anterior infarction (and only a few with inferior infarction) complicated by complete atrioventricular block have unreliable escape foci of ventricular origin, having sustained extensive myocardial necrosis. Pacing is mandatory, but the prognosis remains poor because of associated cardiogenic shock.

Under these circumstances the haemodynamic advantages of re-establishing atrioventricular synchronisation may be particularly important. But the difficulty of inserting and finding a stable position for a second (atrial) lead under emergency conditions has been a major factor in hindering the widespread adoption of this "physiological" mode of pacing. Moreover, the value of an atrial contribution to cardiac output in patients with raised left ventricular filling pressure is controversial. Small haemodynamic benefit may be thought not to justify the complexity of the procedure.

We report the use of a pacing system (prototype Telelectrons Sussex AV pacemaker), initially developed at Sussex University, which requires only a single lead to achieve atrial synchronised ventricular pacing. The potential advantages of this form of pac-
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Pacing for patients with complete heart block and cardiogenic shock have been assessed by comparing haemodynamic variables during conventional and atrial synchronised pacing.

Patients and methods

Measurements were made in eight patients during emergency pacing following acute myocardial infarction. All had cardiogenic shock with peripheral vasoconstriction, a systolic blood pressure of less than 90 mm Hg, and anuria. The ages of the six men and two women ranged from 57 to 76 (mean 63) years. The site of infarction was inferior in four, anterior in two, and indeterminate in two. The indication for pacing was asystole in three patients or complete atrioventricular block with a heart rate of less than 50 beats/min in the remaining five (four of whom had ventricular fibrillation).

In six of the patients a 6 French quadrapolar temporary pacing lead (USCI) was inserted percutaneously via a subclavian vein with the tip positioned near the apex of the right ventricle. The lead has a distal pole and three others 10, 15, and 20 cm from the tip. The indifferent pole for both pacing and sensing was the most proximal and lay in the superior vena cava. Electrograms were recorded from both poles which lay within the atrium: the pole detecting the larger atrial signal was selected for unipolar atrial sensing. In the remaining two patients a pacing wire with a choice of four atrial poles was used.

A prototype Telectronics Sussex AV synchronous pacemaker was connected to the common indifferent, the ventricular, and the selected atrial pole. The pacemaker functions as a unipolar or bipolar atrial synchronised ventricular inhibited unit. The stimulus frequency is governed by atrial depolarisation signals in the atrial electrogram (corresponding to the P waves of the surface electrocardiogram), but provision is made for continued safe pacing if the atrial rate should become unacceptably slow or fast. The unit functions as a ventricular demand pacemaker if atrial sensing is switched off. In this mode, stimulus frequency is determined by the "standby rate" setting, which also provides the "escape" rate during unexpected sinus slowing or failed atrial sensing. The upper rate limit is adjustable to a maximum of 150 beats/min. Above the upper rate limit each atrial depolarisation will cause ventricular pacing with increasing delay until eventually the stimulus following a P wave is blocked mimicking spontaneous Wenckebach periods.

A triple lumen thermodilution Swan-Ganz catheter (Edwards Laboratories Inc, cardiac output computer number 9520) was used to inject 10 ml of 5% dextrose at room temperature. Five readings were recorded at each setting. Solitary aberrant readings were rejected. In one patient cardiac output measurements were not available because of a computer fault.

A short Teflon cannula inserted into the radial artery was used to record blood pressure. All pressures were measured with reference to the sternal angle using Statham P23Db transducers and were recorded together with an electrocardiogram on a fibreoptic medical recorder (Cambridge Scientific Instruments Ltd). If phasic variation was considerable an average was taken of peak and trough measurements.

Atrial synchronised pacing, with heart rate controlled by sinus activity, was established in all the patients, and haemodynamic measurements were recorded once these had stabilised. The patients were then paced in conventional demand mode at 100 beats/min for at least five minutes, and the haemodynamic measurements were repeated.

The procedure was fully explained to all the patients, but signed formal consent was not sought because haemodynamic monitoring is performed routinely in our unit for patients who are critically ill with cardiogenic shock. Student's t test for paired data was used for statistical comparisons.

Results

The Table summarises the principal haemodynamic effects of conventional ventricular pacing at 100 beats/min and atrial synchronised pacing at the spontaneous heart rate. Fig. 1 shows the change in cardiac output and stroke volume in the seven patients from whom measurements were obtained. In every case cardiac output was higher with atrial synchronised pacing, the mean increment for the group being 27% (p < 0-005). In two patients the synchronised pacing rate rose to 130 beats/min, and consequently their stroke volumes fell slightly.

Conventional pacing caused a pronounced phasic variation in systemic blood pressure (Fig. 2) dependent on the altering relation between atrial contraction and paced beats. We also noticed a tendency for the arterial pressure to continue to fall during the period of conventional pacing. Atrial synchronised pacing abolished the phasic variation and resulted in a stable pressure at or above the peak reading recorded during conventional pacing. Systolic pressure was augmented more (73–91 mm Hg) than diastolic pressure (47–54 mm Hg), and consequently pulse pressure was increased (26–37 mm Hg).
Table Comparison of haemodynamic variables during standard ventricular pacing (VVI) at 100 beats per minute and atrial synchronised pacing (VDD). Values are means ± SEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haemodynamic variables</th>
<th>VVI</th>
<th>VDD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>73±6</td>
<td>91±8</td>
<td>p&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>47±3</td>
<td>54±3</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic vascular resistance (units)</td>
<td>23·9±2·0</td>
<td>21·9±1·4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>100±0</td>
<td>105±13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (l/min)</td>
<td>2·6±0·8</td>
<td>3·3±0·8</td>
<td>p&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume (ml)</td>
<td>26±3</td>
<td>33±4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>10±2</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery systolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>28±4·0</td>
<td>32±3·0</td>
<td>p&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery diastolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pressure was recorded with reference to the sternal angle. (Reference to the mid-chest would require the addition of about 5 mm Hg.) NS, not significant.

Discussion

The patients whom we studied were characterised by having cardiogenic shock. Seven of the eight had been resuscitated from ventricular fibrillation or prolonged asystole before pacing was established, and all remained in a critical condition during ventricular pacing. We could not determine the optimal ventricu-
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Using timing, filtering, and signal comparison to separate the atrial and ventricular electrograms. It was possible to sense atrial electrograms down to a nominal 0.1 mV. Because of unstable electrograms, there were occasional instances of failed atrial tracking seen in every patient. The pacemaker then functioned correctly as a conventional pacing unit at the set standby rate. In none of the patients were these failed sensing periods prolonged.

Our experience with the prototype unit has strengthened our belief that atrial synchronised pacing is the most suitable mode for patients with initially impaired myocardial function. The single lead system has shown that the haemodynamic benefits of atrial synchronisation can be achieved without sacrificing simplicity of lead insertion or the speed with which pacing can be initiated.

The single lead system overcomes important disadvantages of physiological pacing. It is particularly suited for use in patients who are critically ill and least able to tolerate the delay caused by insertion of a second lead. Indeed atrial synchronised pacing was successfully initiated in three patients during cardiopulmonary resuscitation for ventricular standstill. No special skills are required above those necessary for conventional pacing.

The prototype Telelectronics Sussex AV synchronous external pacemaker employs sensing circuits
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