Profound bradycardia after amyl nitrite in patients with a tendency to vasovagal episodes
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SUMMARY  Two patients with mild aortic insufficiency inhaled amyl nitrite during routine echocardiographic examinations. One developed sinus arrest and syncope and the other had pronounced sinus bradycardia. The mechanism of this paradoxical response is unclear. Caution should be exercised when amyl nitrite is administered for diagnostic purposes.

Amyl nitrite is routinely used during physical and echocardiographic examinations for the evaluation of cardiac murmurs. This vasoactive agent generally causes dilatation of peripheral arteries and veins and striking tachycardia. We report an atypical and potentially dangerous response to amyl nitrite in two patients.

Case reports

PATIENT 1
A 32 year old man was referred for echocardiography for evaluation of a murmur after he had fainted when a phlebotomy needle was inserted. On another occasion, he had noted pronounced lightheadedness after blood donation. He denied other symptoms of cardiac disease. Physical examination revealed a short murmur of aortic regurgitation.

A baseline M mode echocardiogram performed with the patient supine was normal. Resting heart rate was 58 beats per minute. Seconds after inhaling amyl nitrite, and while he was still supine, the patient complained of lightheadedness. The technician noted that the patient was sweating profusely, and shortly afterwards he became unresponsive. Figure (a) is the M mode echocardiogram at the level of the mitral valve obtained immediately before and immediately after onset of the syncopal episode. After amyl nitrite inhalation the patient's heart rate initially slowed to 40 beats per minute. All atrial and ventricular electrical activity disappeared, and recorded cardiac motion ceased for 10 s. The technician attempted to arouse the patient, who became responsive after 3–5 s. Resumption of the echocardiographic record showed three junctional beats, followed by sinus rhythm at 60 beats per minute. When he was examined shortly after the episode the patient was peripherally constricted and sweating and complained of mild dizziness. The pulse rate was 56 beats per minute, blood pressure 110/70 mm Hg, and the respiratory rate was 18 per minute. Cardiovascular and neurological examinations were unremarkable and repeat M mode and cross sectional echocardiograms were normal. The results of carotid sinus massage, hyperventilation, biochemical analysis of blood, 24 hour ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring, and exercise stress test did not disclose any abnormality. The patient was told to avoid venepuncture. He remains symptom free.

PATIENT 2
A 32 year old man was referred for evaluation of a murmur that had been detected one month earlier at routine physical examination. He complained of severe anxiety leading to nausea, lightheadedness, sweating, and syncope. He recalled at least eight previous syncopal events, all associated with either medical visits or venepuncture. He had no other cardiac symptoms. Physical examination was normal apart from a l/IV early diastolic decrescendo blowing murmur at the base of the heart. Electrocardiogram and chest radiography were normal. M mode echocardiographic examination showed fine diastolic fluttering of the anterior mitral leaflet with normal chamber dimensions. After inhalation of amyl nitrite the sinus rate fell progressively from 78
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to 30 beats per minute (Fig. (b)) and the patient complained of lightheadedness. The pulse rate then increased gradually.

Electrocardiographic examination during carotid sinus massage and hyperventilation, cross sectional echocardiography, 24 hour ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring, and exercise stress test showed no abnormalities.

Discussion

These two apparently healthy patients had paradoxical bradycardia after inhalation of amyl nitrite. One had sinus arrest with loss of consciousness while supine. Although both had short murmurs characteristic of aortic insufficiency, neither had evidence of haemodynamically important cardiovascular disease. They were taking no medications. To our knowledge, sinus bradycardia and arrest after amyl nitrite inhalation have not been described before.

Inhaled amyl nitrite enters the circulation rapidly, producing sudden and pronounced arteriolar and venous dilatation. The fall in systemic blood pressure results in reflex stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system, producing sinus tachycardia and pronounced venoconstriction, both of which contribute to the increase in cardiac output. Reflex arteriolar constriction occurs as well. Amyl nitrite induces more pronounced reflex vasoconstriction than glyceryl trinitrate.

In patients with severe coronary stenosis, cardiac output may fall after nitrate administration if the induced hypotension intensifies ischaemia. Come and Pitt described syncope in five patients with myocardial infarction. They developed hypotension and bradycardia without preceding tachycardia after they had been given sublingual or intravenous glyceryl trinitrate in the first 24 hours after myocardial infarction.

Figure: M mode echocardiograms at the level of the mitral valve several seconds after amyl nitrite inhalation. (a) In patient 1 two sinus beats were followed by prolonged asystole. (b) In patient 2 the sinus rate slowed to 30 beats per minute. There is evidence of diastolic fluttering of the mitral valve. AML, anterior mitral leaflet; PML, posterior mitral leaflet; IV septum, interventricular septum.
Nitrate induced syncope is not restricted to patients with coronary artery disease. Studies of normal volunteers on tilt tables have suggested that decreased effective circulating blood volume secondary to dependent venous pooling may cause circulatory collapse, and that this reaction may be intensified by the administration of sodium nitrite. Such reactions are characterised by constant central venous pressure, gradually falling arterial pressure, and complaints of sweating, nausea, abdominal cramps, and dizziness. Abrupt falls in blood pressure and heart rate may follow. Loss of consciousness may be prevented by returning the subjects to the supine position, negative pressure breathing, or albumin infusion. Atropine prevents bradycardia but not syncope. These haemodynamic responses have been ascribed to parasympathetic reflexes induced by ischaemia of the medulla oblongata or by left ventricular cavity obliteration. The theory that cerebral ischaemia causes the bradycardia has been questioned because changes in heart rate precede electroencephalographic changes. In the two patients described here, there was no obliteration on the M mode echocardiograms at the time the haemodynamic changes occurred.

Vagal reflexes are often implicated in syncope associated with bradycardia. Because reflex asystole rarely lasts more than 10s, and 15s of asystole are generally required to produce unconsciousness in the recumbent position, reflex mediated syncope is unusual in a supine patient. There are multiple afferent pathways which may lead to vagally induced bradycardia, asystole, and vasodilatation. Afferent fibres originate in the heart, arterial vessels, respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts, the ear, and the trigeminal and glossopharyngeal nerves. Hypersensitivity is extremely variable, and is subject to influences such as hypoxia and medications. There are many intracardiac reflexes. The coronary chemoreflex, also mediated by the vagus nerve, produces a fall in blood pressure and heart rate after intracoronary injection of chemicals such as digitalis, nicotine, adenosine triphosphate, serotonin, phenyl diguanide, and isothiourea. The effects of amyl nitrite on this reflex have not been examined. Cardiac pressor reflexes after an increase in intracavitary pressures result in bradycardia and hypotension. Intense vasodilatation and bradycardia, as well as decreased venous return, have been induced in dogs by acute increases in left ventricular pressure. Appreciable increases in left ventricular pressure after amyl nitrite administration, however, have not been demonstrated.

Glossopharyngeal neuralgia may cause bradycardia and syncope, and similar bradycardias have been described in patients with “swallow syncope” in association with oesophageal lesions. Our patients had no history of mandibular pain or symptoms referable to the oesophagus. Several inhaled gases, such as ammonia, phosgene, and bromine vapour, cause vagally mediated reductions in heart rate and blood pressure. These may act on chemoreceptors in the lung or may be systemically absorbed and act at other sites. Amyl nitrite, despite its broad clinical use, has not been associated with pulmonary chemoreflexes.

Because these patients were told to inhale deeply when amyl nitrite was administered, some degree of hyperventilation induced hypocapnia may have resulted. Hypocapnia intensifies cerebral vasoconstriction, and, therefore, may contribute to centrally mediated syncope. Neither patient, however, developed symptoms of hypocapnia, nor did hyperventilation at a later time reproduce the bradycardia or syncope.

The cause of the bradycardia in these patients is unclear. Neither of the patients responded to amyl nitrite with early tachycardia. In patient 1, a trained athlete, relative insensitivity of cardiac beta receptors may have contributed to lack of a chronotropic response, but patient 2 had a sedentary lifestyle. In both, bradycardia was the first manifestation of this unusual reaction.

It is important to note that bradycardia and sinus arrest may occur as an unexpected reaction to amyl nitrite inhalation. Administration of this agent has been considered to be a routine procedure without known serious sequelae. Although the complication noted in this report is probably rare, we feel that the use of amyl nitrite should be avoided in patients with unexplained syncope. This agent should be administered by staff who are trained in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and atropine and appropriate resuscitative equipment should be available.

We thank Dr Dennison Young for permission to describe his patient.
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