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New technique for showing the relation of tomographic myocardial perfusion images obtained with thallium-201 to the coronary arteries

Sir,

We note with interest the recent article by Gibson et al (1985; 54: 367–74). We would like to compliment the authors on the quality of image data which they have obtained but we have some reservations about the clinical conclusions that they draw. There is no doubt that the presence of coronary stenosis will be one factor that will determine the distribution of thallium throughout the myocardium in coronary artery disease. There are, however, additional factors to be considered. For example the nature of the coronary circulation may have a profound influence on the distribution of the isotope. Although most patients have a right dominant circulation, balanced and left dominant circulations are not uncommon. The authors used a cast of coronary arteries obtained from one normal necropsy specimen and they related image defects seen on the tomographic data to this single cast. This cannot be appropriate for all patients and may lead to erroneous statements about the involvement of individual coronary arteries. Furthermore, coronary collateral circulation may have a major effect on myocardial nuclide distribution in coronary artery disease. Although there is controversy about the precise value of collateral circulation there is no doubt that in some instances coronary collaterals can provide at least relative protection from stress induced ischaemia in the context of thallium distribution. The authors also failed to address themselves to the question of patients with multiple vessel disease, who belong to an important group from the point of view of prognosis. Such patients may well be difficult to identify even by tomographic techniques since we may identify ischaemia in only one of a number of potentially ischaemic zones.

Finally we suspect that thallium scintigraphy will never provide data on the localisation of coronary artery disease sufficient to guide surgeons in coronary bypass grafting. Not only the presence of disease but also the precise location of stenoses in the coronary tree, the number of stenoses in a given vessel, and the quality of distal vessel are major anatomical factors which will guide our surgeons in their decisions on coronary artery bypass grafting. It is unlikely that thallium scintigraphy, even when a tomographic approach is used, will provide such detail. We feel, therefore, that although this technique may be one step forward towards localising coronary artery disease by thallium scintigraphy it is far from being the final solution.

R Gordon Murray,
Jane Flint,
East Birmingham Hospital,
Bordesley Green East,
Birmingham B9 5ST.
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This letter was shown to the authors, who reply as follows:

Sir,

We feel that Dr Murray and Dr Flint may have missed the point of our paper. They have summarised the now well accepted arguments on the relation between myocardial perfusion imaging and coronary angiography. Conclusions which have in the past been drawn about this relation and the extent to which the distribution of thallium-201 in