Residual myocardial jeopardy in patients with Q-wave and non-Q-wave infarctions
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SUMMARY The correlation between the presence of jeopardised myocardium and the electrocardiographic patterns of anterior and inferior Q-wave and non-Q-wave infarctions was studied in 486 patients who had had stable symptoms for at least six months after a single myocardial infarction. Myocardial jeopardy was identified on a ventriculogram in the right anterior oblique position if normal or hypokinetic wall motion was seen in all segments distal to a lesion that caused stenosis of >50% and <100% in the proximal or mid left anterior descending coronary artery (anterior jeopardy), or in the proximal or mid right coronary artery or proximal circumflex coronary artery in a left dominant circulation (inferior jeopardy). Patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarctions had a significant increase in the frequency of jeopardised myocardium when compared with patients with Q-wave inferior or anterior infarctions. The group with non-Q-wave anterior infarction also had a significantly lower percentage of myocardial segments with absent wall motion in the area of infarction than all other groups.

This combination of coronary narrowing with retained wall motion may contribute to the increased frequency of reinfarction seen in some studies of non-Q-wave infarction.

Viable myocardium distal to significantly diseased coronary vessels is regarded as being at jeopardy of infarction. The presence of jeopardised myocardium may influence therapeutic decisions about cardiovascular surgery. Analysis of variables associated with a decision to operate showed that the myocardial jeopardy score was ranked first by seven of fifteen investigators in a large multicentre study.1 A recent study has demonstrated a strong correlation between haemodynamic changes during atrial pacing and the amount of left ventricular myocardium at jeopardy of coronary ischaemia.2

The results of these studies emphasise the close relation between jeopardised myocardium, haemodynamic impairment, and the concern of the physician to preserve left ventricular function. We have compared myocardial jeopardy scores in patients with a single Q-wave or non-Q-wave infarction in a large homogenous group of patients who had survived at least six months after a single documented myocardial infarction. The results illustrate the predictive ability of electrocardiogram patterns and provide an indication of the type and extent of myocardial jeopardy to be expected in patients with stable symptoms after myocardial infarction.

Patients and methods

METHODS

The Program on Surgical Control of Hyperlipidemia (POSCH) is a multicentre randomised trial of cholesterol reduction by partial ileal bypass to prevent morbidity and mortality after an initial myocardial infarction.3 Baseline studies were performed on 486 patients entered into the study...
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Anterior myocardial jeopardy was defined as retained wall motion in all four anterior and anterolateral segments (normal or hypokinetic motion in segments 1–4) distal to a proximal or mid left anterior descending lesion causing a >50% but <100% reduction in vessel diameter. Jeopardy of the inferior surface was judged to be present when normal or hypokinetic wall motion was present both in segments 5 and 6 distal to a similar lesion in the proximal or mid portion of the right coronary artery or proximal circumflex branch in a left dominant system.

The extent of coronary vascular disease was also classified by the number of significantly diseased arteries (one to three). We excluded patients in whom clinically significant disease of the left main coronary artery was seen on the angiogram performed at the time of entry into the study. Continuous baseline variables were compared by analysis of variance. If a significant (p < 0.05) difference was found Student's t test for unpaired samples was used to analyse all possible comparisons. The extent of wall motion abnormalities and presence of areas of myocardial jeopardy were compared by a standard χ² test. Results are given as mean (1 SD).

Results

No significant differences were found in age at randomisation in patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarction (52.9 (7.1) years), Q-wave anterior infarction (51.4 (7.4) years), non-Q-wave inferior infarction (52.7 (6.9) years), and Q-wave inferior infarction (50.6 (7.7) years). The male to female ratio ranged from 9:0 in those with Q-wave anterior infarction to 1:6 in the group with non-Q-wave inferior infarction and was not significantly different among the groups studied. The mean number of coronary vessels with at least 50% obstruction was 1.6 (0.2) per group and there was no significant difference between groups. The mean time of randomisation from initial myocardial infarction was 28 (1.2) months (range 26.9–29.6 months).

Table 1 shows baseline clinical variables. The differences in systolic and diastolic pressures were statistically significant (systolic p < 0.05; diastolic p < 0.01). Left ventricular ejection fraction was significantly greater in patients with non-Q-wave infarctions. Tabulation of the percentage of patients with coronary collaterals showed that more of the group with Q-wave inferior infarctions had areas of jeopardised myocardium when compared with those who had non-Q-wave anterior infarctions (p < 0.05) and Q-wave anterior infarctions (p < 0.001). Concentrations of high density lipoproteins were slightly lower in patients with non-Q-wave inferior and Q-
wave inferior infarctions than in patients with Q-wave anterior infarctions.

One hundred and twelve patients reported taking β blocking agents at the time of initial randomisation. Patients with Q-wave anterior infarctions (23/158; 15%) were less likely to be on blocking drugs than patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarctions (10/40; 25%), Q-wave inferior infarctions (68/253; 27%), or non-Q-wave inferior infarctions (11/35; 31%). These differences did not reach statistical significance. One hundred and fifty patients were on antianginal drugs that might affect coronary blood flow: non-Q-wave anterior 13/40 (33%), Q-wave anterior 49/158 (31%), non-Q-wave inferior 13/35 (37%), and Q-wave inferior 75/253 (30%). A significantly greater proportion of patients with non-Q-wave inferior infarctions received these drugs than the group with Q-wave inferior infarctions (p < 0.05). These drugs were predominantly nitrates; calcium channel antagonists were taken by only 5% of all patients studied.

Table 2  Patients with residual jeopardised myocardium in the area of previous infarction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Q wave anterior (n = 40)</th>
<th>Q-wave anterior (n = 158)</th>
<th>Non-Q wave inferior (n = 35)</th>
<th>Q-wave inferior (n = 253)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>20 (50-0%)*</td>
<td>28 (17-7%)</td>
<td>9 (25-7%)</td>
<td>47 (18-6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.01 vs Q-wave anterior or inferior infarctions.

Table 3 Percentage of left ventricular segments showing no wall motion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Q wave anterior (n = 40)</th>
<th>Q-wave anterior (n = 158)</th>
<th>Non-Q wave inferior (n = 35)</th>
<th>Q-wave inferior (n = 253)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Segments in infarct area without retained wall motion (%) 3 (10)* 33 (32)† 20 (35)‡ 42 (43)

*p < 0.01 vs all other groups.
†p < 0.05 vs non-Q-wave and Q-wave inferior infarction.
‡p < 0.01 vs Q-wave inferior infarction.

Tables 2 and 3 and figures 1 and 2 show the relation between myocardial jeopardy and the electrocardiographic pattern. Patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarctions had a higher cumulative frequency of residual myocardial jeopardy in the presumed area of infarction than either Q-wave anterior or inferior infarctions (50.0% vs 17.7%; p < 0.01 and 50.0% vs 18.6%; p < 0.01 respectively). The frequency of jeopardised myocardium in non-Q-wave inferior infarction was compared with that in Q-wave infarctions. Myocardial jeopardy was present...
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Fig 2  Wall motion abnormalities in the study group. The number of segments with absent wall motion expressed as a percentage of total anterior or inferior segments is shown. Patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarctions had the lowest frequency of segments with akinesis or dyskinesis. *p < 0.01 compared with all other groups; **p < 0.05 compared with Q-wave inferior infarctions.

in 25.7% patients with non-Q-wave inferior infarction and in 50% of non-Q-wave anterior infarctions; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (χ² = 3.7; 0.05 < p < 0.10).

Absent wall motion in the area of infarction (fig 2 and table 3) was found in only 3% of segments in patients with non-Q-wave anterior infarctions. This was significantly less (p < 0.01) than the frequency found in all other groups including patients with non-Q-wave inferior infarctions. The group with non-Q-wave inferior infarction had a lower proportion of abnormal segments than the group with Q-wave inferior infarction (0.20 (0.4) vs 0.42 (0.4); p < 0.01).

Discussion

The major finding of this study is the increased frequency of jeopardised myocardium in patients with an electrocardiographic pattern of a non-Q-wave anterior infarction. The results may be summarised by stating that half the patients with an anterior ST and T pattern had preserved anterior wall motion distal to a lesion in the proximal or mid left anterior descending coronary artery. A similar pattern of residual myocardial jeopardy was found in approximately ≤25% of all other groups studied. The results reflect the reduced frequency of absent wall motion in the group with non-Q-wave anterior infarction. Although their precise cause cannot be determined, these differences may be the result of the rich vascular supply of the interventricular septum from multiple septal branches and the relatively small area of anterior ischaemia required to produce ST and T changes.

Comparisons of haemodynamic data, hospital course, and prognosis of Q-wave and non-Q-wave infarctions have produced conflicting results. Some clinical studies have demonstrated no significant differences in one or more indices of severity including cardiac arrhythmias, congestive failure, or late mortality. Other reports have suggested that there may be significant differences in the clinical course and prognosis of Q-wave and non-Q-wave infarctions. Patients with acute non-Q-wave infarction have been reported to have less extensive cardiac necrosis. This group may, however, have a greater potential for late infarctions. Recurrent infarction was found in 21% of patients at nine months in a study by Hutter et al of 67 patients and in 18.4% of non-Q-wave infarction patients over a 30 month period in a recent study by Gibson et al. A long term study of 593 postinfarction patients has shown that early mortality is proportional to the enzyme activities that reflect myocardial damage whereas late deaths are more common in the non-Q-wave group. The presence of a non-Q-wave infarction was the best independent predictor of cardiac death in the third year after infarction. Residual T-wave change and postinfarction angina have also been reported to be of prognostic value.

Recent studies have shown that in <50% of non-Q-wave infarctions there is complete occlusion of the involved vessel during the first and second weeks. Patency of the infarct related vessel during the acute phase may predispose to recurrent infarction, perhaps on the basis of changes in vaso-motor tone or occlusion by platelet aggregates or both. Angiographic evidence from the present study suggests that residual myocardial jeopardy in patients with non-Q-wave infarctions persists into the late postinfarction period. Coronary lesions proximal to the anterior or inferior segments with retained wall motion (normal or hypokinetic) were found in approximately 25–50% of cases. Progression to total occlusion in these vessels could result in a considerable loss of myocardial function.
and could contribute to the late mortality observed in some studies.

The present study has important limitations. Previous studies have shown that the presence of a Q-wave or non-Q-wave pattern does not reliably predict the presence or absence of a transmural or non-transmural infarction. Patients with multiple infarctions or with electrocardiographic patterns reflecting changes in both anterior and inferior segments or a single anterior segment were excluded. The use of single plane angiography would not permit detection of myocardial damage localised to septal or lateral segments; however, the extent of impaired wall motion could be compared in patients with a similar distribution of electrocardiographic changes. Left ventricular function may have been improved in the group with non-Q-wave inferior infarction because of the increased number of patients receiving nitrates. Patients with complete occlusion of a coronary artery supplying the infarcted area would not be classified as having myocardial jeopardy from that area. Viable myocardium might then be perfused through collateral circulation. The number of patients with visible collaterals was similar in those with non-Q-wave anterior infarction, Q-wave anterior infarction, and non-Q-wave inferior infarction (table 1). Although patients with Q-wave inferior infarction had an increased frequency of collaterals, the percentage of left ventricular segments with absent wall motion was significantly higher in this group than in all other groups (table 3). Patients in the present study were randomised six months to five years after a myocardial infarction so that the results cannot be applied in the immediate postinfarction period.

With these restrictions this study provides an estimate of the frequency of myocardial jeopardy in patients with Q-wave and non-Q-wave electrocardiographic patterns after infarction.
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