Classification of hypertrophied hearts in essential hypertension: evaluation by left ventricular wall stress and adrenergic responses
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SUMMARY  Left ventricular mass, fractional shortening, and end systolic wall stress (mechanical indices) measured by echocardiography and the response of fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress to the infusion of isoproterenol (0.02 μg/kg/min for 5 min) (a non-mechanical index) were studied in 57 patients (mean (SD) age 49(8)) with essential hypertension. Nineteen patients had subnormal end systolic wall stress (group 1), 25 patients had normal end systolic wall stress and slightly increased left ventricular mass (group 2A), and 13 patients had normal end systolic wall stress and considerably increased left ventricular mass (group 2B). Plasma noradrenaline concentration was higher in group 2B than in the other groups. When end systolic wall stress was > 12 g/cm² this variable showed a significant inverse linear relation with fractional shortening before isoproterenol infusion. The inotropic response to isoproterenol was measured as the increase of fractional shortening corrected for the decrease of end systolic wall stress (∆ fractional shortening/ − ∆ end systolic wall stress). The mean (SD) change in ∆ fractional shortening/ − ∆ end systolic wall stress was significantly larger in group 1 (1.40 (0.60) cm²/g) than in group 2A (0.85 (0.39) cm²/g), and was significantly larger in group 2A than in group 2B (0.56 (0.15) cm²/g).

In patients with hypertensive hypertrophy with subnormal end systolic wall stress (inappropriate hypertrophy) the β adrenergic response is increased; in hypertensive hypertrophy with normal end systolic wall stress (appropriate hypertrophy), however, it is normal, or becomes reduced as plasma noradrenaline increases.

When left ventricular pressure is excessive the left ventricle becomes hypertrophied in an attempt to reduce left ventricular systolic wall stress. The hypertrophy results in left ventricular dysfunction. The way that the grade of hypertension, the extent of hypertrophy, and the severity of left ventricular functional abnormalities interact has not been established.

Several investigators have shown that adrenergic factors can cause cardiac hypertrophy to develop in laboratory animals. These results remain controversial and this effect has not been investigated in human hypertension.

We have attempted to classify clinical hypertensive hypertrophy on the basis of its mechanical (left ventricular systolic wall stress) and non-mechanical (adrenergic response).

Patients and methods

Patients
We studied 57 hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy who had had hypertension for > five years. We measured blood pressure by cuff sphygmomanometer, using phases 1 and 5 of the Korotkoff sounds. Arterial hypertension was defined as persistent systolic arterial pressure > 150 mm Hg or diastolic arterial pressure > 95 mm Hg before antihypertensive treatment was started or four weeks...
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Estimation of left ventricular structure and function

M mode and cross sectional echocardiograms were obtained with a Toshiba SSH-11A cross sectional ultrasonoscope with a 2.25 MHz transducer. A cross sectional echocardiogram was recorded on a video system (Victor Umatic CR 6060) and M mode echocardiograms were photographed on light sensitive paper (Kodak Linagraph, 1985) at a paper speed of 50 mm/s using a Honeywell 1956 strip chart recorder. The echocardiograms were obtained during expiration. The measurements were performed by two observers (who were unaware of the patient’s clinical state) from at least six consecutive cardiac cycles. The thickness of the interventricular septum and ventricular posterior wall was measured at the time of the R wave on the electrocardiogram (end diastole), with the ultrasonic beam directed just below the tip of the anterior mitral leaflct on M mode echocardiograms. The left ventricular diameter was measured as the distance between the echoes of the left ventricular posterior wall and of the interventricular septum, just below the tip of the mitral leaflets at the level of the chordae tendineae. The diameter of the left ventricle was measured at end diastole and end systole (when the endocardial surface of septum was closest to the posterior wall of the left ventricle). All measurements were made with a centimetre scale superimposed on the echocardiogram. Fractional shortening (an index of the ejection phase) was calculated as left ventricular end diastolic diameter minus left ventricular end systolic diameter divided by left ventricular end diastolic diameter, and the result was expressed as a percentage.

Left ventricular mass was calculated by a pathologically validated regression method: 1.04 (left ventricular end diastolic diameter + interventricular septal and posterior wall thicknesses) − left ventricular end diastolic diameter.

The end systolic wall stress was calculated by the equation: (peak arterial pressure) × (left ventricular end systolic diameter)²/4 (left ventricular wall thickness) × (left ventricular end systolic diameter + wall thickness). This is an expression of the average meridional wall stress which may be defined as the force per unit area acting at the equatorial plane of the ventricle in the direction of the apex-to-base axis. The calculation of end systolic wall stress from these measurements has been validated.

Table 1 shows the normal values of haemodynamic variables obtained from 22 healthy subjects in our study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>70 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>127 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>48 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end systolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>30 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening (%)</td>
<td>37 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventricular septal wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular posterior wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular mass (g)</td>
<td>180 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma noradrenaline (pg/ml)</td>
<td>148 (41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
laboratory. These are not significantly different from those of other investigators.\textsuperscript{34}

The patients were separated into two groups: group 1 consisted of 19 patients with end systolic wall stress < 2 SD below the normal mean (< 36.4 g/cm\textsuperscript{2}) and group 2 consisted of 38 patients with end systolic wall stress within 2 SD of the normal mean. (No patient in this study had end systolic wall stress more than 2 SD above the normal mean.) It has been reported that the mean left ventricular mass calculated echocardiographically in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy is approximately twice the normal mean value.\textsuperscript{74} So we divided group 2 into two subgroups: group 2A consisted of 25 patients with calculated left ventricular mass of twice the normal mean or smaller (≤ 360 g) and group 2B consisted of 13 patients with left ventricular mass more than twice the normal mean (> 360 g).

We studied the effect of isoproterenol by comparing the relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress before and after isoproterenol. End systolic wall stress was reduced by isoproterenol. To increase the accuracy of the regression line of the relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress before isoproterenol we increased the number of measurements for smaller end systolic wall stress before isoproterenol in 10 patients (five of group 1, four of group 2A, and one of group 2B) by slow infusion of a solution of sodium nitroprusside (25 mg/500 ml). Left ventricular dimension and arterial pressure were recorded every 30 s during the infusion, until the peak arterial pressure decreased by 30\% of the initial value or the heart rate increased by 10\% of the initial value, because chronotropic change may influence the inotropic effect.\textsuperscript{4}

\textbf{ADRENERGIC RESPONSES}
\textit{Plasma noradrenaline}

Before the start of echocardiographic examination after isoproterenol infusion we collected venous blood samples to determine plasma noradrenaline. Samples were collected after the subject had rested supine for at least 20 minutes with an indwelling butterfly needle in an arm vein. Plasma was separated in a refrigerated centrifuge at 2°C and stored at -40°C. Noradrenaline was adsorbed on to activated alumina at pH 8.55 by adding Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.55). Noradrenaline-adsorbed alumina was washed by water followed by elution with 100 µl of 0.25 mol/l acetic acid. After purification with alumina, noradrenaline was separated by high-performance liquid chromatography and was measured spectrofluorometrically by the trihydroxyindole method (Shimadzu spectrofluorometer RF-500 LCA). (Table 1 shows the normal value.)

\textbf{Isoproterenol echocardiography}

The study was performed in the afternoon in all patients. Simultaneous cross-sectional and M mode echocardiographic and electrocardiographic baseline recordings and blood pressure measurements were performed with the patient in a supine position immediately before intravenous infusion of isoproterenol (0.02 µg/kg/min) via a calibrated infusion pump. After 5 minutes' infusion, cross-sectional and M mode echocardiograms and an electrocardiogram were recorded and blood pressure was measured by cuff sphygmomanometer. The transducer was held at the same part on the chest wall throughout the examinations. To standardise the technique between patients, as well as in the same patient before and after the infusion of isoproterenol, we tried to record the echocardiograms at the same part of the left ventricle (just below the tip of the anterior mitral leaflet) before and after the infusion of isoproterenol. The beams of M mode echocardiography were aligned perpendicularly with the posterior walls of the left ventricle and with the wall of the interventricular septum in all the patients both before and after the infusion of isoproterenol.

\textbf{STATISTICAL ANALYSIS}

We used the t test, paired t test, correlation, regression equations, F test, and one-way analysis of variance as appropriate. All results in which p < 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

\textbf{Results}

\textbf{HAEMODYNAMIC AND ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC FINDINGS}

Table 2 shows the values of haemodynamic, echocardiographic, and other variables before the infusion of isoproterenol. There were no significant differences in age, sex, and heart rate among the groups. Systolic blood pressure was significantly lower in group 1 than in groups 2A and 2B (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively). Left ventricular end diastolic and end systolic diameters were significantly smaller in group 1 than in groups 2A and 2B (p < 0.05 and p < 0.05 respectively). Fractional shortening was significantly larger in group 1 than in groups 2A and 2B (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001 respectively), but there was no significant difference between group 2A and group 2B. The interventricular septum was significantly thicker in groups 1 and 2B than in group 2A (p < 0.001), but there was no significant difference in the thickness of the posterior wall among the groups. There was asymmetric septal hypertrophy (interventricular septal thickness: posterior wall thickness > 1.3) in seven (37\%) patients in group 1, 2 (8\%) patients in group 2A, and five (38\%)
patients in group 2B. There was also hypertrophy in the apical region of the left ventricle in four patients in group 1 and two in group 2B. No patient had systolic anterior motion of the mitral valve. Left ventricular mass was significantly larger in group 1 than in group 2A (p < 0.05) and was significantly larger in group 2B than in group 1 (p < 0.01). There was no significant difference in end systolic wall stress between groups 2A and 2B.

During the infusion of sodium nitroprusside, two to five measurements were obtained in each patient before the end point—that is when the peak arterial pressure had decreased by 30% of the initial value or the heart rate had increased by 10% of the initial value. At the end point, end systolic wall stress had fallen from 39.3 (11.9) to 27.8 (10.0) g/cm² with an increase of fractional shortening from 46 (5) to 49 (5).

Figure 1 shows the relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress for 57 measurements obtained before the infusion of isoproterenol in all the patients and 35 measurements obtained during the infusion of sodium nitroprusside. There was a statistically significant inverse linear relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress (slope -0.448, r = 0.879, p < 0.001, α < 0.005) when the end systolic wall stress was 12 g/cm².

Fig 1 Relation between left ventricular end systolic wall stress (ESS) and fractional shortening (FS) before and during the infusion of isoproterenol. There was a significantly negative linear relation when ESS was > 12 g/cm². The broken lines show the regression line and the confidence interval.

\[
FS = 0.448 \times ESS + 62.0
\]

\[
r = -0.879
\]

\[
p < 0.001
\]
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Plasma noradrenaline

The concentration of plasma noradrenaline was significantly higher in group 2B than in groups 1 and 2A (p < 0.001 respectively) (table 2).

Effect of isoproterenol on echocardiographic variables

Figure 2 shows echocardiograms obtained before and after the infusion of isoproterenol in a 47 year old man. The echoes of the left side of the interventricular septum and of the endocardium of the left ventricular posterior wall can be seen clearly in both of the echocardiograms. The presence of echoes from the chordae tendineae indicates that both these echocardiograms are from the same part of the left ventricle. Infusion of isoproterenol caused hyperkinesis. Clear echocardiograms were obtained from the same part of the left ventricle before and after the infusion of isoproterenol in all but five patients (one in group 1, two in group 2A, and two in group 2B). In three patients in group 1, end systolic wall stress decreased to below 12 g/m². These three patients were excluded from the analysis of the effect of isoproterenol because the linear relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress operated only when end systolic wall stress was > 12 g/cm² (figure 1).

Infusion of isoproterenol increased the mean (SD) heart rate from 66 (9) to 97 (17) beats/min + 32 (11) in group 1, from 70 (11) to 101 (13) + 32 (8) in group 2A, and from 65 (11) to 96 (19) + 31 (12) in group 2B. There were no significant differences among the groups. Systolic blood pressure change (but not significantly) from 151 (9) to 160 (15) mm Hg in group 1, from 169 (19) to 180 (22) mm Hg in group 2A, and from 171 (15) to 165 (28) mm Hg in group 2B. Diastolic blood pressure decreased from 100 (9) to 90 (14) mm Hg in group 1, from 110 (12) to 95 (13) mm Hg in group 2A, and from 103 (13) to 83 (14) mm Hg in group 2B (p < 0.001 in each group). Left ventricular end diastolic diameter did not change significantly (44 (4) to 43 (3) mm in group 1, 47 (4) to 46 (4) mm in group 2A, and 47 (4) to 46 (4) mm in group 2B). Left ventricular end systolic diameter decreased from 21 (3) to 17 (2) mm in group 1, from 29 (4) to 23 (4) mm in group 2A, and from 31 (3) to 27 (4) mm in group 2B (p < 0.001 in each group).

Fractional shortening increased from 52 (4) to 61 (4)% in group 1, from 39 (7) to 50 (9)% in group 2A, and from 34 (6) to 45 (6)% in group 2B (p < 0.001 in each group). End systolic wall stress decreased from 26.2 (7.6) to 17.2 (5.5) g/cm² in group 1, from 33.2 (12.1) to 37.3 (14.1) g/cm² in group 2A, and from 33.6 (10.6) to 33.0 (10.4) g/cm² in group 2B. Figure 1 shows the changes of the relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress in each group. It suggests that there was a different slope for each of the groups. Figure 4 shows the increase of fractional shortening corrected for the decrease of end systolic wall stress, that is the slope of the change of this relation between fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress. This variable (\( \Delta \) fractional shortening / - \( \Delta \) end systolic wall stress) shows the response of myocardial contractility to isoproterenol because the changes in this variable are within the range in which fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress are linearly related in fig 1. The increase in fractional shortening was significantly larger in group 1 (1.40 (0.60) cm²/g) than in group 2A (0.80 (0.39) cm²/g) (p < 0.05) and was significantly larger in group 2A than in group 2B (0.56 (0.15) cm²/g) (p < 0.05).
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Fig 3. The changes of relation between left ventricular end systolic wall stress (ESS) and fractional shortening (FS) after isoproterenol in each group. The arrows show the changes in individual patients. Broken lines show the regression line with 95% confidence interval.

Discussion

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC STUDY OF MYOCARDIAL MECHANICS

Echocardiographic measurements of left ventricular dimensions are known to be reliable. Changes of less than 2 mm in the echocardiogram do not represent clinically significant changes. In the present study, we used the echocardiographic method to calculate left ventricular mass and wall stress, as have others. Even small measurement errors in wall thickness may significantly influence left ventricular mass and wall stress; however, the values we obtained in the present study resembled those obtained by others. The reported relative errors of fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress are about 0.4% and 25%, respectively. So the differences in fractional shortening \( \Delta FS \) and end systolic wall stress that we found among our study groups are likely to be real because they were all more than 26%.

CARDIAC HYPERTROPHY

Chronic pressure overloading of the heart is believed to lead to wall thickening that is commensurate with the increased systolic pressure and tends to normalise...
myocardial wall stress\textsuperscript{12,13} (appropriate hypertrophy). In hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, however, there is inappropriate hypertrophy in response to pressure overload.\textsuperscript{14} Such hypertrophy must be caused by factors other than mechanical overload.

**LEFT VENTRICULAR EJECTION PHASE INDICES AND WALL STRESS**

An immense linear correlation was found between fractional shortening and ejection fraction and left ventricular wall stress in normal controls,\textsuperscript{15} in hyperten-

tion,\textsuperscript{9} and in other heart diseases.\textsuperscript{16,17} An inverse curvilinear correlation has also been reported between the velocity of left ventricular fibre shortening and left ventricular wall stress.\textsuperscript{16} In our study we used $\Delta$ fractional shortening / $-\Delta$ end systolic wall stress as an index of the response of myocardial contractility in the range in which fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress were considered to be related linearly in fig 1.

To exclude the possibility that $\Delta$ fractional shortening / $-\Delta$ end systolic wall stress might have been larger in the cases with a smaller end systolic wall stress, we performed isoproterenol echocardiography in three patients who had left ventricular hypertrophy but no aortic pressure gradient because they had had a valve replacement for aortic stenosis (table 3). They had small end systolic wall stress with a small value for $\Delta$ fractional shortening / $-\Delta$ end systolic wall stress. This indicates that $\Delta$ fractional shortening / $-\Delta$ end systolic wall stress is a valid index of the response of myocardial contractility; the number of the cases is small, however.

The relation between indices of left ventricular ejection and afterload may also be influenced by a change of preload; however, this effect can be ignored in this study because the left ventricular end diastolic diameter did not change with isoproterenol infusion, in any of the groups.

**ADRENERGIC RESPONSE IN HYPERTENSIVE HYPERTROPHY**

It has been suggested that, as well as mechanical factors, neurohormonal influences could have an important role in the cardiac hypertrophy of hypertension.\textsuperscript{18} Small doses of isoproterenol or other catecholamines produced hypertrophy in laboratory animals without changing blood pressure and heart rate.\textsuperscript{19,20}

The aetiology of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is not yet known. A disorder of catecholamine function has been suggested,\textsuperscript{21} and hypersensitivity of the $\beta$ adrenergic receptor system has been proposed to explain hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with asymmetric septal hypertrophy.\textsuperscript{22}

Whether the presence of asymmetric septal hyper-

trophy in hypertensive patients is the result of a strong response to an increased afterload or is a coincidental occurrence is not clear. In our study, asymmetric septal hypertrophy was more common in groups 1 and 2B than in group 2A. It may be that the mechanisms of asymmetric septal hypertrophy differed in groups 1 and 2B. The adrenergic response in group 1 resembled that found in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, whereas in group 2B resembled the response to high arterial pressure.

In patients in group 1 end systolic wall stress was less than normal, and these patients were more responsive to isoproterenol than those with the other types of hypertensive hypertrophy. Hypertrophy in group 1 may be the result of a combination of the hypertension and a factor causing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; this second factor will be difficult to identify since in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, by definition, there is no cause established. Alternatively, patients in group 1 may have had an early stage of hypertension; this is not likely, however, as these patients were not young and they had had hypertension for at least five years.

Group 2B (severe hypertrophy) was significantly less responsive to isoproterenol than group 2A (mild hypertrophy) ($p < 0.01$). This clinical result may support the experimental results of others.\textsuperscript{23} The blunting of inotropic responsiveness to $\beta$ adrenergic stimulation may be one of the mechanisms causing progression from left ventricular hypertrophy to heart failure in hypertensive disease.

The degree of left ventricular hypertrophy has been reported to be positively related to circulating concentrations of plasma noradrenaline.\textsuperscript{24} But this relation is still controversial. In our study, increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Results (mean (SD)) of isoproterenol echocardiography in three patients with left ventricular hypertrophy who had had valve replacement for aortic stenosis and had no aortic pressure gradient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>56 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>68 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>128 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>75 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>41 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end systolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>23 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening (%)</td>
<td>43 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventricular septal wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>17 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular posterior wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>381 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ fractional shortening / $-\Delta$ end systolic wall stress (cm²/g)</td>
<td>26-7 (9-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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concentrations of plasma noradrenaline were found only in group 2B. Patients in group 1 had normal plasma noradrenaline. Perhaps the increase in plasma noradrenaline in hypertensive hypertrophy is a secondary phenomenon. A depressed β adrenergic reserve might prompt a compensatory increase in plasma noradrenaline.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Our study showed that inotropic responsiveness to isoproterenol infusion was higher in hearts with lower than normal values for end systolic wall stress than hearts with other types of hypertensive hypertrophy, although hypertrophy in the former group was not mild. On the other hand, patients with normal end systolic wall stress and severe hypertrophy were less responsive to β adrenergic stimulation than those with the other hypertensive hypertrophy. The inotropic responsiveness to isoproterenol might be regulated by the conditions of β adrenergic receptors in the myocardium when myocardial contractility is normal. Myocardial contractility did not appear to differ among the three groups—groups 1 and 2 seemed to be on the same regression line in fig 1. Groups 2A and 2B did not show significantly different fractional shortening and end systolic wall stress.

In hypertensive patients with subnormal end systolic wall stress and increased responsiveness to isoproterenol, cardiac hypertrophy (inappropriate hypertrophy) may have been induced by a non-mechanical (probably neurohormonal) factor; but in those with normal end systolic wall stress the cardiac hypertrophy (appropriate hypertrophy) might have been induced by a mechanical factor (for example high arterial pressure) and might progress to left ventricular dysfunction with impairment of β adrenergic reserve and increased plasma noradrenaline. Thus classification of hypertensive hypertrophy according to the contribution made by a mechanical factor (left ventricular systolic wall stress) and a non-mechanical factor (β adrenergic response) might be useful in identifying the aetiology and pathophysiology in individual patients.

This work was supported by grants from the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare for the study of idiopathic cardiomyopathy.
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